BUNCH OF TRUTHS

BY

M.G. MATHEW M.A. LL.B.

AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF

BUNCH OF THOUGHTS

BY

M.S. GOLWALKAR

BUNCH OF TRUTHS

BUNCH OF TRUTHS

BY

M.G. MATHEW M.A., LL.B.

AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF

BUNCH OF THOUGHTS
BY
M.S. GOLWALKAR

TRUTH AND LIFE PUBLICATION
POST BOX - 55, PERUMBAVOOR - 683 542
KERALA - INDIA

BUNCH OF TRUTHS

Published by :

TRUTH AND LIFE PUBLICATION
Post Box 55, Perumbavoor - 683 542
Kerala - INDIA

All rights reserved ©

First Edition - February 2002

Price Rs. **150/-**

Printed at:
Bethesda Printers,
Edappally, Cochin - 24.
Tel : 534435, 341996
E-mail:bethesda100@eth.net

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Our Nation Bharat

3. Comments on 'We or our nation defined'

4. Comments on a few statements made by Shri. M.A. Venkata Rao in his introduction to 'Bunch of Thoughts'

5. Comments on Statements from 'Bunch of Thoughts'

7. Conclusion

BUNCH OF TRUTHS

INTRODUCTION

"Bunch of Truths" is an analytical study of the two books written by M.S. Golwalker, popularly known as Guruji. "We or our Nationhood defined" and "Bunch of Thoughts" are the two books under study.

Thus spake Golwalker:

> "This has been our philosophy – the philosophy of victory of the forces of righteousness over the forces of evil, preached and practised over millennia. Even today, the demoniac forces of evil are strutting about the world stage, armed with world destructive weapons and threatening the very future of humanity. It is only on the strength of our philosophy which steels our will to win that we can inspire mankind to face this new challenge of adharma."

and

> "It is inevitable, therefore, that in order to be able to contribute our unique knowledge to mankind, in order to be able to live and strive for the unity and welfare of the world, we stand before the world as a self-confident, resurgent and mighty nation."

The members of Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh consider him as their Guru. Shri Guruji was the leader of the Sangh for thirty-three years. Through thousands of his speeches, talks, discussions and informal conversation, he has covered a whole range of national topics. These books give the principles and methods adopted by the Sangh Parivar for nation building. Since these books provide the philosophy of thought and action adopted by the Sangh Parivar, especially by R. S. S., it is worthwhile for every citizen to critically study the same.

The author of the "BUNCH OF TRUTHS' is striving to go deep into these thoughts, analyse and evaluate them with a view to enlighten the masses of India and impress upon them their duty to the Mother Nation, Bharath. There is no doubt every patriot will profit by reading this book and understand his duty towards the construction of a glorious Bharat Nation.

For the convenience of the readers, the statements of Guru Golwalker have been reproduced from his books and the page numbers are marked with the prefix-P. The statements are printed in italics and the comment on each statement is given below every statement.

Jai Hind. Jai Satya.

Chapter - 1

Our Nation Bharat

Our nation is India, that is Bharat. Bharat as a nation was born on 15th of August 1947, when she got independence from the Britishers. She was constituted as a Republic on 26th January 1950.

The preamble of our constitution reads as follows:

The constitution of India

We, the people of India, having solemnly resolved to constitute India into a Sovereign Socialist Secular Democracy Republic and to secure to all its citizens:

Justice social, economic and political;

Liberty of thought, expression, belief, faith and worship;

Equality of status and of opportunity;

and to promote among them all

Fraternity assuring the dignity of the individual and the unity and integrity of the Nation;

In our **constituent Assembly** this twentysixth day of November 1949, do Hereby Adopt, Enact and Give to ourselves this constitution.

From the very childhood an Indian citizen takes the following pledge:

"India is my country.

All Indians are my brothers and sisters.

I love my country and I am proud of its rich and varied heritage.

I shall always strive to be worthy of it.

I shall give my parents, teachers and all elders respect and treat everyone with courtesy.

To my country and people I pledge my devotion.

In their well-being and prosperity alone lies my happiness."

We are proud of our nation, Bharat. We love and respect Bharat as our Motherland. Her territories and boundaries have been well defined. The citizenship and the rights of the citizens have been laid down in the Constitution. We as children of Bharat have taken a pledge that we will protect our motherland from external enemies by defending her boundaries.

We call ourselves Indians. Every Indian belongs to one family. **Unity in diversity is the special character of this nation. Bharat is a mini world.** Bharat is composed of people of different origin, colour, language, religion, culture, tradition and habits. Even the climate is different from place to place. The food, dress, behaviour and life-style differ from place to place. There is no other nation in the world with so much of diversities. In spite of all these diversities, the people of different regions and territories have opted to remain as one nation.

So to say, Bharat is a field for experiment of democracy. If a people with so many diversities can live as one body amicably in peace and plenitude, the whole world can emulate Bharat to live in peace and plenitude. Our nation, Bharat, is an experiment of world solidarity. So the whole world is looking towards Bharat for its success as a democracy where every citizen enjoys equal citizenship rights without any discrimination on account of birth, religion, language etc.

The freedom fighters and the architects of the Constitution of India could lay a sound foundation for a glorious India. In spite of the multiplicity of differences, dissimilarities and distinctiveness of the people from region to region, a political unity of India was attained. All the fissipa-

rous tendancies on account of origin, religion and so on were overcome by the process of national integration. Any patriot, any intellectual, should have moved forward from this favourable foundation in serving the motherland.

The present plight of India

Unfortunately for India and for the world, an anti-social terrorist group had taken shape as far back as 18th century. This group has grown to the status of the present day Sangh Parivar. Parivar means family. The B.J.P., R.S.S., V.H.P., Bajrang Dal and so many subsidiaries are members of the same parivar. Mutually helping, each branch grows stronger and stronger. It is easy for any terrorist group to grow rapidly. Good men usually are lazy, inactive and non-challenging. They devour all sorts of injustice and atrocities without any reaction and resistance. When a Secular Party is inviting people for a rally, the response is very cold. But when the V.H.P. is calling, the people get thrilled and are ready to sacrifice even their lives if it is for demolishing a mosque or to construct a temple. The people like adventure, a sensation of excitement. The members of Sangh Parivar have been committing hundreds of atrocities. If we ask any one of them why they do that they have no answer. It is the infusion of the dark philosophies that converts peace-loving people into terrorists. The Sangh Parivar is training its members in militancy. The V.H.P is giving military training, arms and amunitions. Bajrangdal is distributing millions of trisuls (trident) to their members. The Sangh parivar prompts its members to commit atrocities. They themselves are terrorists and there is no meaning in their accusing others as terrorists.

The "Bunch of Thoughts" is acclaimed as the Bible of the Sangh parivar. The force behind every cruelty committed against the low caste, outcastes, tribals, backward communities, Muslims, Christians, Buddhists, Sikhs and others is mainly the philosophy proponded in this book. The Sangh Parivar goes to the extent of publicly proclaiming that Muslims and Christians are not Indians. If they want to live in India, they

should forgo their religion and become Hindus. Otherwise they should leave India. If not they will be killed off.

Muslims and Christians are Indian citizens. Has any political or religious group in this country authority to dictate terms to others? Do the Aryans have any special power in this country over the low castes, outcastes, tribals, backward communities, Muslims, Christians, Buddhists, Jains, Sikhs, Parsis and so on? The leaders of the Sangh Parivar assume themselves as masters of India, as masters of all the non-Aryans. Their stance will surely disintegrate and fragmentalise this nation into hundreds of petty chiefdoms, as it was at the time of the entry of the Britishers into India. It is the most urgent duty of the patriotic children of our motherland to stand united to thwart the Aryan threat to the freedom of Indians. The Aryans are striving to subjugate the people of India and make gains at the cost of the natives.

The Hindutvavadis claim themselves to be Aryans. The Aryans are a self-important, self-seeking, proud people. They are a people spread all over the world. But they do not know each other. The German Aryans under Hitler claimed themselves superior to all others in the world. He claimed that Germans are the people to rule the world. His haughtiness ended in utter destruction.

The motto of Indian Aryans is similar. 'Krimvanto Viswamaryam'. Let the whole world be subjected to the Aryans. First they want to establish their hegemony in India. Thereafter, they seek to bring the whole world under them. For this purpose, they have no hesitation to slant on 24 or even more number of political parties. They use their Vedas, mantras, tantras, poojas, yajnas, yoga, transcendental meditation and so on to achieve their goal. Saffron wearing sanyasins have already seen victory in Europe, America and other parts of the world. In India they conduct Rath Yatra (Chariot processions), and take the names of Rama, Krishna, Ganesa, Siva and so on. Even astrology is a tool in their hands to capture the world.

The common man's ignorance is a blessing to the Aryans. Even great leaders and scholars of other religions do not know the character and activities of the Aryan Hindutvavadis. Recently the Hindutvavadis have been inviting the Christian leaders to have dialogues with them. Unfortunately the Christian leaders do not know anything about the philosophy and the hidden agenda of the Aryan Hindu leaders. So the Christian leaders sit amazed or amused at the articulation of the Hindutvavadis and come away losing their ground. This gulf can be bridged only if a real study of the arguments of the Hindutvavadis is properly conducted. Guru Golwalker was a great admirer of Hitler. The philosophy of the Sangh Parivar is a replication of the philosophy of Hitler. A study of "Bunch of Thoughts" by Guruji may to a great extent meet the need of this hour.

All Aryans are not Hindutvavadis. Aryanism or Hinduism is casteism. The four castes are graded one below the other in position and power. The upper caste, brahmins, are hardly two percent of Indian population. Sitting at the top of the lower castes and the outcastes, being the priests, being the custodians of the Vedas, Shastras, mantras and tantras, they used to enjoy a lot of privileges playing the part of gods among men. This tyranny bestowed a lot of advantages to the Aryan castes as against others. These people are anxious to protect their special privileged positions. The Constitution of India with its secular nature, guaranteeing equality before law and equality of status to every Indian citizen has dealt a death blow to the selfish aspirations of the Hindutvavadis. Many a broad-minded Brahmins and caste people support and abide by the provisions of the Constitution of India.

It was E.M.S. Namboodirippad, a pure Brahmin, who crushed the hegemony of the Brahmins of Kerala, smashed the caste system, took away the ownership of land and property from the Brahmins and vested the ownership of land and property with the actual holders, the farmers and cultivators, who were mere vassals and slaves to the Brahmins till that time. He along with many other Brahmin leaders rendered freedom

a reality in the life of the people of Kerala. Untouchability and many other evils disappeared from this state. Today there are no slaves in Kerala.

In spite of over fifty years of independence, slavery of the masses and hegemony of the Brahmins continue in many states of India. Aryanism is presented as Hinduism or Hindu religion and the innocent illiterates are duped in the name of gods to remain as slaves of the upper castes. Many a Namboodirippads from among the Brahmins of these states imbued with humaneness and spirit of justice should arise to render freedom to the masses of India who remain chained in slavery of the Aryans and their gods. A concerted action is inevitable to free the masses of India and bestow upon them the citizenship rights.

The Sangh Parivar is the spokesman and the executor of the Aryan Brahmins. They side-track the common masses from fighting for their rights and instead involve them in felling masjids and constructing temples like the Rama temple at Ayodhya. It is a pity that in India there is no organisation to speak and act for the non-Aryan wretched miserables who have not been able to breathe the air of freedom.

It is only an infinitesimal number of selfish, arrogant and proud Aryans who stand for Hindutva. There is no wonder in that a small number of people employing the spirit of racism, religion and false propoganda lead astray a large number of people to turn against their own motherland and commit atrocities against her children. Evil-minded people are easily attracted to evil designs just as the insects are attracted to the consuming fire. A small fire can burn a jungle.

The arguments of Guru Golwalker are many, wide and varied. It is impractical and not essential to comment on all the statements. Since the statements are picked up from here and there from his book, there is fear of absence of continuity of thoughts and of repetition. The readers are requested to bear with me the seemingly unimportant and uninteresting comments.

Chapter II

Comments on 'We or our nation defined'

Statement : P. 4-5

All the chief nations of the world today can trace the history of their civilised life (I should say semi-barbaric life) and go back at best a couple of thousand years. It seems as if we never were uncivilised. The Vedas, the most ancient literature extant today, embodies ideas too noble except for highly organised and cultured people to express. The Western scholars have not still seen even the hem of the garment of the glorious Goddess of knowledge. What is the time of the epics Ramayana and Mahabharata? Geetha must have been written 1500 to 2000 years prior to Buddha who lived about 600 B.C. Mahabharata is at least 4500 or 5000 years old.

Comment:

Vedas are not the common property of the people of India. Vedas belong exclusively to the Aryans, especially to the Brahmins. The Aryans in India are not more than ten percent of the population of India. While Aryans may feel proud of the Vedas, the ninety percent of Indians see the Vedas as a weapon in the hands of Aryans to subjugate them. Vedas have been an instrument to afflict, torment and vex the lower castes and the outcastes who form the vast majority of Indian population. An observation of the Vedas will reveal that they are mostly the prayers and mantras, chanted by the Brahmins addressing the powers of nature such as Sun, Moon, Fire, Air, Earth, and so on which the Aryans believed to be powerful gods to subdue and shatter the aboriginal Indians whom they treated as their enemies. Satrusamhara (destruction of the enemies) is the main theme of the Vedas. And who are the enemies? The original Indians.

So the Vedas were forbidden to the Indians. Even the lowest caste

of Aryans, the Shudras, were forbidden to hear, learn or utter the Vedas. If a Shudra happens to hear Vedas, molten lead should be poured into his ears. If a Shudra utters Vedas, his tongue should be cut off. The vast majority of the people of India were kept as outcastes, untouchables, tribals, backward classes. They had no access to literacy. The Vedas had no influence on them. So the Indians do not feel proud of the Aryan Vedas. The Aryan Vedas are confined to Aryan culture and not Indian culture.

The ten percent Aryans called the ninety percent non-Aryan Indians as Mlechas. Mlecha means filthy, foul, nasty, polluted, impure, unclean, coarse, corrupt, dirtyminded, foul, contemptible, despicable, low, mean, embodiment of all dark qualities. There is a gulf of difference between Aryans and Indians. How on earth can Indians feel proud of Aryan culture?

According to Guruji, the chief nations of the present world which we consider as civilized are semi-barbaric in comparison to India which is the most cultured and civilized nation from time immemorial. This is a shining example of the boasting of the Indian Aryans. According to the Indian Aryans, who are Hindutvavadis, the people of Europe, America, Russia, China, Japan and so on are mlechas, barbarians. President George Bush, Prime Minister Tony Blair, Koffy Annan and so on are barbarians when compared to Shri Vajpayeeji or Shri Advani.

Guruji says that the Western scholars have not still seen even the hem of the garment of the glorious Goddess of knowledge. Guruji, as a well-educated man, should have had the intelligence to realise that Goddess Saraswati, the goddess of learning, is but a legendary figure. There are so many gods and goddesses in epics and legends. They are all fictitious, not real. Once upon a time all over the world there were many legendary gods and goddesses. Every nation had its gods and goddesses, similar to Aryan gods and goddesses. But when people got education, the darkness of ignorance was dispelled from their hearts

and they threw away their evil, cruel, despotic gods. In India these gods and goddesses are still thriving because of the darkness of ignorance in the minds of Indian people. The Aryans are striving to perpetuate these dark beliefs, because this is the best way to keep up their sway over others.

If Aryans have their Veda, legends and epics, the Dravidians also have great literary works. Every old civilization has its own epics. The educated people should wipe away their faith in the imaginary beings and consider them as a part of mere stories, interesting and informative to read. It is high time that the educated Indians should honestly search for the truth and sift the corn from the chaff.

Statement: P. 8

Lok Tilak propounded the Arctic origin of the Aryans. We may agree with him that originally the Aryans, i.e. the Hindus lived in the region of the North Pole. But, he was not aware that in ancient times, the North Pole and with it the Arctic Zone was not where it is today..... North Pole is not stationary and quite long ago it was in that part of the world, which, we find, is called Bihar and Orissa at the present: that then it moved north east and then by a sometimes westerly, sometimes northward movement, it came to its present position. .... 'The Arctic Home in the Vedas' was verily in Hindustan itself and that it was not the Hindus who migrated to that land but the Arctic Zone which migrated and left the Hindus in Hindustan.

Hindus came into this land from nowhere, but are indigenous children of the soil always from times immemorial and are natural masters of the country..... foreign travellers to the land were dumb-founded to see it, a culture which made every individual a noble specimen of humanity, truth and generosity, under the divine influence of which, not one of the hundreds of millions of the people, ever told a lie or stole or indulged in any moral aberration and all

this long before the West had learnt to eat roast meat instead of raw! And we were one Nation- "Over all the land from sea to sea one kingdom'." is the trumpet cry of the ancient Vedas'.

Comment

Lok Tilak put forth the theory that Aryans migrated to India from the Arctic region. Guruji does not relish the idea that Aryans are immigrants to India. The very name 'Aryan' denotes that they are not Indians. They are a people who came to the Indus valley region and settled there. Guruji does not want to agree that the Hindus are immigrants to India, but he wants to establish that the Aryan Hindus are indigenous children of Indian soil. For that he puts forth another theory. He agrees that Hindus were inhabitants of Arctic region. Then he adds that Arctic region in ancient times was situated in the present Bihar and Orissa. According to him, the North Pole region moved away from Bihar and Orissa to the present' Arctic region. He expects us to belive that a portion of the earth can move without carrying the inhabitants therein. If Bihar and Orissa were the North Pole inhabitted by the Hindus, when it moved away it should have carried the Hindus also to the North Pole. If we accept his theory, we will have to conclude that Hindus are a people now living in the present Arctic region. But this is not true. Accoring to Guruji, a great wonder, a magic, has taken place. Only the North Pole moved, not Bihar and Orissa.

Guruji's description of a people who never told a lie or stole or indulged in any moral aberration is the description not of any Aryan Kingdom but of the Dravidian kingdom under Mahabali who ruled the three worlds. The fifth incarnation of Mahavishnu as Vamana (the Dwarf) was to take the kingdom from Mahabali and to give the same to the devas (gods). Vishnu practised deception and stamped Mahabali into Pathala. These are all mere stories.

Guruji states that Aryan Hindus were one Nation over all the land

from sea to sea. The fact is that never in the annals of the history we see an Indian Nation which extended its authority over the land from sea to sea. It is for him to name the dynasty which ruled the whole of India at any time. Sri Rama was the King of Ayodhya, a small north Indian kingdom. Sri Krishna was the son of Vasudeva, the king of Mathura, a small north Indian kingdom. Then we hear about Hunas, Mauryas, Shakas, Turks, Moghuls, Cholas, Cheras, Pandyas, Pallavas and so on. But all these ruled only portions of India. The emperors like Asoka, Harshavardhana, Vikramaditya, Pulakeshi and so on were only rulers of parts of India. The great empire of Vijayanagar, the illustrious Rana Pratap Singh of Chitor, the great Sivaji, the immortal Sikh Gurus were only local rulers. India became one political unit never before the Britishers. The vainglorious Aryans should feel ashamed to shout about a Hindu India, a Hindu Nation.

Even today India is one sub-continent, but it consists of several nations-Pakistan, Nepal, Bhutan, Bangladesh and Bharat. There was never a time when the whole of Indian Sub-continent was under one regime. The cry for Aryan Hindu Nation is absurd.

Guruji states that Aryans are natural masters of India. This is an unwarranted claim. India is a nation belonging to the ninety percent non-Aryans. India belongs to Indians and it is not the property of the ten percent Aryans. The non-Aryans whom the Aryans dub as mlechas are more refined and cultured than the Aryans. The pity is that the Aryans still consider themselves as foreigners and not as Indians. In spite of their long stay for centuries in India, they have not become one with the people of India. They have not yet been Indianised. They should throw away their Aryan claim and become one with the Indian people. Indianisation of the Aryans is the immediate problem.

Bharat is a new nation. The people of India got it liberated from the Britishers. We got possession of India from the Britishers. For that mat-

ter, no race, no religion, no nation can have any claim over Bharat, India. We Indians will fight to our last to safeguard our independence from the domination of any internal or external enemy. India belongs to Indians and not to the Aryans or any other race or religion. India with its many races, religions, languages, guarantees equal citizenship to all her children.

Statement : P. 12-13

"Surely the Hindu Nation is not conquered. It is fighting on. Ever since the evil day, when Muslims first landed in Hindustan, right upto the present moment the Hindu nation has been gallantly fighting on to shake off the despoilers..... The Race Spirit has been awakening. The lion was not dead, only sleeping. He is rousing himself up again and the world has to see the might of the regenerated Hindu Nation strike down the enemy's hosts with its mighty arm. The star has risen and is steadily climbing up the firmament. At no distant date the world shall see it and tremble with fear or dance with delight.

".....the land has been infested with murderous hands of despoilers in various parts, the nation has not been conquered, far less subjugated, that through all these years it has engaged in teriible struggle to free the land of this pest and the great struggle is still relentlessly raging with varying success to both sides..... we are determined to carry on the struggle to the bitter and with ever-increasing zeal and inflagging national ardour .... National consciousness blazes forth and we Hindus rally to the Hindu standard, the Bhagwa Dhwaja, set our teeth in grim determination to wipe out the opposing forces."

Comment

Who are the opposing forces? The hatchet should have been bur-

ied as soon as the country was divided into India and Pakistan. Who tore Mother India? Hindutvavadis should conduct a self-search. Why did the Muslim leaders insist to have a separate state for the Muslims? Because, they saw the Hindutvavadis aspiring to erase every Muslim from this sub-continent and because will not be able to get along with the Hindutvavadis. The Muslims who chose to live in India have not created any problem for India. But the Hindutvavadis say that this nation has been infested with murderous hands of despoilers and that they want to free the land of this pest. This means Hindutvavadis are bent upon to wash away all Muslims from India. The writer says that the Race spirit has been awakening. Which race? The Aryan Hindu race. This is the clarion call to the Hindus to take up arms against Muslims to wipe them away from India. Not only the Muslims. They want to finish off the Christians, Buddhists, Jains, Sikhs and Parsis from India. The Aryan race cannot brook the presence of any competitors. They will allow the remnant non-Aryans, the outcastes and other backward classes to live as slaves of the Aryans.

To display their strength, they demolished Babri Masjid, destroyed many Christian Churches and institutions, burnt alive missionaries, murdered many priests, evangelists and nuns, and committed all sorts of atrocities not only on Christians but also on the Dalits.

Who are the terrorists? The leaders of the Sangh Parivar point their fingers to Pakistan. At the same time they are teaching their dark philosophy of eliminating their enemies in hundreds of their Sakhas or branches. They are conducting military training to attack non-Aryans. They are distributing arms and amunitions to their members. This is what they do internally. As soon as Vajpayeeji became Prime Minister his Aryan commitment urged him to show off his might against Pakistan by exploding atom bomb. Atrocity is atrocity whether committed by Osama Bim Laden or by Sangh Parivar. Osama Bin Laden attacked the Americans. Sangh Parivar attacks Indian nationals. No gov-

ernment of India should be committed to any particular group in the nation. Its commitment should be more to the ninety percent non-Aryan population of India.

Statement: P.14

"We, Hindus, at war at once with the Muslims on the one hand and british on the other."

Comment

It is true that the Hindutvavadis had been picking up quarrels with Muslims, but not with the Britishers. They had been siding the Britishers and secretly consolidating their position to play their future role as masters of free India. Hindutvavadis have come to limelight only after the establishment of free India.

The Britishers left India and the Muslims got Pakistan. All problems should have ended there. If Muslims are remaining in India, they stay here as cent percent Indians, respecting the Constitution of India. But the Hindutvavadis do not respect our Constitution. Those who yield to our Constitution should be counted as Indians. **Those who do not respect the Constitution of India should lose their Indian citizenship and if they remain in India, they should be treated as alien enemies who have trespassed into Indian territory.**

Statement: P. 33

"This the conclusion at which after so much discussion we arrive, is that for the Nation concept to exist and be manifest, it must have as its indissoluble component parts the famous five unities, " Geographical (country), Racial (Race), Religious (Religion), Cultural (Culture) and Linguistic (Language)" that the loss or destruction of any one of these means the end of the Nation as a Nation. This is the unassailable position on the view of Nationality, subscribed to by the world's political notaries, ancient and modern".

Comment

The above theory has been put to naught by the Socialist, Secular, Democratic nation, Bharat. Bharat does not conform to the above definition. If the five indissoluble component parts of a nation are (1) Country, (2) Race, (3) Religion, (4) Culture and (5) Language, India can never remain as a nation. Almost all the states in India should become a separate nation. Take the case of the states of Kerala, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu or Andhra Pradesh. Each of these states has its own country (Region), Race, Religion, Culture and Language. None of the Indian states is Aryan by any definition. But they remain not as separate nations, but as parts of the single nation, Bharat.

No one in the world questions the nationhood of Bharat, though she is a nation composed of people of many regions, races, religions, culture and languages. She is respected as the largest socialist, secular, democracy in the world. Her present status in the community of nations is not at all low. As a secular democracy, she can march forward, grow rich and strong and command the respect of the nations provided all sections of her people stand united to work for the glory of this wonderful nation. It is the secular democratic set up that has to grow. Saffronisation is unnecessary and uncongenial to the growth of Bharat.

Statement: P. 35

To keep up the purity of the Race and its Culture, Germany shocked the world by her purging the country of the Semitic Race - the Jews. Race pride at the highest has been manifested here. Germany has also shown how wellnigh impossible it is for Races and Cultures, having differences going to the root to be assimilated into one united whole, a good lesson for us in Hindustan to learn and profit by."

Comment

From the instance of Hitler's massacre of the sixty lakhs Jews in

German gas chambers and many more by many other methods, the writer has come to the conclusion that it is impossible for different races and culture to be assimilated into a united whole and for making a uniformity of race and culture, the best and the only way is to follow the suit of Hitler in India too. This is the lesson that is being imparted to the followers of terrorist groups of the Sangh Parivar, in the Sakhas or Branches of the R.S.S., B.J.P., V.H.P., Siva Sena, Bajrangdal and so on. The aspiration of the terrorist groups of Sangh Parivar is to eliminate all non-Aryans from India to see that there will remain only one race in India, viz. the Aryan Race. Their followers are taught that India belongs to Hindus, i.e Aryans composing of the four castes and the rest of the people should be eliminated. The ten percent Aryans should eliminate the ninety percent non-Aryans. The leaders of the Sangh Privar will have in all probability to meet similar end of Hitler, if they will not trace back their steps from the treacherous and treasonable path.

Statement: P. 38

"Those interested may first purge their minds of any preconceived nation and look into the constitution of the various nations of the world and convince themselves, how everywhere National existence is entirely dependent upon the co-ordinated existence of the five elements."

Comment

Interested persons need not look into the constitution of other nations. They need to look into the Indian Constitution only. After seeing the best performance of India as a socialist, secular, democracy, the whole world is appreciating and praising the nation Bharat. India has in fifty years become a glorious nation in the eyes of the world community of nations. The whole world appreciates and admires that this new nation is going to have a glorious future. The only hindrance is the saffron movement, which has degenerated into a terrorist movement.

Statement : P. 45

"At the outset we must bear in mind that so far as 'nation' is concerned, all those who fall outside the five-fold limits of that idea, can have no place in the national life, unless they abandon their differences, adopt the religion, culture and language of the Nation and completely merge themselves in the National Race. So long, however, as they maintain their racial, religious and cultural differences, they cannot but be only foreigners."

Comment

Judging by the standards, let us consider whether the Aryans are Indians or foreigners.

1. Country: The Aryans do not have any separate region of their own. They stay distributed here and there among the ninety percent non-Aryans.

2. Race: The Aryans are a special race. But they are not more than ten percent of the Indian populatiopn. Even the Aryans are not a single stock. They mainly belong to four distinct breeds, castes. They are not a uniform, united people, but they are separated from each other by wide gulf of irreconcilable differences. The Brahmins can never merge with the Kshatriyas. So there is no question of Aryans merging with the non-Aryans.

3. Religion: The religion of Aryans is Vedic. The Brahmins are the custodians of the Vedas, Shastras, mantras and tantras. Even the Aryans are not conversant with the Vedas. Only one or two here and there take the pain to read the Vedas, that too only some small portions. Most probably, there is not even a single person in India who has gone through the whole of Vedas and Shastras. Only Brahmins have the authority to learn the Vedas. The two castes just below Brahmins, the Kshatriyas and Vaisyas, may hear the chant-

ing of Vedas. But the lowest caste, Shudras, should not hear, learn or utter the Vedas. If they utter Vedas, their tongue should be cut off. If any Shudra tries to learn Vedas, he should be killed.

In the Aryan Vedas, there is no word 'Hindu'. Hindu is not the name of any religion. It is a geographical name tantamount to the the word "Indian'. Hindu means 'Indian'. Hindustan means India. There is no Hindu religion. Hindus or Indians have many religions. Buddhists, Jains, Parsis, Muslims, Christians and Sikhs have got their own religions. The non-Aryan outcastes, Dalits, Tribals, Vanavasis and others do have their own religious beliefs and practices very different from those of the Aryans. India is thus a land of many religions, one of which is the Aryan or Vedic religion which belongs to the two percent Brahmins among the Aryans. India has no national religion.

4. Culture: There is no uniform Indian culture as such, because the people who live in different geographical and political regions have their own distinct culture, very different from each other. The common culture of India can be summed up in the words, 'Live and let live' with all differences among the people. The Vedic culture or Aryan culture cannot be seen anywhere in India, even among the Brahmins.

5. Language: The language of Aryans who claim to be Hindus is Sanskrit which is supposed to be the language of the gods. This language is unknown to the ninety-nine percent of Indian people. More than forty percent of Indian population are illiterate. They do not know to read and write. There are hundreds of languages in India. There is no use of singing the glory of Sanskrit.

To conclude, the Indian nationality cannot be founded upon the five-fold bases, viz. the country, race, religion, culture and language, but upon the firm will of the Indian people to stand united despite all differ-

ences. It is neither the Aryanism nor the Vedic religion which binds the Indian people as one Nation. Attempts at Hinduisation can only divide, disunite and shatter into fragments this great nation, our Motherland.

Statement : P. 47

"There are only two courses open to the foreign elements, either to merge themselves in the national race and adopt its culture, or to live at its mercy so long as the national race may allow them to do so and to quit the country at the sweet will of the national race. That is the only sound view on the minorities problem. That is the only logical and correct solution."

Comment

This is the voice of terrorism.

Who are the foreigners? The ten percent Aryans or the ninety percent Indians? Which is the national race? Who belong to the national race? The Aryans or the Indians? Aryans are the people who keep aloof from the Indians, saying that they are superior people. Aryans do not even brook the nearness of Indians. They call the Indians 'mlechas' and keep them far away. They shun their presence. The Indians are not counted worthy to be touched even. They are declared to be untouchables. The Aryans claim to be a super race with superior culture. How on earth can these foreigners merge with the Indians? According to the writer, the Aryans of India will have to live at the mercy of the Indians and ultimately they will have to quit India. The large-hearted Indians, in contrast with the narrow-minded Aryans, do not ask them to quit India. They offer them equal citizenship rights with the Indians. The only condition is that the Aryans should not try to disturb the peace in this land by raising claims of superiority in any manner.

Statement : P. 47

"From the standpoint mentioned by the experience of shrewd old nations, the foreign races in Hindustan must either adopt the

Hindu culture and language, must learn to respect and hold to reverence Hindu religion, must entertain no idea but those of glorification of the Hindu race and culture, i.e. of the Hindu nation and must lose their separate existence to emerge in the Hindu race; or may stay in the country wholly subordinated to the Hindu Nation, claiming nothing, deserving no privileges, far less any preferential treatment not even citizen's right."

Comment

Most of the points have already been covered. The one foreign race creating problems in India is the Aryan race. They had been carrying on atrocities on the indigenous people from their very advent. They continue the practice even today.

It is the Aryans who should merge with the Indians. But, they cannot merge with Indians, Indian language, Indian culture or anything Indian. If by Hindu race, Hindu culture and Hindu nation, the writer means the Aryans, the Aryans have to merge with the ninety percent Indian population who consist of the Dalits, Harijans, Outcastes, Tribals, Backward classes and other non-Aryans. First, the Aryans should share the landed property and wealth with the non-Aryans. The Aryans should give their women to the mlechas and they should take the mlecha women as their wives. Let the Aryans sit with the mlechas, eat with them and stay with them. Let them study the local languages. Let them throw open all places of worship to the mlechas. Let the Aryans forget that they are a race separate from the mlechas of India who are the original inhabitants of India. Is there a Hindu race? Is the religion of Aryans the religion of mlechas? Is the Aryan culture the culture of the mlechas. It is only the Aryan race, the aryan religion and the Aryan culture which divide the people of India into many rival, antagonistic groups. Aryans should remember that they are only an infinitesimal portion of the Indian population and the real Indians are the non-Aryan mlechas who form the ninety percent of the population of India. It is for the Aryans to merge with the Indian mlechas.

Chapter III

Comment on a few statements made by Shri M.A. Venkata Rao in his introduction to 'Bunch of Thoughts'

Statement: P. xii

"The word Hindu in this context has a national character. It is tantamount to the word Indian - i.e. pertaining to a people living beside the river Sindhu. It connotes the entire culture and civilization of the Indian people from pre-historic times developed on Indian soil through millennia."

Comment:

The context is the consideration as to whether the word Hindu has national character. The answer is 'No'.

The statement that the word Hindu pertains to a people living beside the river Sindhu is right. Beas, Jhelam, Chenab, Ravi and Sutlej are the tributaries of river Sindhu. The places watered by these rivers are now in Pakistan. The writer says that the word Hindu or Indian pertains to a people living beside the river Sindhu. We are well aware that the people now living beside the river Sindhu are Pakistanis. That means the Pakistanis who by religion are Muslims, are geographically Hindus. That means the word Hindu or India is neither a religious name nor a political name.

The Aryans who claim that they are Hindus are now living not beside the river Sindhu, but they are living in Bharat. So the Aryans are not Hindus. Bharat is a new nation consisting of many different regions with different climatic conditions, races, religions, languages, culture and so on. From region to region, state to state, the people are of different origin, colour, language, dress, food habits, customs, religious practices

and so on. It is absurd to say that there is a common culture and civilization to the people of India from pre-historic times. Say before fifty or hundred years, even nearby places were foreign lands for the people. Bombay, Calcutta, Delhi and so on were as foreign as Tokyo or New York.Sri Rama took years to reach Lanka. The modern travel and communication facilities have reduced the distance between places. It is only because of the modern facilities, that the people can now think of unity as one nation. **The whole world is turning to be a global village due to the fast travel and communication facilities.**

In the beginning, the word Sindhu or Hindu pertained only to the areas of Sindhu river. Later on the name Hindu or India connoted the entire lands between Himalayas in the north, Cape comerin in the south, Bengal Ocean in the east and Arabian Sea in the west. India or Hindu was a geographical name only. The word Hindu was never applied in a political or national sense. Hindustan politically comprises of different nations - Tibet, Nepal, Bhutan, Pakistan, Bangladesh and Bharat. The name India is now applicable only to Bharat. Just as the word 'India' has no religious character, so also the word 'Hindu' has no national character. At the best 'Hinduism' is the religion of a small minority of the people of India, viz. the Aryans. Hinduism is Aryanism.

At present Hindustan has become exactly Pakistan. When the Britishers left India and the land was divided, the people residing beside the river Sindhu named the region as Pakistan and the rest of the land took the name Bharat. Geographically India is still one. Politically India is divided into many nations of which Pakistan (former Hindustan) and Bharat are two. If the Aryans are keen to repossess their age old Hindustan, they will have to drive away all Pakistanis from that land and occupy the same. Is this a part of the hidden agenda of the Sangh Parivar?

Statement: P. xiv

"It teaches loyalty and devotion to national society in the national homeland under the image of the Mother. The unity and

solidarity of the motherland is taught to claim the highest sacrificial devotion from the citizen body. Whoever enters into this spirit of devotion to the nation as a spiritual unity of land and people are Indians or Hindus in essence. The mental commitment should be final and supreme."

Comment:

Motherland is not a goddess deserving worship. One should worship only God, the Creator of heaven and earth. Any motherland is just a geographical region, politically unified and organized . All over the world, there are several motherlands. Motherland is not only for Indians, but for every citizen his nation is his motherland. America is motherland for U. S. Citizens, but they do not worship America as a goddess. Russians do not worship Russia as a goddess. In no other part of the world, do people worship the land as a goddess. It is only Indian idiocy that the people of India are asked to worship this part of the land as a goddess. Devotion should be towards God, the Creator. How can there be a spiritual unity of the land? The land has no life. There can be spiritual unity only of the people of the land.

The writer says, "Whoever enters into the spirit of devotion to the nation as a spiritual unity of land and people are Indians or Hindus in essence." By reading the above lines, one will think that all Hindus or Indians are spiritually united. But what is the truth? Take the case of only savarnas, the caste people. Do the four castes have the same spirit? Is there a feeling of oneness among the four castes? Is there unity between the sub-castes among castes? The Brahmins are divided into many sub-castes, who cannot count themselves as one. Each caste has got many sub-castes each keeping their own identity and social positions. What a deep gap exists between castes and outcastes! In such an atmosphere to speak about spiritual unity of Hindus is foolishness.

Brahmins claim themselves to be supreme. They have their own beliefs, traditions, food habits, clothing, life styles and social status. They have their own customs and civilization which is very different from those of others. Each caste is a closed social unit with culture and civilization, traditions and practices, different from those of others. Each caste, sub-caste, outcaste and their sub-divisions have separate identities. There cannot be a feeling of oneness among the castes, sub-castes, outcastes and sub-divisions. There is no spiritual unity or oneness among the different sections of Hinduism. Even if an artificial oneness is created for political purposes that will be only temporary and the different castes, creed, sections and sub-sections sooner or later will start fighting. Disunity and division is the intrinsic nature of Hinduism. To speak of Hindu nationality is a flop.

Spiritual-wise, there is no unity among the people of Bharat. Language-wise, is there any unity in Bharat? No. Almost each Indian state has its own mother tongue. The people of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Punjab, Bengal, Orissa, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka, Kerala, have different mother toungues. The food habits, dress, housing, cultivation, crops, festivals, gods, religious functions all differ very much. It is foolish to shout that India is one. India strives to have a political unity, that is all. India consists of many states, languages, civilizations, culture, traditions, faith, gods, religious practices, habits and so on. The diversity is explicit in every sphere of life. Those who close their eyes against these diversities are blind. Those who cannot keep their mind open towards the diversity are narrow minded fools.

Statement: P. xv

"The Muslims, Christians and Jews etc. have perfect upasana swatantrya, freedom of worship, so long as they do not seek to destroy or undermine the faith and symbolism of the national society. They should subordinate their exclusive claims for final and

sole revelation vis-à-vis the national society. They could bear witness to their faith in life and speech but they should not indulge in any unfair and unspiritual modes of conversion."

Comment:

Not only the Muslims, Christians and Jews should have the freedom of worship, but also all Indians of any caste, creed or religion should have freedom to believe in any religion and to worship the God of his choice. Religion and faith, being a matter for life after death, should not be subjected to interference by the state. The state has to confine its activities in mundane affairs, to safeguard the life of the citizens in this world, to see that justice is meted out to all citizens alike.

What is this national society? **The national society is composed of Hindus, Outcastes, Dalits, Tribals, Muslims, Sikhs, Christians and all citizens of any religion, caste or creed.** Anyone should be free to share any spiritual revelation he has received with others. Unfair and unspiritual conversions are forced upon the poor sections by the Hindutvavadis, which should be stopped forthwith. The Suddhi (purification) programme is nothing but compulsory reconversion.

Statement: P. xv

The national identity requires that the whole of national society including minorities should share in the best values of the past. They should appreciate national dharma – the code of ethical principles and ways of life enshrined in the best usage. In cultural history, they should all give their minds and hearts whole-heartedly to an appreciation of the best types. Rama and Krishna may be appreciated by non-Hindus as secular examples, while the Hindu will see them as full spiritual exemplars (avatars)."

Comment:

'Dharma' may be explained as fulfillment of one's duty towards

God and the sequential duty towards the humanity. The Christian community stands foremost in fulfilling their duty to the common man. On the other hand, the so-called hierarchy was practising all sorts of Adharma in India throughout the past. The code of conduct of Hindutvavadis was most unethical. The ways of life were worst ever possible when compared to those in other nations. What is Indian national Dharma? Even today, the division of Indian society into several distinct castes and outcastes stands against a common national dharma and identity. Hindutvavadis want all others to acknowledge that India belongs and should continue to belong to the caste people and that too to the upper castes. To them dharma means the submission by the lower castes and outcastes to the suzerainty of the upper castes. How can a freedom-loving citizen appreciate such a Dharma? The normal man appreciates the demand of the Hindutvavadis as **Adharma** only.

Non-Hindus appreciate Rama and Krishna as part of the fictitious stories written to cement the caste-superiority. No man whose darkness of ignorance is removed can appreciate Rama and Krishna as exemplaries (avataras). The educated people appreciate Rama and Krishna as avathars lifted up only to dupe the common, ignorant people, into subjugation of the upper castes. How can a Shudra consider Rama as an avathar? Rama searched out and killed Shudras who heard or learned Vedas and conducted tapasya. Rama saw that no Shudra conducted tapasya. What about Krishna ? He only sub-divided people into four castes, one over the other and established Brahminical superiority and tyranny over all others. Both Rama and Krishna treated the majority of the people as outcastes, untouchables, miserables. Both of them were Kshatriyas. The story writers used these two mythical figures to establish the tyrannical division of the society into several compartments, subject to discord, disunion, feud and rupture throughout generations. There is nothing spiritual about Rama and Krishna as depicted in puranas. Rama is an example of weakness. He could not be good even to his wife. Krishna was spiritually an utter failure in every step of his life.

There is nothing admirable in their character, life and doings.

Statement: P. xv & xvi

1. Philosophy: Darsanas

"Vedanta as the typical philosophy of India envisages a vision of the universe steeped in spirit fulfilling a life of eternal joy. Individual souls as sparks of such a supreme cosmic spirit have the divine destiny of realizing universality and becoming godlike. This assures equality of spirit among all souls. What higher basis for democracy can we have than this? What greater stimulus to generosity and self-sacrificing participation in the life of society? The nation is the grouping next to family and clan and neighbourhood in the service of which we grow in moral stature."

Comment:

Advaita is an illusory philosophy. It says that all human beings ,living beings and nonliving things are but sparks of a supreme cosmic spirit, destined to realize universality and to become godlike. The writer says that this assures equality of spirit among all souls and that this provides best basis for democracy. What a beautiful, high-sounding expression! Outwardly this is sweet-meat, but the fact is that this is a cover for the hidden poison inside. Hindutvavadis very well know that Advaita is a false philosophy, is only play of high-sounding words and statements, far away from the truth. Who doesn't know that man, animals and nonliving materials are never going to become god. God is God. No question of becoming God. Knowing this very well, the Hindutvavadis proclaim very high ideals saying that there is equality of spirit among all souls. If what they say is truth, there should be no difference in status between a Brahmin and a Mlecha. If all souls are equal, why should there be any difference between the life of a Brahmin and that of a Chandala.? Why caste differences, and difference in social status of

castes? If the same universal spirit is present in all human beings, why the classification into castes, sub castes, outcastes and so on?

Advaita says of equality of spirit in all souls. That means the same spirit is equally present and is working within all souls irrespective of caste, creed, race, colour, nationality, language, culture and civilization. It means the same spirit is working within the Brahmins, Chandalas, Muslims, Christians and Jews. So the Hindutvavadis have no room for making any grudge against non-Hindus and outcastes. But Hindutvavadis treat all of them as enemies. **This is their duplicity. They do not believe in Advaita. They may boast of Advaita, but they cannot practice it.**

Statement: P.xvi

"Traitors cannot be assimilated into the national fellowship until they change in spirit."

Comment:

The Hindutvavadis loudly proclaim that there is only one spirit. How then do they speak of change in spirit? Who are the traitors? Do they mean that some people have some spirit other than what is present within the Hindutvavadis? If so, the Advaitavaada fails. If so, they are talking of some other spirit which requires a change. This is opposed to advaita which emphasizes that there is only one spirit.

Statement: P.xvi

Toleration

",... this philosophy of immanence has produced the Indian doctrine of toleration of all points of view, religious views and ways of life."

Comment:

It is ridiculous to say that the Hindutvavadis are tolerant. If they

were tolerant, they would not have formed so many anti-non-Hindu organizations. The B.J.P., R.S.S., V.H.P., Siva Sena, Bajrang Dal and so many munches are formed not to co-operate with the outcastes, backward classes and other non-Hindus, but to keep them under subjugation with profit motive. The self interest of the upper caste cannot think of toleration.

Statement: P. xvi

Adhikara Bheda

"Divergent status due to divergent qualification is the formula that reconciles identity of goal and diversity of pathway."

Comment:

The above formula is the formula of caste system. The qualification of a person is decided by his birth. An idiot, a good-for-nothing muff, born in a Brahmin family by birth gets all adhikara (power) of a Brahmin. An extremely intelligent, efficient, honest man born in a mlecha family is considered as useless, low breed. Quality and qualification is not taken into consideration by Hindutva philosophy.

Statement: P. xvi

Social order

"Such a view has evolved the outline of social order in India that enables members to pass normally from step to step in the ladder of life smoothly using the experience of ages and lifting their personalities to higher planes."

Comment:

This statement is absolutely false. The members of the society once born in any low caste or outcaste can never change his status however he may try. An intelligent outcaste may by chance get educated and become a high officer. He may be respected as an officer in his office. But when he goes to his village, he is treated as a low-born mlecha.

The writer says about Ashramadharma. Ashramadharma does not lift up a man in the social order. Once born in any caste , he is bound to be in the bonds of that caste. Mahatma Gandhi was greater and better than any Brahmin of his time. Could he attain Brahminhood? He was born as a Vaisya and had to die as a Vaisya. Shri K.R. Narayanan, the President of India, is a better man than any Brahmin, better than any Hindu Aryans. Will he be admitted into Brahminhood? Dr. Ambedkar was a greater and better soul than the souls of any Brahmin of his time. Did Hindutva accept him as a Brahmin?

Statement: P. xvii

"The social order has another aspect, namely the duties and rights of different vocational groups viz. Swadharma."

Comment:

Swadharma means one's own duty as enjoined by birth in any particular caste or outcaste division. A Brahmin is born to learn Vedas, conduct pujas, fill his belly and rule over others.

A Chamar is born to work as a tanner and Kumhar is born to work as a potter. A man born in the family of a chamar should live and die as a chamar and a Kumhar should live and die as a Kumhar. This is swadharma. This is what the Hindutvavadis acclaim as a great teaching!!

Today there are thousands of people, born in low caste and outcastes, better and greater than anyone of the high castes. **The present President of India is a living example. Dr. Ambedkar is another example. Shri Bangaru Laxman, whatever his qualities and abilities, can live and die only as an outcaste.** There is no use harping on the string, swadharma.

Statement: P. xvii

No class war

"Another advantage of the Indian view of society is that it eschews class-war. It postulates social harmony as a potentiality, if

not as a full actual order of law and custom, observances and enforcements."

Comment:

It was the practice of employers, even now it is, to pay their employees minimum, just to suffice their survival. For they thought, rightly too, that if the employees are paid more, they will acquire power to resist and may revolt against the employers. If the employees are kept at a hand-to-mouth level, they will be subservient.

Similar is the case with Hindutva. They do not grant any sort of privilege, power or freedom to the poor folk, monetarily, religiously, socially, so that the poor have to remain under the command of their masters. More than fifty years have elapsed after getting independence. But a villager is not free to vote for a candidate of his choice in any election to panchayats, assemblies or parliament. He is illiterate, penniless, depending on high castes for a poor meal at times in a week or so. No wonder there is no class war. There is no class war, not because of social harmony, but because of slavery of the low classes. The slaves have no ability to utter against upper castes.

People of other religions, especially Christians work to improve the destiny of the poor folks. If this is done, Hindutvavadis fear that the low castes may gain enough power to make a class war and overthrow them from their high pedestal.

Statement: P. xviii

Dharma

"This is the source of the long-standing Indian faith in the panchayat way of settling disputes".

Comment

What panchayat? All these belong to the high castes. The common man has no voice to utter.

The panchayat way of settling dispute is to decide the case by majority opinion. This is not a fool -proof method to impart justice. There is fear of prejudice, ignorance of law and knowledge of right and wrong. The panchayat way of settling disputes can turn to be another way of unlawful imposition. Anyway panchayat is no solution to a class war. The way is to pave the way of equality by removing the differences between the classes or castes.

Statement P. xviii

"The state is not a class agent of the upper class, according to Indian Shastras or Political and Social Science".

Comment:

Shastras and Science say so many things. But what is in actual practice?

If the state is not a class agent of the upper class, it is not because of Hindutva, but because of democracy. Under Hindutva, which is nothing but caste system and Brahminical tyranny, government is an agent of the upper caste seeking to subjugate the lower castes and outcastes. Raja Dharma, Rajya Dharma and Rajyaniti, all are turned against the common man.

Statement P. xviii

"The Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh is not merely a school for teaching ideas and ideals. It is a school for practical education in character building."

Comment

The quality of the utility of the service they render to the society, the training at R.S.S. can be judged by the character of its members, intolerant attitude and attack on minorities and atrocities on outcastes. This is leading not to national integration but disintegration.

Statement P. xxii

"Nefarious activities of the Christian missionaries" in the North-East states.

Comment

Are the activities of the Christian missionaries nefarious? The North-Eastern states were in dark age, as dark as African regions. Uncivilised, uncultured, always warring, tribes with arrows and stones as their weapon, killing one another were given light of life by the missionaries. How many missionaries had to sacrifice their lives to win over and convert the barbarian folk to life of culture. The people of that dark region got light and are now well educated, cultured, independent and self-supporting. No Hindutvavadi can relish the progress of any people. They want all others should remain sub-servient to them. In fact Hindutvavadis are the uncultured set of people who cannot suffer the progress of any section other than themselves. So they want to impose the subjugating poison of Hindu Philosophy over the masses. But it does not work in the North-Eastern region where people are imbued with a philosophy of independence, spiritual, cultural and political. The Hindutvavadis should allow them to enjoy full freedom within the limits of the secular Indian constitution. Too much interference and indulgence of Hindutvavadis with the ulterior motive of Hinduisation and subjugation of the freedom loving people of North-East naturally can promote only tendency of segregation from the main stream. It can result the repetition of creation of another Pakistan. The germinators of Pakistan was the Hindutvavadis. It was the assertion of Hindutvavadis to do away with all non-Hindus from India that resulted in the creation of Pakistan. The narrow-minded great Hindu leaders are responsible for the tearing of "Mother India" into two pieces. The continuous nefarious activity of R.S.S. and other Hindutva organisations are going to tear this land into many pieces.

Statement P. xxii

"All Sikhs should register themselves as Hindus."

Comment:

The Sikhs are a people more enlightened than Hindus. They have thrown away the millions of the so-called Hindu gods. They believe in one God only. They have left away the many meaningless ways of idol worship, festivals, traditions and Hindu practices. They have got spiritual freedom and light. To ask them to register as Hindus is to draw them back into the dark dungeon of Hinduism. It is to pierce dagger into the breast of the respected Gurus who taught spiritual freedom to the people of Punjab. The Hindu dragon has swallowed Buddhism and Jainism and now it wants to prey upon Sikhism too. No valiant Sikh should permit him to be dubbed as a Hindu.

Chapter IV

Comments on Statements from 'Bunch of Thoughts'

Statement: P. 1

"The seers and savants of this land had delved deep into this vital question. The ideal of human unity, of a world free from all traces of conflict and misery, has stirred our heart since times immemorial. Our one constant prayer all through the ages has been (Let everyone be happy, let everyone be free from all ills). While the present day West has not been able to go beyond the motto of 'the greatest good of the greatest number', we have never tolerated the idea of a single human being - why, of even a single living organism being miserable. 'Total good of all beings' has always been our glorious ideal".

Comment

What a ludicrous statement! Just look into the past. What was the condition in India? Since the seers and savants had delved deep into the vital question of making all, even every single organism out of misery there was no misery, inequality, poverty or beggary in India. Not only human beings, but even animals and organisms kept up the serenity and worked for the common good. If the outcastes were shedding their blood as sweat and breaking their bone, toiling in cold winter and hot sun and producing corn and edibles, the caste people never allowed them to suffer the burden of eating from what they produced. Understanding the great, deep philosophy of the great Indian savants and seers, the animals, the lion, tiger and bear, stopped destroying, hunting and preying upon other animals. The rats stopped destroying corn and crops and all organisms looked after the welfare of their neighbours. Even gods threw away their weapons. Vishnu threw away his Sankhu (conch

shell) Sudarsana Chakra, Gada and Padma. Hanuman threw away his gada. Indra and his army threw away their swords, bow and arrows. It was into such a blissful India that the foreign invaders – the Shakas, Scythians, Hunas, Turks, Mughals and Europeans – came and upset all religious, spiritual and political systems. Under the seers and savants, not only India, but all the world, nay even the heaven were enjoying deep peace and abounding prosperity. Humanity, the animal kingdom, the birds, reptiles, fishes, even minute organism, nay even the living creatures in the fourteen worlds were enjoying life. Even Yama forgot his duty of killing. No sickness, no death anywhere. This was the magic world under Indian Sadhus. All this was destroyed by the non- Hindus. R.S.S. wants to see that all non Hindus are annihilated and the teaching of the seers and savants are spread over all the fourteen worlds to bring back the old Pre-Shaka period. Eliminate the non-Hindus from the universe to establish glorious Hindu universe!

Any man of common sense and general knowledge knows what India was before the foreigners came in. Under the guise of teaching of the seers and savants, what type of tragic experience the common mass of India had. Why did Vishnu take the ten incarnations? Was it because of the non-Hindus? Why did the great Kurukshetra war take place? Was it because of non-Hindus? What was the influence of the teachings of the seers and savants on Kauravas and Pandavas? How did the war affect the human beings, animal kingdom and other living organism in that war? Did not the Kurukshetra war wipe out both the Pandavas and Kauravas and their horses, cattle, elephants and other animals? Was not Yadukula wiped off? Under the teachings of the seers and savants, what was the end of Rama and Krishna! Rama got drowned and Krishna got killed by a hunter.

What was the condition of India when Shakas, the invaders, came to India? Was not India divided into petty chiefdoms, each warring against

one another? Did the people of India enjoy peace ever in their life? Were they not under continuous terror and fear for life? Is not struggle, war and destruction of neighbours the intrinsic nature of Hindu religion, the great religion propounded by the age-old seers and savants? Are not the Indian gods, the imaginary ethereal beings, clad with deadly weapons? How can the seers and savants preserve a peaceful co-existence of human societies, animals, birds and organisms?

Go through the laws of Manu. Manu, the law giver, was a great seer. By reading this one set of law, one can get an idea of Hindutva. Bhagawad Gita divides humanity into two broad divisions the Aryans and Mlechas or the caste people and the outcastes. It considers only the caste people as human beings. The outcastes are counted as mere two-legged animals. According to Hindutva, according to the seers and savants, the outcastes do not deserve a human treatment. Manu lays down the privileges and duties of each caste. Shudras are meant to serve only. They should spend their life as menial servants of the higher castes. The division of Indian society into antagonistic fragments is the intrinsic quality of Hindu philosophy. For the poverty, misery, backwardness powerlessness and slavery of India no foreigner is responsible. It is the seers of India, the savants and their teachings, that are responsible for the continuous defeat at the hands of the invaders and the age-long slavery of Indians. Both the Geetha and Manusmriti strives at division of the society into many, classify them one over the other and compartmentalise people into many sets of conflicting interests. The Puranas, also promote division among the people. The seers and savants of India, because of their narrow-mindedness and lack of vision has tore Mother India into pieces. It is none of the doings of the foreigners who came to India. The cause of division, poverty, strife and thrawldom of Indian people is the doing of the seers and savants and not of the foreigners.

In fact, the invasions of India by foreigners have been only a bless-

ing in disguise. India is a nation built up by the foreign invaders. The present day India is the making of the Shakas, Scythians, Hunas, Turks, Mughals, Portugese, French and Britishers. But for the Britishers, India would not have been India. The political unity of the people of this continent has been brought about by the Britishers. **By going back to the age old seers and savants, this nation will again get fragmented. Therefore, preserve secularism and throw away Hindutva.**

Statement: P. 2

"And it is a matter of common experience that wherever there are groups inspired only by self-interest, there is bound to be mutual conflict".

Comment:

This is a good lesson which the Hindutvavadis should always bear in mind. The Hindutvavadis consist of several self interested groups. Their only common interest is self-aggrandisement. See the politics of India. Every political party, each led by selfish leaders live and act with no scruples. R.S.S.., B.J.P. etc. are also of the same nature.

The writer says, "The present state of strifes and wars resulting in human destruction and misery has led many thinkers to conclude that the sentiment of nationalism which nourishes exclusive self interest is the major obstacle in the way of world unity and human welfare". In spite of knowing this, the writer is striving hard to promote a narrow sentiment of Hindu fundamentalism in India creating in the minds of followers hatred for other sects or groups. The sentiment of Hindutva is more dangerous than the sentiment of any nationalism. So the writer clarifies, "It is evident, therefore, that it is devotion to one's motherland, society and tradition experienced under the concept of nation that inspires the spirit of real service and sacrifice in the individual". But the readers should appreciate that the spirit of Hindutva is only sectarian, parochial, confining only to a section of the Indian people. Hindutva is a narrow

concept and should be shunned. In preference the slogan should be 'Indian' in place of 'Hindutva'.

Statement: P. 4&5

It is the occasional realisation of this common entity that inspires us to strive for the happiness of others. The 'I' in me, being the same as the 'I' in other beings, makes me react to the joys and sorrows of my fellow living beings just as I react to my own."

Comment

This is the teaching which every Hindutvavadi ought to receive and get imprinted in his mind. If he thinks that he has a sprit within him, he should also know that it is the same spirit which is present in the other persons too. But where the system of caste, outcaste and non-Hindu feeling is predominant, this thinking goes to the rear. There cannot be a feeling of common identity and universal brotherhood. Unless the Hindutvavadis practise what they profess, no good can proceed from them.

Statement: P. 6

"It is clear, therefore, that the mission of reorganising the Hindu people on lines of their unique national genius which the Sangh has taken up is not only a great process of true national regeneration of Bharat but also the inevitable precondition to realise the dream of world unity and human welfare".

Comment:

The secular nation of India is the best field for experiment of world solidarity. This is a new nation. Bharat is a mini world. Just as there are many nations, people of different religions, languages, colour, culture and civilisation in the world, so also is India composed of many states with different languages, religions, colour, culture and civilisation. If India can work as one nation in spite of the diversities, the whole world

too can work as one nation in spite of the many diversities in the world. Hinduism is not a panacea for ills of the world, but democracy can help the world to the maximum extent. Of course, the character of the people count much. The presence of selfish, uncultured people can defeat the advantages of democracy. Lovers of world-well-being should avoid the cry for narrow-minded Hindutva and work for the practical democracy.

Statement: P. 8

Our society which has given rise to such great souls down the centuries each one of them a lustrous star as the horizon of world thought – is still throwing up a host of luminaries right upto the present day such as Sri. Ramakrishna Paramahamsa, who could identify himself not merely with the joys and sorrows of human beings but with all animate and inanimate objects as well! When he saw a cow thrashed with a whip, he shreiked with pain and red stripes were found on his back! On another occasion, a bullock grazing on a meadow left a bruised imprint of its hoof on his chest".

Comment

Sri. Paramahamsa was so fond of cow and ox, that any stripes on the poor creatures would leave an imprint on the body of Paramahamsa. But was he careless about human beings? **When a human being was beat or even killed it made no effect on him.** He was full of compassion towards cow and ox, but not about other animals and human beings. No Hindutva soul in India feels at least a prick of conscience when innocent evangelists, self-abnegated missionaries, nuns, priests, and Christian workers are molested, beaten, killed or burnt. Such is the compassionate Hindutva souls! **I wonder what would have been the reaction on Paramahamsa on the burning alive of Graham Staines and his children.**

Statement: P.10

"In simple words,' Permissiveness', the individual is left free to indulge in whatever way he chooses to enjoy himself. There is no restraint of any kind on him. It is unbridled licentious behaviour with respect to sex, food, drinks, family life, social intercourse and all aspects".

Comment

The writer shows this as a social evil in the developed countries. But what about India? India is a country where life is so loose that even the question of 'permissiveness' does not arise, say with regard to sex. There is no law restraining the sex behaviour of individuals. In India there is no such law as 'Don't commit adultery'. The people are free to live and indulge as opportunities become available to them. Even in the very childhood, they get polluted and they continue to carry this behaviour throughout their life. Both hetero-sexual and homo-sexual indulgence is very common in India. Even the religious tenets give sanction to the consciousness of the people. Panchali-Pandava style of life is common. Housemaids are counted as trainers of boys in sex acts. Mohini figure of Vishnu, and the Rasakreeda of Sri Krishna and so on do give the religious sanction to a permissive life in India. The authors, poets, artists and so on promote the loose life. The modern cinemas are a catalytic agent for loose sexual relationship. Not only in sex, but in every walk of life, Indian society is as bad as any other society, if not worse. It might take years and years to lift up Indian society at least up to the standard of "The Ten Commandments".

Statement: P.12

"Nor is America a solitary instance. Wherever the Western Whites had gone, whether Australia, America or Southern America

they have left behind the same trail of death and destruction. Even recently, we have heard of similar horrors perpetrated against the natives by the Whites in South America".

Comment

The Aryan invasion of India was more contemptible than any other invasion by any other people. Aryans entered the land, plundered, killed and devastated the Dravidians, peacefully settled in the Indus valley and surroundings. The aboriginals, unaccustomed to war and weapons, had to flee for life to the forests and jungles. They became the hilly tribes or Vanavasis. In the jungles they lived a precarious hand-to-mouth life. Those who were captured were dubbed as mlechas, untouchables and left to do all hazardous labour of tilling, cultivation, masonry and so on. Shudras were kept for household menial services. These low people did not have the right to life even. Any atrocity including murder could be practised on them. No way of upliftment was left for them. They are required to continue in the same state of affairs for generations after generations. Any murmur would cost them their life. Even today the Dalits are massacred. Police do not dare to prepare an F.I.R. against the high castes. No case, no punishment.

The Westerners committed brutality on the indigenous natives. But that was only temporary. In the course of a short period, the disparity between the conquerors and the conquered dwindled. Now there is no difference between the Red Indians, Whites and Africans. All enjoy the same freedom and citizenship rights in the U.S.A. and other places. But what is the position in India? The mlechas, untouchables, outcastes are stamped down by the Aryan conquerors for ages. The atrocity still continues.

Centuries have elapsed. Still the Aryan invaders have not changed their habits. By employing physical and spiritual power, the downtrodden are kept permanently under slavery. Under the influence of the

teaching of the seers and savants, the Aryans continue to crush every non-Aryan group.

Statement: P.14 & 15

The Supreme Goal

When man realises this truth "that real happiness does not reside in external objects but in himself"..... That is the highest state to which a man has to aspire and ultimately reach – the state of Mukti or Moksha ".

Comment

Mukti is a state of man which he acquires by constant practice by avoiding all sense objects, even food and drinks, even the need to breathe. The man who reaches the state of Mukti or Moksha is no more. Thus the seers and savants of old have recommended for Indians to attain a state of nothingness. But none of the living Hindutvavadis desires Mukti. They want only supremacy over all others so that they may enjoy every worldly things. Let the followers of R.S.S., B.J.P., Sivasena, Bajarang Dal and similar groups aspire for Mukti, leaving the worldly pleasures and power.

Statement: P.20

"As a matter of fact the success of any government or any particular theory of government is to be measured in terms of its capacity to give every citizen two square meals, a place to rest in, sufficient clothing, treatment in case of illness, and education. That is the acid test.

Has Russia answered this test?"

Comment

We have to ask the same question to the Hindutvavadis? Has the teaching of the seers and savants being employed in India for hundreds of years answered this question? Why millions in India are starving with-

out having a shade to take rest, without clothes, without medical care and without literacy? Why the followers of the seers and savants hunt and kill the kind-hearted missionaries who reach some aid and education to the miserables?

Are not the Hindutvavadis hypocrites? They do not look at themselves. First take the beam out of your own eye. Then you will be able to clearly see the dust in the eyes of others. If Russia with its communism failed to answer the question, the Europe and America, with Christian principles, have achieved this end.

Statement: P. 23

"We love and serve one another not because of the external relations, but because of the community of that Atma" "..... the same spirit be immanent in all, that all men are equal. Equality is applicable only on the plane of the Supreme Spirit. But on the physical plane the same spirit manifests itself in a wondrous variety of diversities and disparities".

"According to our philosophy, the very projection of the universe is due to a disturbance in the equilibrium of its three attributes - sattva, rajas and tamas and if there is a 'gunasamya', perfect balance of the three attributes, then the Universe will dissolve back to the unmanifest state. Thus disparity is an indivisible part of nature and we have to live with it. Our efforts should be only to keep it in limit and take away the sting born out of it".

Comment:

The writer says that there are the three gunas. If the three gunas become in the proper proportion, the universe will disappear for everything will be merged in the unmanifest, ie., Parabrahma, the Supreme Spirit. The spirit alone will remain and the universe will disappear.

Hindu Philosophy says that inequality is essential for the existence

of universe. Diversity is the rule of nature. To keep the universe to continue in existence, the Hindutvavadis want to keep the four-old varnasrama dharma and also the Aryan supremacy. Aryans alone can keep the disparity between man and man in an arranged manner for long periods without allowing any social upheaval and class war. When monarchy, communism or democracy fails to give proper consideration to the members of the community, the people rise up against the male-factors and it causes in social upheavals. But the Hindutvavadis subjugate their victims in such a way by hook or by crook, by physical strength or spiritual threat, that the victims do not even think of rising up against the upper castes. That is the beauty of Brahminical tyranny. So they hate democracy and want to reinstate Hindutva in India.

Please note that if the Hindutvavadis will not keep up the disparity between the different sections in India, the Universe will vanish away!

Statement: P. 25

"It is through the full manifestation of Dharma in human life that human beings will be able to live in that state of highest harmony in spite of inherent disparities in nature. It is like the co-operation of a blind man with a lame man. The lame man gets a leg and the blind man an eye. The spirit of co-operation takes away the sting of disparity".

Comment

What a beautiful explanation of the spirit of co-operation and removal of the sting of disparity! Look at the Hindu society, in which the Brahmins and upper castes enjoy the fruits of all the labour of the outcastes and Shudras. Wells are dug by the outcastes, but they should not touch the well. Not even a single drop of water will be given to them, even if they breathe their last out of thirst. All the cultivation is done by the outcastes, but they are not given a share of the food materials. The Brahmins are the head and tongue, the Kshatriyas are the breast and

hands, the Vaisya are the buttocks and thighs, and the Shudras are the feet to do all menial services. Rest of the people are mere animals and treated worse than animals. This is the beautiful harmony envisaged under Hindutva. No strife, no quarrel, even if the suppressed classes are not allowed to breathe the air of freedom. The higher castes are supplemented by the lower castes and outcastes, but not the other way round.

Statement: P.26

".....the essential point, therefore, is the moulding of individuals after the real image of man imbued with the sublime principles of innate oneness and harmony, i.e. of dharma".

Comment

The writer speaks of the innate oneness of human beings under Dharma. Look at the way in which Hindu seers and savants speak of the oneness of the spirit in all men and at the same time divide the people into several categories generations after generation; never to shake off their thrawldom. Is there any innate harmony or feeling of oneness among the distinct castes? Is there any feeling of oneness in the outcastes and castes!

The writer further states that democracy can deteriorate into 'mediocracy' and monocracy. He states that democracy breeds two evils – self praise and vilification of others. He says that the West tried several systems from republic to monarchy. But in the West very many type of tyranny and bloodshed occurred. But he praises Indian Dharma which keeps the people peaceful without any revolution and bloodshed.

But what is the truth? In theWest whenever and wherever tyranny occurred, the people rose upto the occasion and fought for their freedom. The Hindutva tyranny or Brahminical tyranny is so strong that the subjected people do not get a chance to speak out their plight or to organise as a force against the oppressors. The low caste and outcastes

are divided into hundreds of distinct groups in such a way that the suppressed sections can never unite to put up a common front. Under democracy and other sorts of government, people may get some opportunity to vent their grudge, but not under Hindutva, the Hindu Dharma.

Statement: P. 32&33

"Herein comes the genius of the Hindu viewpoint which prepares the individuals' mind for this adjustment. He is educated and enlightened with regard to the true nature of happiness: the goal that is kept before him is not merely of physical enjoyment; that is not going to give him lasting happiness. For that he has to rise beyond his dependence on the physical objects, plunge into the depths of his own being and discover that eternal and boundless ocean of joy and bliss. He will then realise that the people around him are also manifestations of the same spirit and that the enjoyment of the fruits of his labour by them is equivalent to his own enjoyment".

Comment:

The statement is laudable. But in practice what is happening? How many enlightened Hindus are there in India, nay in the world? How many Indians plunge into the depths of his own being? How many Indians have discovered that eternal and boundless ocean of joy and bliss? How many Hindutvavadis have realised that the people around him are manifestations of the same spirit as he himself? How many Indians count that the enjoyment of the fruits of his labour by others is equivalent to his own enjoyment?

If the low caste and outcaste keep mum when products of their labour are carried away by the high caste people, it is not because they have discovered that eternal and blissful ocean of joy, not because they enjoy when the high caste people consume the product of their sweat,

but because they have no chance to express their grievances to anyone. Hindutvavadis should be taught that every other man is liable to enjoy equal freedom and standard of life as he himself.

Statement: P. 34

"Our concept of Hindu Nation is not a mere bundle of political and economic rights. It is essentially cultural. Our ancient and sublime cultural values of life form its life breath".

Comment

The writer says that our ancient culture was sublime. Sorry to say that if it was sublime, it was sublime only to the upper castes. For the common man, it was slavery and nothing more. It was anarchy and every village fighting against its neighbour. The society was divided into hundreds of divisions and compartmentalised. The low castes and outcastes were always under fear of life. The high caste could do anything with the low castes and outcastes. The outcastes never had any political or economic right. Hindutva is division, tyranny and ill-treating of the low castes and outcastes. Hindutva lacks humaneness. It cannot see his neighbour as a co-brother. People born of Tamoguna should be slaves to people born of Sattva guna and Rejoguna. What are these gunas? Gunas are a fictitious weapon to subdue one's neighbour.

Hindutva is a system under which by birth some are gods among men, some are kings and warriors, some are merchants and traders, some are cultivators and producers and many others are simply to be of an animal status. The political freedom, equality of status, equality in the eye of law, equality of opportunity, freedom of conscience etc. are promised in the Indian constitution. In spite of over fifty years of democracy, India has not been able to wipe away the deep scars of subjugation and maltreatment of the low castes and outcastes, practised for generation under Hindutva. This is the culture which the Hindutvavadis want to rejuvenate!

Statement: P.35

The writer explains that though Nehru was an agnostic the Hindu Samskar in him did not allow him to leave the ashes of his wife who died in the U.S.A. there, but he brought the ashes to India and immersed the same in the Triveni Sangam.

Comment

The custom of immersing the ashes in Triveni-sangam or Ganges is only superficial means to cheat the people. Hindutvavadis do not believe in the efficacy of this custom. They very well know that it is of no consequence. If they really believed that immersion of ashes of the dead person can do any good to him, they would not have, rather should not have allowed any dead body in India, especially those of Hindus, to be buried. Instead all over India there would have been cremation centres and provision to collect the ashes for immersion of the same in Ganges or Triveni-sangam. Under Hindutva there are hundreds of such meaningless customs which Hindutvavadis use cunningly to keep the people under their control.

Statement: P. 36

It is very difficult to know the nirakar, nirguna God.

"Our forefathers therefore said, "Our people are our God". Sri. Ramakrishna Paramahamsa, one of the greatest teachers of mankind, said, "serve man". His great disciple Swami Vivekananda also stated the same".

"The Hindu people, they said, is the Virat Purusha, the Almighty manifesting Himself. Though they did not use the word 'Hindu', it is clear from the following description of the Almighty in Purusha Sukta wherein it is stated that the sun and moon are His eyes, the stars and the skies are created from his nabhi (naval) and Brahmin is the head, kshatriyas the hands, Vaishya the thighs and

Shudra the feet. This means that the people who have this fourfold arrangement, i.e. the Hindu people is our God".

Comment

The writer quotes the seers and savants of old to state that the Living God is Hindus consisting the four castes – Brahmins are the head, Kshatriyas are the hands, Vaisyas are the thighs and Shudras the feet. To a Hindu, human beings and God are the same. Apart from Hindus, there is no God. **This statement is highest blunder.** The Hindus have no idea about true God. Their seers and savants had no idea of the wide world. They did not know that there are larger continents all over the world. They thought that they were the only human beings, that the world was only India and even of India, only those portions which their short sighted eyes and imagination could see and comprehend. They have excluded all humans outside Hinduism. Hindus are gods and others are what? Asuras, enemies of Hindus? The outcastes, backward classes, tribals, vanavasis are all mlechas, dark, ungodly people. **Only fools can say that all the four castes of Hindus make up the Almighty God.**

To a man of common sense, such a thinking is rooted in their ignorance of the world. There are highly civilised and cultured people outside India. Can they call themselves gods superior to Hindu gods? In no continent other than India humans style themselves as gods. Most of them believe in a God, the Creator. Hindus are worse than atheists. Atheists merely say that there is no God. But Hindutva says not only that there is no God, but also that they themselves are gods and also that all outside their circle are mlechas.

Such a thinking which secludes Hindutvavadis from the rest of the population of the world must be the result of some type of phobia. Many a mad people, play the role of kings, warriors, conquerors, police-officials and so on. The Hindutvavadis of India play the role of gods, masters and rulers of others. They should be bridled and treated

under psycho therapy. If not, the phobea will spread leaps and bounds in such a way that only a civil war will be able to control them.

Statement: P. 38.

"Truth is one, sages call it variously".

Comment

This is the one statement which is quoted over and over again. They quote it only at convenient moments to suit their dubious plans to subjugate others. The Hindutvavadis do not at all believe in their statement. If they believed in what they say, there would not have been any confusion in India. They would have surely allowed the rest of the people to walk in their different ways towards the ultimate truth. There would have been no hatred towards other religions. If there is divinity in every individual, why should a group organise themselves to impose their faith upon others?

Statement: P. 39

Raising the Average

"It is only when we revive these sublime features of our culture that our people in general will be inspired by the true vision of our national life so as to break their present shell of personal and family and other parochial considerations and rise high in character, service and sacrifice".

Comment

The Hindutvavadis have no right to use the phrases 'our culture', 'our people' or 'our national life' applicable to the whole of India. To them Hindus, alone are the Indian people. They claim to be the gods in India. Their number is less than ten per cent of the total population of India. Indian culture is not the culture of the ten per cent population, but of the ninety per cent population of India. The nation does not belong to

the ten per cent Hindus of India, but to the ninety per cent vast majority people. Our national life is the national life of the ninety per cent people of India in contrast to that of the ten per cent Hindus.

Statement: P. 43

VI For True National Glory

"Our one supreme goal is to bring to life the all round glory and greatness of our Hindu Rashtra."

Comment:

Why the writer is harping on the glory of Hindu Rashtra? It is narrow-mindedness and crookedness of Hindutvavadis. Any citizen of Bharat should hope and work for the glory of Bharat and not of Hindu Rashtra. After all, Hindus are a small minority, say ten per cent of the population of Bharat. Hindus confine to the four castes under varnasrama dharma, namely Brahmin, Kshatriya, Vaisya and Shudra. The glory of Hindus can not be the glory of the vast population of Bharat.

Artha, Kama, Dharma and Moksha are confined to Aryans and not to Indians. A Bharateeya has got many more aspects of life. Because of the Aryan aspects, millions of indigenous Indians were reduced to abject poverty and millions used to die out of starvation, contagious diseases and physical attacks, in the times of affluence and material prosperity which were allowed to be enjoyed only by the Hindu upper castes.

Hindu philosophy of Moksha is cheating only. When any Hindu is God himself, how does the question of attaining Moksha arise? Even before attaining Moksha, he is already God. And note, this godhead is merely for Hindus.

Statement: P. 49

Body is the primary instrument for fulfilling our duties in life.

Without an able body, we cannot achieve anything. Even to see God, a healthy and strong body is required. God is not for the weak".

Comment:

It is desirable to have a healthy body. But it is wrong to say that God is not for the weak. The strong think that they by their strength can keep the weak under subjugation. But they forget that God cares for the weak and stand as a fortress safeguarding them. God is a refuge for the weak

Statement: P.54

"First of all, how do you define a Hindu"? Well, it is really a tough job".

Comment:

The writer says that he can easily define a Mussalman or a Christian, but not a Hindu. But he has already defined a Hindu. According to him Hindus are Aryans, consisting of four castes of Chaturvarna, Brahmana, Kshatriya, Vaisya and Shudra. On page 36, the writer says, "The Hindu people, they (the seers and savants) said, is the Virat Purusha, the Almighty manifesting himself. Though they did not use the word Hindu, it is clear from the following description of the Almighty in Purusha Sukta wherein it is stated that the sun and moon are his eyes, the stars and the skies are created from his nabhi (navel) and Brahmin is the head, King the hands, Vaisya the thighs and Shudra the feet. This means that the people who have this fourfold arrangement (castes) i.e. the Hindu people, is our God.

Then the writer says, "In a way, we are 'anadi', without a beginning". That means the Hindus are gods without any beginning.

The writer says about the rest of the human beings in the following words, "Then the rest of the humanity were just bipeds and so no distinctive name was given to them. Sometimes, in trying to distinguish our

people from others, we are called the 'enlightened' the Aryas and the rest Mlechas ..... And the name 'Hindu' derived from the river Sindhu, has been associated with us in our history and tradition for so long that it has now become our universally accepted and adored name".

In common parlance the word mlecha means an abominable person, barbarian, an outcaste, an unclean person, uncultured, untouchable. To an Aryan or Hindu, a non Hindu is mlecha. To a Hindu every Muslim and Christian is a Mlecha. The Pope is an uncivilised barbarian not worthy to touch even. Bill Clinton who came to Gujarat with millions of dollars to help the victims of the earthquake is an abominable barbarian and untouchable being unclean. **The money that flows to India from Christian countries is not Mlecha, only the givers are mlechas.** The fruits, corns, vegetables, honey, oil, ghee, etc. produced and supplied by outcastes are not mlecha, only the outcaste people are mlechas. Under the definition of Hindu, self-praise, boasting and claiming to be what they are not should be limelighted as the distinctive characteristic of Hindutvavadis. Any man knows that any Hindutvavadi is one among the human beings. There is nothing specially great about them. In intelligence, skill, dexterity or cleverness Hindu is below average. **In cleanliness, in education, in scientific achievements, in general standard of life, in culture and civilisation, he is too low compared to others.** His greatness consists that the one or two percentage of them chant Sanskrit words and verses which neither they understand nor the hearers. Then they speak about spirituality. Their knowledge about spirit is almost nil. They are so foolish to claim that their spirit is the Paramatma or God. And they are foolish enough to claim that they are God, since there is a small portion of God within them. Based on the same reasoning, one can say a Hindu is blood, water, air, bone, flesh, etc, because a bit of all these things are in him. Can we say that a ball is air because the ball is filled with air? If they claim to be gods, one will have to add that they are foolish gods.

The only aim of the Hindutvavadis is to bring the whole world under their control. They dream to establish a world religious system under which the whole world will be subjected to the upper Hindu castes.

The speech of Swami Vivekananda at the world religious Congress in Chicago in 1897 was acclaimed very much by the Christians who knew not Christ and the ultimate truth Christ revealed to the world. Thereafter hundreds of nominal Christians have been attracted and mentally subdued by the cunning Hindutvavadis in the guise of Sanyasis, Gurus, gods and so on spreading Hinduism under the guise of Yoga, Transcendental meditation, Hare Krishna movement and so on. The white skinned ignorant having no knowledge of Christ and his teachings become easy prey to the colourful attire, loudmouthed shouting, clamorous noisy claps, peculiar sounds produced by conch shells, bugles, drums and voluptuous, indulgent movement of the partakers. Their devotion does not go beyond the mob psychology. Nominal Christians find pleasure from dancing. Hindutvavadis find pleasure in disorganised orgies. Both lead to climax of sexual excitement. Nominal Christians do not attribute any spirituality in their dance programmes. Hindutvavadis attribute spirituality and devotion to their festivals and orgies. Large number of Whites who are attracted by the sex orgies adopt the Hindu practices of enjoyment. Those who go for Transcendental Meditation, (TM), press and irritate the mucus membrane and swallow the same mistaking it for nectar (Amrut) which prevents old age and death. Has anybody escaped from old age or death by swallowing the mucus produced under T.M.? None. Many Whites receive spiritual blessings from a divine embrace by Amrutanandamayi. What blessings do they receive? **Will any Brahmin of India go for an embrace from her? She is born a mlecha and will remain as a mlecha till she lives. For Hindus, she is a Mlecha. Hindutva advertise her as a goddess, not for them, but for making money and political gain from mlechas of India and abroad.**

Statement: P. 55

'Sometimes, in trying to distinguish our people from others, we are called 'the enlightened' - the Aryas - and the rest Mlechas.

Comment

Hindutvavadis consider that whoever is not an Aryan is a mlecha. For this one reason, the Hindutvavadis are worse mlechas than any other people.

Statement P. 56

Since the distinctive natural urge in man is to expand and express his divine nature, he will be born again and again so long as the least trace if ignorance of his true divine self remains, and in every birth he will be able to make further progress, if he makes honest effort."

Comment

The more refined a Hindu becomes, he turns to be more foolish enough to believe in the theory of rebirth. The theory of rebirth is a theory concocted to keep up the supremacy of upper castes and to subjugate the lower castes and outcastes. Hindutvavadis have no faith in this theory at all. Their actions, inhuman treatment of others, self-boasting and all their life style bear witness to the fact that Hindutvavadis merely try to make - believe others in the theory. The writer says, 'This theory of rebirth for the realisation of our oneness with that Ultimate Reality is the one hope for the human soul." But the complete life and action of the Hindus reveal that their only hope is in this life which they want to enjoy at the cost of others. By teaching that there are many lives to live, people are made idle, selfish and crooked in this life.

Statement P. 56

"So, our philosophy says, do your work, do your duty in a selfless spirit."

Comment

By laying down the above principle, the high castes have found out means to exploit the lower castes and outcastes, to eat without shedding even a single drop of sweat. They have subdivided the society into castes and sub castes and have enjoined duties to each caste and out-

castes. The outcastes are overburdened with all tough jobs while the upper castes are left to enjoy the fruits of the labour of the low castes and outcastes. While they enjoy the life, the low castes and outcastes are subjected to slavery and misery.

Statement: P. 56

"Then we are completely absolved from all the effects and shocks of the external world and we will be able to concentrate on our true self."

Comment

How can anybody concentrate upon his True Self? Where is the True Self? The True Self is said to be inside oneself. So one is concentrating upon himself. Then the true knowledge asks him to repeat, "I am God", "I am God." "Aham Brahmasmi". "Tatvamasi." Hindutvavadi's meditation leads him to the mistake, the misunderstanding required to make him a self-assertive, arrogant, haughty person disdaining others. When he becomes God, others are counted as 'mlechas.'

Statement: P. 57

"Our philosophers have placed 'man' as that objective mainfestation of Reality, as the object of worship and service. They declared, "Like ourselves, every man is a spark of the same Reality. Let us try to identify our joys and sorrows with an ever-increasing circle of men, expand thus our being and utimately realise the Great Reality pervading the entire universe."

Comment

This is what the Hindutvavadis do not believe at all. They do not believe in any Great Reality apart from them. They do consider themselves as object of worship and service by all others. They can't brook the nature of Christian missionaries who try to render some service to the downtrodden, illiterate, sick, miserables. Their antagonism to the Christian missionaries is that the missionaries render monetary help, medi-

cal aid, education and thus try to mitigate the sufferings of the lower castes. Hindutavadis do not hesitate to burn alive such missionaries.

Statement: P. 57

Man does not live alone; he shuns solitude. He is gregarious by nature. So human beings come together and live as social beings in the form of society."

Comment

The above statement of the writer is true. But what about the Hindutvavadis? To him the society and social beings are but Hindus and Hindus only. They keep the non-Hindus away from them. They hate the nearness of low castes. The outcastes are shunned and treated as untouchables. Society to Hindutvavadis means the upper castes. Outcastes are allowed to live in clusters far away from the abode of Hindus. People of other religions are considered as mlechas and kept at a distance. To Hindutvavadis, man means themselves. Serve humanity means serve themselves only.

Statement: P. 58

"Why should we run after those fleeting objects? We opted for a wealth which is the unique treasure of human life, which we can develop within ourselves - the wealth of sound virtues, of perfect knowledge and sublimity of the soul."

Comment

It is stated that Hindus want to develop the wealth of sound virtues of perfect knowledge and submitting of the soul. What is perfect knowledge? Is it to know that the self is the God? The one who counts himself as God is in total darkness. He cannot love or respect his own brother. The writer says that the general mass of people in other countries have worshipped a great military hero or a mighty chieftain, but we find in India that even great heroes and monarchs have worshipped the dust of half-naked sanyasins living in forest without a piece of cloth to call their own.

The writer is mistaken or he is ignorant or he is trying to befool the readers. There is no other country in the world where the people worship mighty men, heroes in the war, as gods. Why do Indians worship Brahma, Vishnu and Maheswara as their gods? Why do they worship the godesses, especially Kali but for her heroic deeds? Why Hanuman is considered as a monkey god and worshipped? Even Sivaji a Maratha King is adored and worshipped. Behind every god worshipped in India, there is some story or other of valour.

The ignorant masses of India have reduced themselves spiritually. A general tendency has been germinated and developed to worship human beings as gods, if they can attribute to themselves some extraordinary ability. For example, Shri Satya Sai Baba is worshipped for his extraordinary performance of bringing ashes, ornaments, watches etc., from nothingness. Recently Amritanandamayi is raised to the level of a goddess (Devi) and worshipped for the attributed wonders that take place in her name. Sanyasis command honour and worship, among the ignorant people of India. The naked Sanyasis are worshipped more by women folk. As soon as the women see naked Sanyasis, they prostrate before them, pour water on their linga (Penis) and drink the water falling from the Penis. Such are the idiotic Indians. They have no idea of right and wrong. They do not know that they should not worship anybody other than the Omnipotent, Omniscient, Omnipresent, invisible God. It is too silly and too much astonishing that great leaders, political and religious, expectantly gaze into the eyes of Amritanandamayi, Sai Baba and many other so called spiritual people.

The writer further says that the quality of the inner being alone is abiding and that it goes from life to life till it reaches the culmination of perfection. He is referring to the continuous life cycle of birth and death. This is a false notion. Man is not born from the soul of any other beast or bird. If a man cannot attain perfection in this life, he can attain it never after.

Statement: P. 58

Let us interpret on these lines and gradually assimilate all those distinctive Hindu traits so that we can stand before the world as positive, dynamic Hindus."

Comment

The writer is worried only about Hindus. And he has already defined Hindus to be people who come under the four castes. So there is nothing Indian in what he says. He is worried only about the nearly ten percent of Indian population. And their real region is Sindhustan in the present Pakistan.

Statement: P. 59

"In all that we do, in our dress, in our behaviour and in all walks of our life, the stamp of positive coviction should be vividly manifest."

Comment

The writer wants all old Indian practices including dress to be brought back. God forbid. At least in the matter of dress, please don't go back. The under - tie, the tuft, the ashes and the mark on the forehead can give only a comical appearance.

Statement: P. 59-60

"The positive conviction that this is my Hindu Rashtra, this is my dharma, this is my philosophy which I have to live and set up as standard for all other nations to follow, well, this should be the solid basis for Hindu reorganisatiion."

Comment

Hindus cannot set up a standard for any other people, for Hindus are a closed society consisting of four castes unequal, one on top of the

other. No other people in the world including Indians can accept this divisive system in their society. However, it is for Hindus to decide whether they have to continue this cursed system or not. Hindu Rashtra is beside river Sindhu, now occupied by Pakistanis. Our nation is Bharat, India.

Statement: P. 65

".....therefore, comes up before us as the call for National Swadharma."

Comment

What is this National Swadharma? Swadharma is an Aryan concept, a device, with which the upper castes subjugate the lower castes and outcastes. Dharma here means duty. Swadharma means one's own duty. The principle of Swadharma has divided duties and enjoined special duties to each caste. People are born into a particular caste to fulfil the duties enjoined to that caste. A man born in a Brahmin family should perform only duties attributed to Brahmins. He should not perform the duties attributed to any lower caste. So also a man born as Shudra must not do any duty ear-marked for any of the upper castes. Brahmin should learn Vedas, carry out pujas chant mantras and eat what the Shudra cooks. The Shudra should not learn vedas, conduct pujas or chant mantras. Shudra should not even hear the vedas. If he hears Vedas stealthily, molten lead should be poured into his ears. If any Shudra speaks Vedas, his tongue should be cut off. If he disrespect Brahmin, he should be killed off.

How can one define Swadharma in a modern society where activities have grown in number and in nature by leaps and bounds? The world is not of the same old Aryan type. No man can be tied to any special duty merely by the merit of his birth in any particular caste. What is national Swadharma! Is it to count Hindutvavadis as a Sublime species and to count all other nationals as mlechas? Is it to count Hindutvavadis as first rate nationals and the people of other nations as

hopeless, inferior, brutish, uncultured and uncivilised?

The idea of Swadharma has lost its stand in India itself. According to the theory of Swadharma the president of India should have been some Kshatriya for Kshatriyas are born of Rajoguna destined to be rulers. But the present President of India is an outcaste. The defence minister of India should be a Kshatriya by birth. But George Fernandez, a mlecha, is the defence minister. Very high positions in the government and politics such as defence, education, trade, industry etc. are held by Muslims, Christians and outcastes who are mlechas according to Hindutva. Oh God! What will become of all the mlechas in India, who form ninety percent of the population, if the Hindutvavadis succeed in their trick to hoodwink the masses under Hindutva by using the vedic tantras and chanting of 'Om' and taking the names of gods, mountains, rivers, holy places etc! It will be the end of democracy, freedom of individual, freedom of conscience, speech etc.

What Hindutvavadis stand for is not swadharma, but Swasneha, selfishness, self-love.

Statement: P. 67

It is to mould such inspired lives that Sangh lays utmost stress on day to day Samskars, day-to-day inculcation of all those qualitites of head and heart which go to foster strength and competence in the individual to march on the path of life long dedication.

Comment

A tree is known by its fruits. What type of training is given by the Sangh to its members can be known well from the type of individuals the Sangh has moulded. Nathuram Godse who treaded on the path of life-long dedication shot down Mahatma Gandhi. Dhara Singh burnt alive the missionary, Dr. Staines and his two sons. The members of the Sangh

demolished, Babari Masjid. They have devastated many churches, murdered Christian priests and pastors. They have burnt thousands of bibles and Christian literature. Many a nuns have been raped and hundreds of atrocities are committed against, Christians, Muslims and Dalits. Dalits are killed in many parts of India. This is the result of the training given by the Sangh. They attract innocent, good - natured volunteers and brain wash them in such a way that they hate all non-Hindus and live with full of hatred for others in the society. To whom are they dedicated? To their own cause and to their own caste. Followers of the Sangh are narrow - minded and most selfish having no humaneness in them.

Statement: P. 69

"But our society has survived all these grave crises. Again and again it has risen from the ashes, smashed the stronghold of the evil forces and established the reign of rightiousness." ..."Saints and Sages, who had risen above the mundane temptation of pelf and power and had dedicated themselves wholly for establishing a happy, virtuous and integrated state of society, were its constant torch bearers. They represented the dharmasastra."

Comment

It is a historical truth that the foundation for the fight for Independence for India was laid by large-hearted Britishers. A.O. Hume and Anni Besant are shining examples of this fact. The saints and sages of Hindutva did not play any part in the war of independence. It was the Christian idea of equality, fraternity and liberty that worked in the head of Indian leaders. Hindutvavadis played the least role in the struggle for independence. It was the common people, the Hindus, Muslims, Christians, Sikhs, the Dalits, Backward classes and Tribals who shouted out the British rule from India. Neither before, nor after the independence of India have the Hindutvavadis done any good for India. They have been planning and practising how to make gain out of independent India for themselves. Their problem is not concerning those of Indians but of

the Hindutvavadis, the upper castes. **Their patriotism reached in its climax by shooting our Rashtra Pita.**

Statement: P. 70

"Not only was Sri Rama set up, but intense national consciousness of the whole of society was kept ablaze by these sages, through regular discourses, discussions and various dharmic rites. How alert, how diligent were these half naked faquirs in their devotion to the welfare of society!"

Comment

The above statement of the writer is totally contrary to what we read in the Ramayana. There it is stated that Sri Rama was never allowed by the priestly self -seekers to stay at Ayodhya and look after the government. Instead, he was forced to go with his wife and brother, to the jungles. When Ravana stole his wife, nobody from Ayodhya came to his help. He had to seek the help of the Vanaras, the South Indians nick-named by Hindutvavadis, to find out his wife. It is the south Indians who fought for Sri Rama and regained Sita from Lanka. Even after killing Ravana, adharma continued to rule all over the three worlds, in Ayodhya and also in the royal house of Sri Rama. That is what we read in Ramayana which narrates that Sita was left away alone in the jungles while she was carrying. Afterwards Rama ordered Laxman to kill Sita. Laxman was sacked by Rama. At last Rama himself drowned in river Sarayu. All that was according to the divine advices of the great half-naked rishis of Hindutva.

Statement: P. 70

"The Buddhist Age too has the same message for us. After Buddha, his followers here degenerated. They began to uproot the age old national traditions of this land. ...the Buddhist fanatics invited and helped the foreign aggressors who wore the mask of Buddhism. The Buddhist sect had turned a traitor to the mother society

and the mother religion. In such a critical moment, who was it that came up as the redeemer of our dharma and our society?

Sri Sankaracharya. Buddhism, as a distinct sect, was erased from the mother soil."

Comment

In spite of what has been stated above, the Hindutvavadis , relish getting their votes. They want Buddhists to be included in the voters list, in the census, not as Buddhists but as Hindus. Thus, they want to see Buddhism cleansed off from the political scene of the nation. And our innocent Buddhist brothers are so simple as not to smell the rat.

Statement: P. 71

"Buddhism, as a distinct sect, was erased from the mother soil, though of course Buddha remained as an incarnation. We worship Lord Shiva, no doubt, but on that account we do not welcome the flock of demons surrounding him!"

Comment

This is a glaring example of the cunningness of the Hindutvavadis. Buddha was raised to the pedestal of an incarnation only to dupe the Buddhists and to give a death-blow to that religion. Note that the writer regards Shiva as a god surrounded by flocks of demons.

Statement: P. 71

"Thus, once again, the great national consolidation centred round dharma and the vicious, antinational forces were put to rout and the flag of national victory flown triumphantly from Attok to Cuttack and Kashmir to Kanyakumari.

Comment

The writer is blowing his own trumpet. He has forgotten history. He says that as a result of the works of Chaitanya, Tulsidas, Surdas,

Jnaneshwar, Ramananda, Tukaram, Ramanuja, Madhva , Nanak, Chhatrapathi Sivaji, Kings of Vijayanagar, Swami Vidyaranya, Guru Govind Singh and Banda Bairag, a great national consolidation centered round dharma took place and the vicious antinational forces were put to rout and the flag of national victory flown triumphantly from Attok to Cuttack and from Kashmir to Kanyakumari.

History says that the flag which fluttered was not the flag of Hindutva but the flag of Britishers. The British flag fluttered till the midnight of August 15, 1947. And thereafter the flag of Bharat, the largest democratic nation of the world began to flutter. Hindutvavadi can't tolerate it. He wants the credit for himself.

Statement: P. 76

'Immortality of our nation.'

Comment

What is this immortality of our nation. At no time in the history prior to 1947, was India a nation. India became a nation only in 1947. Prior to 1947, the name India denoted the landscape, covered by Himalayas in the north and by the seas on the other three sides. There were hundreds of independent suzarain states with their own kings, governments, people, life-styles, languages and so on. I was a Travancorean before 1947 and I had my own king. Some two hundred years before that my forefathers were subjects of Kayamkulam, a petty state. The king of Travancore annexed many petty states including Kayamkulam. Till the 18th century my forefathers were Kayamkulam-valas. In 1746 only they became Travancoreans. Only by 1947, I and my family members and the people of Travancore became Indians. Travancore, Cochin, and Malabar, a portion of Madras province united to form the state, Kerala. Now I am a Keralite in the first instance and an Indian at the next instance. Similar is the case of every Indian. Every Indian opted to be considered as an Indian in 1947. His first devotion is to his own state and then to the nation.

So, the question of immortality of India as a nation does not arise. A national unity has been brought about in India due to the efforts of the nation-builders, by the Indian National Congress under the leadership of Mahatma Gandhi. All people of India irrespective of nationality, language, caste, creed, social status, joined hands to give birth to the new nation, Bharat. The teachings and actions of the Aryans who are Hindutvavadis can help only to fragment this new born nation.

Statement: P. 77

"Similarly, why should we, who have the integrated vision of the entire national life, run after a fleeting thing like political power?"

Comment

The writer asks why R.S.S. should run after political power. But what is taking place in India. R.S.S. wants not only to accumulate the whole political power in India, but also to continue the power in their own hands. They seek for an Aryan Supremacy instead of democracy.

Statement: P.77-78

"Remember that the ancient spirit is not dead. That race spirit which has survived all the shocks of centuries of aggression and has time and again thrown up great spiritual and national heroes, is bound to reassert itself. Let us fashion our life on the pattern of those ancient torch bearers, those cultural luminaries of our land. Let us revive that glorious tradition which produced a Vasishta, a Viswamitra, A Chanakya, a Vidyaranya and a Samartha, that blossomed forth in a Sri Rama, A Chandragupta, a Krishna devaraya and a Shivaji."

Comment

The race spirit? Which race? The Aryan race spirit. It is not the Indian national spirit. It is not the spirit of Indian people. It is the spirit of the Aryan invaders of India.

'Centuries of Aggression.' The Aryans made aggression over Indian people, physically and spiritually for centuries. The defeated Indian people were not given food, land, a hut to lay their heads, cloths, education, income, means of livelihood. They were treated as mlechas. If the eyes of a Brahmin happened to fall on any mlecha, he thought that he will get polluted. So the mlechhas, the outcastes, the untouchables should keep themselves away from the eyes of Brahmins. An outcaste if happened to break this law, it cost him his life. But then the Shudras and outcastes must work twenty four hours in the fields and work places to produce all that is required by the Aryans for a prosperous life. This is the agression which was rampant in India and wherever the Aryans could establish their supremacy. Invasions were common in the olden times everywhere in the world. India had faced various invasions from outsiders. But more common were the invasion of Indian kings over other Indian kingdoms. During the time of Sri Rama, he conducted an Aswamedha yaga, which is nothing but an invasion of the neighbouring states. During the time of Rama and Krishna, India lay divided into many large and small independent, autonomous kingdoms. Every king commanded the respect of his subjects. The spirit then was not Indian national spirit, but the spirit of each kingdom. In Mahabharatha you can read the names of hundreds of independent kings and kingdoms. The writer hides all these facts to deceive the present generation. If the erstwhile spirit is revived, India will have many many spirits of the hundreds of petty old kingdoms. The torch bearers mentioned by the writer are best prominent Aryan people and not Indians. Chanakya, Sri Rama and so on were Aryan leaders who committed great aggression over the Indian people, who safeguarded Chaturvarna, i.e. caste system and reduced outcastes or non-Aryans to slavery and misery. **The plain fact is that the heroic Aryans are enemies of Indians.**

Statement: P. 81

This is our sacred land, Bharat, a land where glories are sung by the gods - the men born in the land of Bharat the gateway to

heavens and salvation, are more blessed than the gods themselves - so sing the gods."

Comment

What wonderful song! That too song by the gods. Are gods too foolish to sing such a ridiculous song? What is the true picture of original Indian?

The words given above are meaningless. It is said that the gods sing the glories of Bharat. The gods are existing only in fiction and not in reality. Stories tell that there are three hundred and thirty million gods and goddesses in heaven, the kingdom of Indra. Stories are only stories, the imagination of man. Indra and devis like Rembha, Tilotama and so on do not exist. So the statement that gods sing the glory of Bharat is only in imagination and not a reality.

The writer says that Bharat is the gateway to heavens and salvation. Heaven and salvation is not a Hindu concept. There is no salvation in Hindu philosophy. Hindu concept is 'Mukti' which is very different from salvation.

Heaven is the kingdom of gods. It is not for human beings. Even people like Vasishta, Viswamitra, Chanakya, Shivaji, Tilak, Aurobindo, Bankim Chandra, Netaji Subhas Chandra, Vivekananda, and so on are not eligible for entry into heaven. How can anybody sing that Bharat is the gateway to heaven? Is it true that whoever is born in Bharat go to heaven after their earthly life? **The writer does not realise what he is saying. This is mere babbling, meaningless statement.**

Statement: P. 81

"..... is a land visualised by Mahayogi Aurobindo as the living manifestation of the Divine Mother of universe, the Jagatmata, the Adishakti, the Mahamaya and the Mahadurga, who has assumed concrete form to enable us to see Her and worship Her."

Comment

The writer says Bharat is a Land which is the divine manifestation

of the Divine Mother of the universe, the Jagatmata, the Adishakti, the Mahamaya and Mahadurga. If so, then what about the other continents on the earth? Are they not the manifestation of the Jagatmata? If at all there is a divine Mother of universe, can she shrink in the form of Bharat? The words of the writer are mere flop. The Adisakti is Parabrahma or the Universal Spirit. Behind him there is no female power. The spirit has no sex difference as in the case of earthly beings. So the story of Jagatmata, Mahamaya, Mahadurga and Kali is all to dupe the common folk. They are imaginery concepts employed to subjugate the ignorant.

Statement: P.81

"...is a land eulogised by our philosopher - poet Rabindranath Tagore as the enchanting Goddess of the world....Her feet washed by the blue waters of the oceans.:"

Comment

A poet can coin beautiful words and write attractive verses, all imaginary. They need not be factual. Is India the only sub-continent whose feet is washed by the blue waters of the oceans? Every continent is surrounded by the oceans and the boundaries of all land are washed by the ocean waves. Is there anything special about India?

Statement: P. 81

"..... a land saluted by the inspired poet of freedom, Bankim Chandra, in his immortal song Vande Mataram which spurred thoudands of young heart to cheerfully ascend the gallows in the cause of her liberty,"

Comment

Bankim Chandra Chatterji wrote a poem Vande Mataram. Poets are people living in the sphere of imagination. Imagination produces imaginary figures. Products of imagination are not real. They are unreal. When Bankim calls India as Mother Goddess, his words are mere imaginary and Mother Goddess is merely an imagination or visualisation. Mother

Goddess is only a concept. There is no goddess as Mother India or Mother earth. Gangamata, Adishakti, Mahamaya, Mahadurga, Matrubhumi, Dharmabhumi, Karma bhoomi, Punyabhoomi, Devabhoomi, Mokshabhoomi are all imaginery attributes that are attached by people of imaginative power. Matrubhumi is the land in whcih a person is born. Dharmabhumi is a land in which justice is prevalent. People can call a land of Adharma also as Dharmabhoomi. It all depends upon the people. There is no punyabhoomi upon the surface of the earth. The whole world is under the power of sin and not even an inch on the surface of the earth can be called punyabhoomi. Devabhoomi is the land supposed to be occupied by Indra and his band. There cannot be Mokshabhoomi, for those to attain Moksha are taken away from bhoomi (earth) to get merged with Paramatma.

Statement: P. 81

"Thou art the Great Destroyer armed with ten weapons."

Comment

Bharat Mata is depicted as the Great Destroyer armed with ten weapons. Firstly, there is no Mata in the universe that can be called Bharat Mata. The figure is imaginary. So the Great Destroyer is only an imagination. Her weapons are also imaginary. She was never able to protect this land from invaders in the past. **Her ten fold weapons are of no use against the modern amunitions. So there is no use boasting of an imaginery goddess.**

Statement: P. 82

'.....Bharat, the mother of all Hindus.'

Comment

Who are Hindus? Aryans consisting of the four castes.

Statement: P. 82

"It was the wily foreigner, the Britisher, who to achieve his ulterior imperialistic motives, set afloat all such mischievious notions among our people so that the sense of patriotism and duty towards the integrated personality of our motherland was corroded."

Comment

The Hindutvavadis are most ungrateful creatures. The Britisher came to India only by the 18th century. Every Indian ought to know what was the personality of our motherland when they came. The integration of India was the work of the Britishers. To harp on praising the motherland is mere lip service. Even today the Hindutvavadis have no Indian nationalism. There is Aryan Supremacy.

Statement: P. 83

"Our ancestors had instituted some of our places of pilgrimage in the Northern side of Himalayas making those regions our live boundary. Tibet, i.e. Trivishtap - now called ' a Chinese Province' by our leaders was the land of gods and Kailas, the abode of Parameshwara, the Supreme Lord. Mansarovar was another holy centre of pilgrimage looked upon as the source of our sacred rivers like Ganga, Sindhu and Brahmaputra."

Comment

The writer says that Tibet and other portions north of Himalayas were portions of India. Kailas, the abode of Parameswara, the Supreme Lord, was once a portion of India. Now Kailas, the abode of the Supreme Lord is reduced to a Chinese province. What has become of Parameswara, the supreme Lord? Is he safe under the Chinese Government? The land of gods, and Mansarovar the sources of Ganga, Sindhu and Brahmaputra are also under Chinese Government. The ten weapons of Durga has proved to be incompetent to protect Siva,

Manasarovar and so on. Wise people should not believe in all these stories which are mere humbug.

Statement: P. 85

"This is also a lesson in devotion, because we are made to feel that even a drop of water from these holy rivers has the potency of wiping out all our sins."

Comment

It is a pity that people who are supposed to have attained maturity of thinking still believe that water can wash away sins. No holy water, no place of pilgrimage, no Sanyasi, no human who claims to be god or goddess can wash away the sins of anybody.

Statement: P. 85

"The entire land to us is tapobhoomi."

Comment

The above statement is vainglorious. The writer says about Ultimate Reality. To the Hindutvavadis, the Ultimate Reality is none other than man. Man is God. The truth is that no tapas can make a man God. There is no holy place anywhere upon the earth. There is no blessed portion in the whole world which can lead a man to God. It is the willingness of man, anywhere in the world which will get him in contact with God. It is not only in Bharat, but anywhere in the world, can man go Godward, if he has a will for that.

Statement: P. 85

"This is verily the chosen land of God Realisation."

Comment

What is this God Realisation? To realise that oneself is God? This is most absurd. Man cannot become God. The writer narrates the story

of a German who came to India and underwent austerities to gain God Realisation. But he could not, because he was not born in Punyabhoomi. So he gave up his body in the holy Ganga (drowned himself). He left a note stating, "I am giving up the body of my own accord. May the offering of my body in the sacred waters of Ganga merit me a rebirth in Bharat and with that new chaste body I may be able to realise God.:" Are there such idiots also in the world! Anyhow, such stories should not be published. **If the hundreds of Americans and Europeans who are practising yoga, Trancendental meditation, Hare Krishna movement etc. will hear this story, they will stop their activity of getting God Realisation, as all of them will have to come to India and drown themselves.** One wonders why the Hindutvavadis who believe in all these, do not take up sanyasa and seek God realisation. Instead of wasting their best opportunity for God realisation, why are they spending their life time in attempts to annihilate non-Hindus?

Statement: P. 86

"Even in the case of the great Saint, Jesus Christ, nowwhere is there any reference that he had actually seen God. He had only come across angels and once Satan. When put on the cross, he was even tormented for a moment by a doubt regarding the mercy of God, and he exclaimed, "My God, why hast thou forsaken me?"

Comment

The writer's understanding about Jesus Christ is very poor. The writer says that Jesus had not seen God. But Jesus Himself is God. "In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God and the Word was God. The same was in the beginning with God." Jesus is the creator of all things. All things were made by him, and without him was not anything made.

And the word was made flesh, and dwelt among us full of grace and truth. (John 1:14). "No man hath seen God at any time; the only

begotten son which is in the bosom of his father has declared him. (John 1:16) Jesus said, "I and my father are one"(John 10::30). "But if I do, though you do not believe Me, believe the works that you may know and believe that the Father is in me and I in Him." (John 10::38). ' If ye had known me, you should have known my Father also, and from hence forth you know him, and have seen him." (John 14:7). He that hath seen me hath seen the father" (John 14:9) Jesus himself is the Parabrahma or Paramatma or Brahman. Apart from Him, there is no God. Which is the God about whom the writer is making mention? Brahma, Vishnu, Siva, Kali, Mahamaya, Mother India? These are all imaginery, fictitious figures having no real existence.

Statement: P. 86

"It was given to the great sons of this soil to see and realise God in his full effulgence. At a time when the other races had not yet emerged from their caves and forests, the Vedic Rishis addressed mankind as the children of Immortal Bliss."

Comment

'Man' for the Vedic Rishis are members of the four castes, the savarnas. All avarnas and non-Hindus are not counted as human beings by the Vedic Rishis. No Rishi has ever seen that Great one. What they have seen is themselves and not God. Their philosophy Advaita states that they themselves are gods and that there is no need to search God outside themselves.

Sri Krishna is one among the ten incarnations, supposed to be taken by Vishnu. But Vishnu is not that Resplendant Reality. Maheswara or Siva is more powerful than Vishnu. Sri Krishna has used the word 'I' as the first person, as God Himself. This is common in Hindu literature.

In Hindu literature, Indra is the god of gods and goddesses. Who is the real God? Indra, Vishnu, Brahman, Brahma, Maheswara, or

Mahamaya? The Krishna in Bhagawad Gita and the Krishna in Hindu literature and the Krishna of modern Sanyasins are of different nature. Krishna had transformed himself before Arjuna to whom he said that nobody else has seen him in the original figure. And the description of what Arjuna Saw of Sri Krishna is abhorrent, horrible. It is far from the picture of the true God.

The writer says that the Vedic Rishis addressed mankind as the children of Immortal Bliss. And who belongs to the mankind? Only the four divisions of the savarnas, the Aryans. The non -Aryans do not belong to mankind. Then, are the Aryans children of Immortal Bliss? Not at all. They also die as others do. They are buried or cremated as any other people. How does the writer dare to say that the Savarnas, Aryans, are children of Immortal Bliss.

The writer says that Narendra, Vivekananda, met with God face to face. Had he met the true God, he would not have advocated for the vainglorious Hindu philosophy. To advocate philosophy which makes man god, is worse than atheism. An atheist says only that there is no god. But an advaitist says that he himself is God? Which is more hideous -to say that there is no God or to say that I am myself God? Whoever believes in this abominable philosophy is far far away from truth.

Statement: P.88

"..... this land is the divine manifestation of the Mother of the Universe."

Comment

There is no Mother of the Universe. No part of the earth is the manifestation of any Mother. The earth was created as one unit in the beginning by God. God did not want any mother to take the shape of India. Such a thinking and teaching is mere foolishness. It is a mere idiocy to think that some mother has taken the shape of Himalayas, the

other mountains, valleys, land, rivers, hills, forests etc. India is not the manifestation of any divine mother. India is only a small part of the earth.

Statement: P. 88

"All our important religious ceremonies start with bhoomi pooja - worship of earth."

Comment

Though the Hindu Seers, Savants, Rishis and so on claim to have seen God and known God, their claim is absolutely absurd. If their head is not muddled, they should know that the earth is not a woman with mountains as her breast. The earth, is a planet only. Earth is created by the only almightly God. Earth is not the manifestation of any goddess. So bhoomi pooja, and for that matter pooja of any created thing or creature is contempt of God. **Man should be wise enough to distinguish between creator and the created, between creator and creatures. This is a matter of common sense, which the Hindutvavadis lack.**

Statement: P. 88

"There is a custom that as soon as a Hindu wakes up in the morning, he begs forgiveness of the Mother Earth because he cannot help touching her with his feet throughout the day."

Comment:

The earth is meant for men, animals and moving beings to walk or creep. Even worse things they do. Where do they urinate, excrete, dump the waste, bury the dead body and carcasses? No man of devotion to Mother Earth should do any of these things. The worship of Mother Earth attains ridiculous boundaries when a mother asks her child who plays on the ground not to kick the Mother Earth. If a nail is driven into the earth, the mother will say, "Oh, no! Dear Child, Mother will be pained." The same mother does not feel any pain when pits are dug,

plots are ploughed, canals are dug, heavy heaps are dumped or huge buildings are constructed. Such a devotion is unwanted and futile. When construction is going on in marshy places like Bombay, very long piles are thrust into the bosom of Mother India. When roads and canals are made how far will the body of Mother India get pained! Hindutvavadis should stop the people from stamping the body of Mother India. They should show the example by not moving anywhere. **At the most they may walk on their heads, kissing the Mother, not by stamping her with their legs.**

The writer says that Vivekananda said when he was about to return to India, "Bharat, I loved before. But now every particle of dust in Bharat is extremely holy. It has become a place of pilgrimage for me." It does not mean that Bharat is holier than any other portion of the earth. The Mother earth, if there is one, is the same everywhere. The Mother Earth of Europe, Africa and India is the same. So the dust of India cannot be more holy than the dust of Africa or Australia. To say otherwise is to create some unnatural false worship.

The writer says that when Vivekananda, returned from his foreign trip, he stepped out of the ship and he prostrated on the ground and showered his body with the dust of the soil. He said "My body has been so long in the materialistic countries of the West and hence has became contaminated. I am therefore purifying myself with the dust of this holy soil." Simpletons may get overjoyed by hearing such reverence for Mother India. Why don't you think why he was over and again anxious to visit the damned areas of the earth. But try to look at things intelligently. Is India more spiritual than other nations? How many people are spiritual in India? How many of them know the Vedas? How manyof the Indians are literate to know anything spiritual. What is the standard of life of Indian people? Is it not a hand to mouth existence? Where is the time and room for meditation? How many yogis are there in India? How many of them know the Vedas? A three foot man becomes the king where every other man is less than two feet. **One Paramahamsa, one Vivekananda, cannot make India spiritual. In a land where**

naked people with begging bowls are considered spiritual, cunning sanyasis become gods.

How do the people of India generally by purify their body? By smearing ashes of cowdung on their body. Vivekananda smeared only the dust. He would have become more pure if dung of mother cow was smeared over his body, his body and spirit becoming as pure as cowdung. Our Parliamentarians should be asked to smear their bodies daily with dust before they enter the Parliament!

Statement: P. 89

"And his (Vivekananda's) guru Sri. Ramakrishna Paramahamsa once severely admonished a person who was going to Ganga to wash himself after answering nature's call. He said, "How unbecoming of you to pollute the divine waters of Ganga - Gangavari brahmavari - with your dirt!"

Comment

Sri Paramahamsa ought to have known that Ganga is one of the most polluted rivers in the world. How many corpses are floating in that river? Many half-burnt corpse are also thrown into the river. People call it holy. But all sorts of dirt pollute that river. If a man washes in it after natures call it will be nothing, for many a devotee answer the call of nature straight into the river. Same is the case with all holy rivers in India. Right thinking people should not count any mountain, river or dust holy. **Holiness depends upon the purity of one's heart.**

Statement: P. 90

"The body of one's mother also is as much material as any other woman's body. Then, why should any one consider his mother as different from other women? Why have devotion for her? An intellectual has no answer for this."

Comment

Not much intelligence is required to answer this question. No man

loves his mother because of her body alone. When she dies and the body is left before the man, he simply burns it away, bury or throws the body into some river. So the man's love for the mother is not of her body. There is something more precious than the body in that body. If that inner person is not there the body has no value. The body alone of the mother is as much material as the body of anyother's mother.

Statement: P. 91

Blossoming of Mother Concept

(In the case of birds and beasts) "When the usefulness of the mother is no more felt, the offspring forget their mother and thereafter they are entire strangers of one another. Man is supposed to be at the top in the scale of evolution. If he is cultured, his love and adoration for his mother continues even after she ceases to be physcially useful to him. In fact, he will serve and revere her all the more, if she becomes very old, blind and bodily out of use."

"When man looks around with his discerning intellect, he sees so many other things to which he owes a debt of gratitude. He begins to look upon them also as mother. He sees the rivers which give him food and water. He calls them mother. Once he outgrows the use of his mother's milk, he see the cow which feeds him with her milk throughout his life. He calls her mother-cow. And then he reaches the state of understanding that it is the mother soil which nourishes him, protects him and takes him in her bosom after he breathes his last. He becomes conscious that she is his great mother."

Comment

Mother worship is very common all over the world. That is a weakness of man. Actually in its essence, it is not mother worship, but it is female worship. No mother becomes a mother without a father. The importance of the father is forgotten by man. It is the father who impreg-

nates a mother. All the needs of the family are provided by the father. The mother herself cannot provide for the family. It is the sweat of the father that provides the requirements in a family. Ofcourse he has no breasts to feed the child. But he provide the food and protection to the mother and the children. The child sucks milk from mother's breasts,but the food materails which provides milk in the breasts is provided by the father. Then why mother worship becomes common and not father-worship? It is due to a complex inherent in man. It is known as Oedipus complex. It is an attachment of a son to his mother with unconcious rivalry to his father. Till he was in need of his mother's milk, he used to suck her breast. After that he is driven away from the mother. If he were not compelled to stop breast-feeding, he would have continued the play with breasts even after becoming old. The rival he sees who stands against his breast-feeding is his father. The breasts are men's weakness. After marriage he fondles the breasts of his wife, instead of those of his mother. So the reality is not mother worship, it is the complex in man to lean towards female.

But it does not mean that you should count as mother anybody who provides milk. The milk maid is providing milk to many people. But the milk-maid need not be considered as mother. River provides water. Should we consider river as mother? Wells, ponds and pipes provide water. Should we regard them as mother? Is the river, the provider of water? If there is no rain, the rivers will dry out. So the worship should be to the rain instead of river. Where does the rain come from? It comes from the oceans because of the heat of the sun, blown by the winds, cooled by air and so on. Are we to worship all these? Or, is it not wise and better to worship the one almighty who produces, engages and controls all of them? It is wrong to say that the soil nourishes man. Soil does not nourish man. Without water soil cannot produce. If there is no sunlight, no plant will grow. If ploughing and weeding is not done, there will not be crops. If manure is not given, there will be no growth of plants. It is not mother-earth which sustains man. The sun, the moon, the

air, the water, the effort of man are all required to produce materials for the subsistence of man. Worship should go not to the earth, but to the one who created the earth, the sun, moon, air, fire, water etc and ourselves. That is what common sense advises. But senseless people can worship the created things, animals, reptiles etc. Earth is not our mother. It is only a planet upon which we are created to live. It is foolish to worship the earth . Only fools can worship, earth, sun, moon etc.

Statement: P. 91

"The Earth is my mother, I am her child."

Comment

The earth is considered as a mother only by the Indian Aryans. There are Aryans in other continents. To them earth is not a mother. To the German Aryans, the earth is only a planet. If the Indian-Aryan considers that earth is a Mother-goddess, he should know that she is mother of each individual who is alive upon the surface of the earth.

The writer says that the one formal method of worshipping is with flowers, lights, chanting of hymms etc. This practice may be in vogue in some parts of India. But nowhere in the civilised world, earth is worshipped as a goddess.

Statement: P. 92

"....mere goodness or noble virtues i.e the passive aspect of sattva, will not hold the field even for a single moment. That is why we find that in spite of all the piety, goodness and devotion to God all through the past thousand years, we were trampled under the feet by foreign aggressors who, though total strangers to goodness and virtues had a passion for heroic action and organised effort, i.e. full of rajas. Our history also bears witness to the fact that whenever our people became charged with the conquoring spirit-

charged with the dynamic aspect of sattva then all those demonic empires of the enemy were reduced to shambles.

Comment

The writer has not been able to assert the cause of failure of India. He laments that the passive aspect of sattva, i.e. piety, goodness and devotion to God has not helped India. He thinks that the dynamic aspect of sattva, i.e. rejas, a conquering spirit, can strike victory for India. Rajas can win where sattva fails. This is rewriting of Bhagwad Gita.

The writer ought to have investigated for the cause of the ever and ever failure of India. The main reason is the selfishness of the Aryan invarders. They have no eyes to look upon human beings alike. They think by doing some poojas, conducting yagas, and chanting mantras, they can propitiate God. They should learn the lesson that God will not be pleased with you, untill you learn to love your fellow beings. **How can you love the invisible God, if you can't love the visible fellow beings?** Your fellow beings are as much children of God as you are. Your pride and selfishness made you to keep them downtrodden, untouchable, miserables. You wanted to enjoy at the cost of, at the shedding of the sweat of your fellow beings. You took their labour without paying them. You allowed millions of them to die of starvation. You forbade them food, clothe, and water. You even allowed them to die of thrist, though employed them to dig wells and ponds.

A nation's backbone is the people thereof. When the majority was refused their livelihood and they became weak, the nation became weak. Their cry brought foreign invaders and you could not stand before the conquerors. In spite of all these you did not learn any lesson and God heard the cry of the poor. The British rule was the answer of the cry of the outcastes, OBCs and Tribals of India. Under the Britishers, the fragmented nation was unified, a form of national government, a common legal system, a common language, a common educational system, a com-

mon defense system, all these were provided. The democratic form of Government which the Britishers left for India is the best suited for India. Instead of shouting Mother Earth, Mother India, Kali, Mahamaya and so on, give glory to God who has created the heaven and the earth, who is impartial towards all his children. The Dalits, Panchamas and tribals are as much his children as any one of you. So fear God and don't try to install you as superior to others by trying to bring back your old glory by re-introducing Hindutva in place of Manushaytva. Hindutva is tyranny, abominable in the sight of God, whereas democracy is humaneness which is acceptable to God.

This nation, Bharat, is not going to degenerate and become weak, but it is going to progress and become a very strong nation among the community of nations. This nation is to shine as a light to many other nations in the world. The world should wonder how a nation consisting of people with racial differences, different climates, different languages, different food habits, different clothing, different religious faiths, is getting on as a single nation. The world should wonder how this unity is made possible in the diversity of Indian conditions.

Hindutva cannot bring about the unity among the people, because of its inherent divisive character of castes, outcastes and so many other fragmentation and compartmentalisation of society. India cannot stand united under Hindutva. India can stand united only under democracy. This democratic union should be India's strength. Any group which thinks otherwise and try to take the reins will only quicken the downfall and fragmentation of India.

The power of democracy and unity and strength of India was demonstrated against Pakistan in the creation of Bangldesh and in the Kargil war. But if narrow selfish, religious ideas are spread and the divisive spirit of Hindutva is injected, it may result in the death blow of the power of this nation.

The writer quotes the example of Devas (gods) and rakshasas (de-

mons). He says that inspite of the goodness of devas, they could not succeed against the demons till they put up a heroic opposition. To the Hindutvavadis he advises that this Earth is for the valiant.

On page 85 the writer demarcates the boundaries of Mother India. "Our motherland is bounded on one side by the Himalayas and the rest of the three sides by the ocean. The Entire motherland has been thus visualised in its fulness in the ideal personality of Sri Rama. Various are the ways in which this motherland of ours has been set forth as an object of worship, whole and integrated. Any idea of fragmentation has been intolerable to us".

Upon reading the above, one wonders whether the writer is ignorant or is he trying to dupe the readers. We all know that Sri Rama was the King of Ayodhya. Ayodhya was one among the many kingdoms in India. All these kingdoms were independent states. The story as narrated in the many Ramayanas depict him as the king of Ayodhya only. During his period India was not a single nation. The story of Rama is more or less the story of the fight between Suras and Asuras. Rama was Vishnu and Ravana was his door-keeper. Ravana under a curse had to take birth as a demon. To release him from the curse, his master Vishnu took birth as Rama. The whole story is centred around devas (god), asuras (demons), vanaras (monkeys) and so on. Rama has nothing to do with human history. The Aryans have used the story of Rama to protect their superiority and power over the indigenous Adivasis of India. Sri Rama was a protector of Aryans against Shudras and outcastes. One Shudra was conducting tapas(meditation) hanging his head downward on the brach of a tree in the forest by name Dandakaranya. Rama searched him out and killed him. It was a regular practice to find out whether any Shudra or outcaste was learning or overhearing veda. If anybody was found out, he would be killed. The rampant illiteracy and poverty in India is due to the inhuman treatment of the Shudras and outcastes. Democracy is on its way in removing this malady and Hindutuvadis are trying to reinstate this cursed, brutal system.

Statement: P. 92

"Veerabhogya vasundhara" - (This Earth is for the valiant) sums up the philosophy of a successful life in this world."

Comment

The writer is teaching the 'rule of the jungle'. He says that those who are valiant, those who have valour, can enjoy this earth. He has already told that God is not in favour of the pious, innocent people, but God favours the brave.

That is why the Hindutvavadis are not satisfied with the Indian Defence Force. They organised many sets of Offence Forces capable of showing valour, not against the neighbouring nations, but against the unarmed, defenceless citizens of India. Initially and primarily they test their valour on the non-Hindus and outcastes in India, by destroying masjids, churches and other institutions in India, by murdering and threating non-Hindus and committing all types of atrocities. With this experience, they can capture the neighbouring nations. The Atomic tests conducted by Vajpayeeji was actually a test to see whether Pakistan can be annexed. Though that test failed, Hindutvavadis are hopeful to reannexe all those nations which are at least once mentioned in the puranas and other Hindu literature. Pakistan, Burma, Indonesia, Korea, Japan, Singapore, Bhutan, Nepal, Tibet, China, Africa, West Asia, Europe, America, the many islands, are all the targets of Hindutvavadis. Through military training, mantra, tantra, yogic, excercises, transcendental meditation, Hare Krishna Movement and so on, they are spreading their net. Lately, many Americans have fallen a prey to their dubious tactic. Even the ex-president of U.S.A., Bill Clinton, seems to have been conquered by the Hindutvavadis.

Statement: P. 93

About partition of India into Pakistan and India the writer says, "How can a son forget and sit idle when the sight of his muti-

lated mother stares him in the face every day? Forget? No true son can ever forget or rest till she becomes once again her complete whole. If partition is a settled fact, we are here to unsettle it. There is , in fact, no such thing as a 'settled fact' in this world. Things get settled or unsettled solely by the will of man."

Comment

The writer says that the Hindutvavadis are going to unsettle the partiton of India. They are going to annex Pakistan to India. Well and good.

This statement gives a clue to the root cause of terrorism in India? Who are responsible for terrorism? How did terrorism start in India?

At this juncture, it will be of great use to think how and why India was partitioned into two. Why was India divided? Who are responsible for the partition?

Some may say that Muslims are responsible for the partition. Hindutvavadis find fault with the Britishers also. But have the Hindutvavadis ever thought of the part played by them in partition? The roots of partition are the roots of Hindutva. Nobody is inimical to Hinduism. But when in the name of that religion, some groups try to establish their superiority over other religionists then it generates problems. Propagation of a religion is different from religious fundamentalism. The proponents of Hindu religion did not stop with promoting Hinduism but they turned to be religious bigots. Their teachings and actions revealed their unbearability or intolerance of other religions. Raja Ram Mohan Roy established "Brahma Samaj' in 1828 with a view to eradicate the many undesirable traditions such as Sati from Hinduism. In 1897, Swami Vivekananda established Ramakrishna Mission, a Hindu missionary organisation. He hoped to conquer the world by propagating Advaita teachings. Swami Dayananda Saraswati started Arya Samaj on 10th April 1875. This organisation was political and religious. Politics was

added to religion. Arya Samaj was inimical to Britishers and Muslims. Arya Samaj stood opposed to Semitic religions, especially Islam and Christianity. They started reconversion of people who had accepted Islam and Christianity. For this they started the process of 'Suddhi'. They were the proponents of a Hindustan based upon the culture, civilisation, and religious dogmas of Hindutva.

Indian National Congress stood for secularism. But some congress members wanted not secularism, but Hindutva. People like Lokmanya Tilak (1856-1920) wanted a Hindu India based upon Aryan culture, Hindu religious dogmas, led by Siddhas and Acharyas. Lok Manya Tilak started Sivaji festival, Ganesh festival etc. to combat the Muslim festivals. He tried to promote Hindi as the national language of India since Sanskrit would not be easily picked up by the people. Dependence on Hindi is only a stop-gap arrangement. National language of India should be Sanskrit, the Saraswativani, meant only for the upper castes.

The first meeting of Hindu Maha Sabha was conducted in 1907 in Punjab. That was a provincial meeting. On an All India basis, a meeting was held at Ahmedabad in 1910. Lala Lajpat Rai was the leader. It decided to intervene wherever the interest of Hindus was hindered. V.D. Savarkar led the Hindu Maha Sabha from 1937-1943. He is the one who started the idea of a Hindu Rashtra. He made religion a political weapon. Also he wanted Hindus to take weapons for a Hindu Rashtra.

The Hindu leaders turned to be communalists. They spread sectarianism and communalism. They euologised the rotten, divisive, sectarian, Arsh Sanskar based upon the caste system and upper caste tyranny. They expressed their hatred for Semitic religions. They tried to create in the minds of the people hatred for the Semetic religions. They began to openly express their hatred for Muslims and non-Hindus. They turned into an Anti-Muslim community which wanted to erase Muslims from India. Communal riots were manipulated by these leaders.

Kesav Baliram Hedgewar established Rashtriya Swayamsevak Mandal in 1922. On the Vijayadesami day in September 1925 Hedgewar laid the foundation for Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh. This is supposed to be the day Sriram won his victory over Ravana. For Maharashtrians this is the day when Shivaji started his fight against the Moghuls. The Sangh began to enlist youngsters for physical training and military training. This was creation of an army to erase Muslims and other non-Hindus from India. The root cause for the growth of Hindutva is the misunderstanding of the so-called leaders that the old Hindu society in which the upper caste was considered as living gods among men and their rule over the Shudras and outcastes which gave them the feeling of Devas upon the earth was the most desirable form of life. They never wanted to look at the world from the point of view of the Shudras and outcastes. The presence of the Muslims and non-Hindus in India uplifted living conditions of the Shudras and outcastes. The English education raised Ambedkars and Narayanans from the untouchables who superceded the time old seers and savants of Hinduism. Law givers, greater than Manu and politicians greater than Kautilya and Chanakya arose from the lowest mlecha, untouchable sections. There was no use harping upon the string of Kuladharma. Under the guise of Hindu dharma, the upper castes were practising all sorts of Adharama and throwing the disorganised, illiterate, helpless Daridra Narayanas into a hellish life. The Christian teaching and the slogan of 'equality, fraternity, liberty' gave a new life to the slaves in India. So they came to the forefront in the fight for independence. They were seeking independence not only from the Britishers, but also from the cruel, selfish Aryans. Those who want to perpetuate caste supremacy are alien enemies of India. In Kerala, Narayana Guru among Ezhavas and Ayyankali among Pulayas and so many other religious gurus, untouchables to Hindutvavadis, superceded the Hindu seers and savants like Vasishta, Viswamitra, Manu and Sankaracharya. That is how, in spite of great opposition from Hindutvavadis, the great Nation, India was formed in 1947.

The Muslims were suffering spiritual, philosophical and physical attacks from the Hindutvavadis. Their leaders were convinced that under the newly formed secular India Muslims would not be able to live peacefully. The Hindus had turned to be hators of Muslims. They knew that the Hindutvavadis will not allow them to live honourably even under the secular set up arranged by the fighters of independence. Why was India torn into two? Just because of Hindutvavadis. When Hindus wanted a Hindu Rashtra the demand of Muslims for a separate Independent state for them was the natural consequence. Who created Pakistan? The Hindutvavadis. Who tore Mother India? The Hindutvavadis.

Even today, who is creating all the communal riots? The Hindutvavadis. Their Ratha Yatras, anti-Muslim slogans, and unnecessary claims over Masjids, declaration to build Rama Kshetra over Babri Masjid are more than enough to provoke the Muslims. The Hindutvavadis simply invite disturbance and danger to the country. The disturbance of peace of this nation can only help the outside nations. It is apparent that the Hindutvavadis are not concerned with the problems of the original Indians. They have no time to think of the problems of livelihood of the poor sections of India. What they are worried is only as to how they can establish their hegemony over the Shudras, outcastes and non-Hindus. The Shudras can only be menial servants in the Ram Kshetra. The non-Aryan, untouchables will not get entry inside the Rama Kshetra. They will remain Mlechas for ever under Hindutva. The construction of Rama Temple at Ayodhya is not going to improve the plight of the common man in India. The topic of priority should be the betterment of the living conditions of the poor sections in India.

Hindutvavadis shout aloud their devotion to Mother India. What do they mean by Mother India? Is it the lifeless land? Any man of average intelligence should know that a nation is composed of the population. Ofcourse, land is also important. Bharat means the land with its demarcated boundaries and the people who dwell in there. The bound-

aries of Bharat has been defined clearly in the Constitution of India and the description of the citizens of India is also given therein. The Hindutvavadis need not redefine these things. They have the right only to live peacefully in this country as decent citizens. Instead they are prompting their followers to get prepared for an internal war, to fight against Indians, conquer them and subdue them. This is a clarion call for a civil war. By doing so, Hindutvavadis are not doing any service to Mother India, but they are trying to tear this nation into pieces. They will have to weep seeing Mother India torn into many petty chiefdoms. If they consider this is a Dharmabhoomi, let them stop doing adharma, adharma of self-elevation and of crushing the poor. If they consider this a Karmabhoomi, let all of them become Karmacharis, toil and moil for the betterment and upliftment of the poor sections in India and thus build up a heaven of India. If you consider this a Punyabhoomi, stop all your pride, hatred for fellow beings and committal of atrocities against non-Hindus and outcastes. The people of Punyabhoomi should be Punyatmas, performing acts of virtues only.

Statement: P. 95

"How many of us feel the insult that we are denied access to our holy Kailas and Manasarovar, that we have no chance even to take a dip in the sacred Sindhu, which gave us the name Hindu and Hindustan? Takshasila, once the world-centre for diffusion of Hindu thought, is no more with us. Mulasthan (Multan), which witnessed the incarnation of the terrible Narasimha for the protection of Prahalad from the demon Hiranyakasipu, is once again under the heels of a demonic domination. Do all these memories burn in our vein?"

Comment

Holy Kailasa is supposed to be the seat of Siva, the most powerful Hindu God. As such no enemy can approach that mountain peak. As

long as Siva resides there, no mlecha can attack that peak. But at present Kailasa is under the custody of Chinese government. The occupation of Kailasa by Chinese can have two explanations. One is that Siva has lost all his power and has become a subordinate to the atheist demons. Another possibility is that Siva has left his permanent abode willingly for some reason or other and has moved with his family to some other place. Diviners may be employed to find out what has happened. Diviners or Tantris may be employed to find out the whereabouts of Siva and his family by spreading cowrie, shell.

Manasarovar is a holy lake that is situated on the top of one of the Himalayan peaks. Many devotees of Siva stay on its banks conducting puja to Siva. It is a pity that Mansarovar has also fallen into the hands of the Chinese, the mlechas. And nobody knows what has happened to the devotees of Siva. Are they suffering in the Chinese jails?

Priority of action by Hindutvavadis ought to have been to find out Siva and his devotees. But instead of doing that, they are wasting their time and energy to build a temple at Ayodhya and to commit atrocities on Muslims, Christians and outcastes.

Hindutvavadis need not be worried about Takshasila. It was a place from where Buddhist teachings were spread and not Hindu teachings.

Mulasthan (Multan) was the place of incarnation of Narasimha which killed Hiranyakasipu, the demon. The writer has not gone deep into the matter. Who was Hiranyakasipu, the demon? Hiranya Kasipu was originally a deva, the door-keeper of Vishnu. Under a curse, he had to take birth as danava. To escape from the curse, he was to be killed by Mahavishnu thrice. Jaya and Vijaya were the servants of Mahavishnu who were put in charge of the gate of Mahavishnu. One day Sanaka and others went to visit Mahavishnu. These gate-keepers disrespected the visitors. They cursed Jaya and Vijaya to become danavas or demons. When they prayed for deliverance, they were told that they should

be killed thrice by Mahavishnu before they can regain their original position. These two devas took birth as Hiranyaksha and Hiranyakasipu, Ravana and Kumbhakarna and Sisupala and Dantavaktra and got killed by Mahavishnu.

An important point for us to consider is whether we should get worried about the devas and danavas at all? If they want some help from us, let them come to us. Why should we get worried about these haughty, quarrelsome creatures who never learn maryada (gentleness) whatever may happen to them? As human beings, should we get worried more about gods and demons than about human beings?

Why should you make quarrel over these unseen imaginary beings. If you believe that Siva, Vishnu and Brahma or any other is true God worship them and get all the benevolence from them for yourselves. If some others believe that Allah is true God , let them worship Allah. If Christians believe that Christ is true God let them worship Christ. Every man has got a head to think and decide. Let one choose the God of his taste. Just because once up on a time there were some half-naked Fakirs in India, is it proper to ask the modern man to walk according to what they said or how they lived? That is nonsense.



Statement: P. 96

"And we, the Hindus, are the inheritors of the most sublime devotion for the mother land. Let those ancient embers of devotion lying dormant in every Hindu heart be tanned and joined in a sacred conflagration which shall consume all the past aggressions on our motherland and bring to life the dream of Bharat Mata reinstated in her pristine undivided form."

Comment

Mother India is a name coined to dupe the mass, common people, with simple mind. Every other nation also are mothers to the inhabitants

of those nations. There are several mother nations in the world. Mother Earth is common to all. Then comes Mother India, Mother Bangladesh, Mother Pakistan, Mother Lanka, Mother Nepal, Mother Bhutan, Mother Kabul, Mother China, Mother Japan, Mother Indonesia, Mother Phillipines, Mother Korea, Mother England, Mother France, Mother Italy, Mother U.S.A., Mother Canada, Mother Australia, Mother Ethiopia, Mother Africa, Mother Sweden, Mother Switzerland, Mother Germany, Mother Egypts, Mother Turkey, Mother Iran, Mother Iraq, Mother Sandi, Mother Kuwait, Mother Israel and so on. Though all these mothers have a common mother, the Mother Earth, the daughter-mothers often quarrel among themselves and get their shapes changed. Mother India has nothing to worry for at least she got her fragmented pieces tied together into one nation. Bharat was born in 1947. If some valiant people want to gain more area of land, the Government of India should not stand a hurdle preventing them from their attempt. Let them go and attach as much area as they like. But the Government of India at present is faced with much more important issues than accession of land. Do the first thing first. The immediate duty of the Government of India is to provide food to the hungry, water, clothing, shed to lay heads, medical aid, literacy and minimum living conditions to the poor. Conquest, annexation, pomp and glory are all subsequent affairs. Conquest and annexation comes into thinking from a class of people who do not know what is hunger and thirst. The poor man cries for food and water. Only the rich think of conquest and annexation.

Surely, it is the duty of a government to meet any aggression from outsiders. Conquest and annexation can be thought of only after redressing the sad plight and tribulation of the destitute in the country.

Statement: P. 97

"Since time immemorial, a great and cultured people called the name 'Hindu' have been living here as the children of this sa-

cred motherland. There are, however, some who object to the name 'Hindu' saying that it is comparatively of a recent origin and moreover given to us by the foreigners. They suggest the name 'Arya' or 'Bharata' in the place of 'Hindu'

Comment

The name Hindu is comparatively of a recent origin which applies to all people of the whole of Indian continent. The writer himself has explained how the name 'Hindu' originated. He has told that this name was applied to the people who lived in the plains of Sindhu. The foreigners who could not pronounce 'S' uttered it as 'H'. So the name 'Sindhu' was pronounced by them as 'Hindu'. And in the course of time, the name became applicable to the whole of the sub-continent. The word 'Hindu' is nowhere in the Vedas, Puranas or Hindu literature. But the words 'Arya' and 'Bharata' are available in the Hindu literature.

'Arya' is a race name. 'Aryans' are not only in India. They are a people distributed in many parts of the world. Most of them have forgotten their origin, identity and pedigree itself. In Germany Hitler proclaimed that Germans are 'Aryans'. A particular characteristic of Aryans is that they have a claim that they are the most superb, superior, first-rate people among men. They are distinguished by their claim of predominance. In this they are a kin to the Jews, who have established the nation of Israel which is only one year less in age than the new 'Bharat' nation. One of the main characteristics of Israel is that they do not mingle with any other race. They are particular to keep up their real separation. This is evident in the life of the Aryans. In the caste system prevalent in India, the Brahmins are nearest to Kshatriyas, but there is a gulf of separation between Brahmins and Kshatriyas. A Kshatriya can never rise up to the level of a Brahmin. He can never enjoy equality, equal status with a Brahmin. Even after generations after generations, Kshatriya will remain inferior to and separate from Brahmins. This is a character of segregation from all other races which is peculiar to the

Aryan race. This is one of the main reasons for considering the Aryans of India as one or more of the lost ten Israeli tribes.

A bird's eye view of the history of Israel will enable the reader to appreciate the point.

God made man. Thereafter man made many gods and worshipped them. Instead of acknowledging the godhead of the Creator, the Omnipotent, Omnipresent, Omnicient, Eternal, Almighty God, man turned to darkness, according to his lust and call of the flesh. To satiate his instinctive sensual pleasures, he adopted many measures, for which he sought religious sanction. So he made philosophies, creeds and faith to suit his actions. Thus, every separate society created gods according to their whims and fancies. All over the world, hundreds of types of gods appeared, each according to the liking of the local people. Different gods, were made by different people of different languages living in different continents. The main common features of there religions was nature worship and idol worship. The gods of different people differed in all details. The gods of Canan, of Egypt, Assyria, Babylon, Africa, Greece, Rome, France, England, Red Indians, South Indians, North Indians, Japan, Sri Lanka and so on were different. In India the Dravidians had their gods. Even several tribals had their own gods.

In those days of apostacy, say about 2000 B.C., a man by name Abraham, got true light and turned his heart to the unseen, Holy, Eternal God. His father was Terah, who too like others in those days was a worshipper of other man-made gods. Then God called Abraham to reveal Himself to the world. God promised Abraham, "I will make of you a great nation and I will bless you, and make your name great. You shall be a blessing. I will bless them that bless you and curse them that curse you. And in you shall all families of the earth be blessed" (Genesis 12:2-3).

Abraham's son was Issac. And Jacob was Issac's son. God named

Jacob calling him Israel. Israel had twelve sons. Their children grew in number and the people of Israel belonged to twelve tribes being descendants of the twelve sons of Israel. The people of Israel lived in Egypt for four hundred years. In the latter days they were treated as slaves and persecuted by Egyptians. They were delivered from Egypt under the leadership of Moses. On their way they came to the shore of the Red sea and could not move forward. Moses prayed God. The red sea was divided for them and the people crossed the Red Sea walking through dry land, the waters of Red Sea standing as walls on both their sides. Pharaoh and his army also walked through the dry land following Israel. But when the Israelites had crossed the sea and when the Egyptians following them were in the midst of the sea, Moses waved his hands over the sea. The water returned as it had been before drowning the Egyptian army.

Thereafter the Israelites reached in the desert before Mt. Sinai. There God asked Moses to tell the following words to the people. "Now therefore, if you will obey my voice indeed, and keep my covenant, then ye shall be a peculiar treasure unto me above all people: for all the earth is mine,. And ye shall be unto me a kingdom of priests, and an holy nation." Then Moses was called by God to Mt. Sinai. The people stood at the lower part of the mount. Then Lord called Moses to the top of the Mountain. He was carried in clouds. Moses was there for forty days and forty nights (Exodus 24:18).When God finished speaking to Moses, He gave him two tables of testimony, tables of stone, written with the finger of God (Exodus 31:38). The tables contained the ten commandments expressing the righteous will of God, the judgement governing the social life of Israel and the ordinances governing the religious life of Israel.

The people of Israel had learnt idol worship and worship of many gods from Egyptians and other surrounding people. When the people saw that Moses delayed to come down out of the mountain, they made

a golden calf and held a festival before it. People sat down to eat, to drink and rose up to play (Exodus 32). This history is quoted here only to show how stiff-necked were Israelites who in spite of directly experiencing the wonderful living God, were hard-hearted to turn away to idol worship and the ways of the gentiles.

However, Israelites got their kingdom established under king Saul who was followed by king David and his famous son, Solomon. Solomon became famous all over the world for his wisdom. It was he who built the Temple of Jerusalem. After the death of Solomon, the twelve tribes of Israel were divided into two - Israel and Judah. Ten tribes arrayed under Jeroboam who became king over Israel who ruled from Samaria (1 King 12: 20). Rehoboam became king over the tribes of Judah and Benjamin, who ruled from Jerusalem (931 B.C.).

Jeroboam feared that if Israel went to Jerusalem for worship, their heart may turn in favour of Rehoboam, the king of Judah, which will be detrimental to his kingship. So he caused to make two calves of gold and said to the people to worship the calves, which he said was the Lord who freed them from Egypt. One he set in Bethel and one in Dan. Thus idolatry became the religion of Israel (1 Kings 12:28-29). In Bethel also he made an altar and made sacrifices to the calves. Thus they started sinning against God of Israel. Israel grew in their idolatry and continued to commit deeds undesirable against the Lord. In those days the Lord began to cut off a part of Israel. The Syrian King Hazael smote them in all the borders of Israel. He attached eastward from the Jordan, all land of Reubanites, Gadites and Manassites, three tribes of Israel (2 King 10:32-33, 814 B.C.). During the reign of Pekah, the king of Israel, King of Assyria, Tigleth-pileser annexed vast areas of Israel and carried the Tribe Naphtali captive to Assyria (2 Kings 15:29, 752 B.C.). Rezin, king of Syria, captured Elath from Jews and drove them away from Elath. Syrians came and dwelt in Elath (2 Kings 16:6).

In about 730 B.C. king of Assyria conquered Damascus and took away the people captive to Assyria (2 Kings 16:9).

In the ninth year of Hoshea, the king of Assyria took Samaria and carried the people of Israel away into Assyria and placed them in Halah and in Habor by the river of Gozan, and in the cities of the Medes. Thereafter, they could never regain their motherland. They were lost in the midst of the nations. They lost their identity and are the ten lost tribes of Israel (2 Kings 17:3-6).

Why Israel was rooted out of their motherland and scattered and buried among the nations?

Israel left all the commandments of the Lord their God, and made them melted images, even two calves, and made an idol, and worshipped all the host of heaven, and served Baal. And they caused their sons and their daughters to pass through the fire, and used divination and enchantments, and sold themselves to do evil in the sight of the Lord, to provoke him to anger. Therefore, the Lord was very angry with Israel, and removed them out of his sight. There was none left but the tribe of Judah only.

Judah also uprooted from Jerusalem.

Though Judah saw the destruction and dispersion of the ten tribes of Israel, they did not repent and return to the Lord. They continued the ways of Israel and worshipped the gods of the nations. So God turned his hands against them. Nebuchadnessar, the king of Babylon came up against Jerusalem and besieged the city. Jehoiachin, the king of Judah, his mother, wives, servants, princes and officers were taken captives. He carried away them and all the mighty men of valour; craftsmen and smiths. He also carried away the treasures from the temple of Jerusalem, vessels of gold and silver to Babylon. He left only the poorest sort of the people of the land (2 Kings 24:10-16, 580 B.C.).

Under John Hyrcanus I, a line of priest-rulers was established by

a treaty with Rome. Afterwards a civil war arose in Judaea. Pompey of Rome conquered Judea and Jerusalem. Pompey left Hyrcanus II with nominal soverignity. The real power was with Antipater, an Idumean. In 47 B.C. Julius Ceasar made Antipator the procurator of Judea. Antipator appointed Herod, his son, an governor of Judaea. Upon Ceaser's assassination, disorder broke out in Judaea and Herod fled to Rome. There in 40 B.C. he was appointed king of the Jews. He married Mariamne, the grand daughter of Hycarnus I. Her brother, Aristobulus III was appointed High priest. Herod rebuilt Jerusalem temple which took forty years for completion. Jesus Christ was born during the reign of Herod.

About Jesus, it is told "He came to his own (Jews) and his own received him not." To the Jews, Jesus said, "Ye Serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell? Wherefore, behold, I sent unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes, some of them ye shall kill and crucify, and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city. That upon you may come all the righteous bloods shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zachariah, son of Barachiah, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar. Verily I say unto you, all these things shall come upon this generation."

Jesus lamented over Jerusalem thus, "O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, thou that killest the prophets, and stonest them who are sent unto thee, how often would I have gathered thy children together even as a hen gather her chicken under her wings and ye would not. Behold, your house is left unto you desolate. For I say unto you, ye shall not see me henceforth, till ye shall say, blessed is he that cometh in the name of the Lord" (Mt. 23:33-39).

To his desciples, Jesus said about the Temple, see ye not all these things? Verily I say unto you, there shall not be left here one stone upon another, that shall not be thrown down.

And about the world Jesus said, ".... many shall come in my name, saying, I am Christ, and shall deceive many. And ye shall hear of wars and rumours of wars; See that ye be not troubled; for all these things must come to pass, but the end is not yet. For nation shall rise against nation, and kingdom against kingdom; and there shall be famines, and pestilences and earthquakes, in various places. All these are the beginning of sorrows" (Mathew 24:5-8).

Prophet Hosea had predicted about Israel in these words, "The children of Israel shall abide many days without a king, and without a prince, and without a sacrifice, and without an image, and without an ephod, and without teraphim: Afterward shall the children of Israel return, and seek the Lord their God, and David their king, and shall fear the Lord and his goodness in the latter days." (Hosea 3:4-5). About the Jews, Jesus foretold "But woe unto them that are with child and to them that give suck, in those days! For there shall be great distress in the land, and wrath upon these people. And they shall fall by the edge of the sword, and shall be led away captive into all nations: and Jerusalem shall be trodden down of the Gentiles, until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled. And there shall be signs in the sun and in moon, and in the stars, and upon the earth distress of nations, with perplexity, the sea and the waves soaring. Men's hearts failing them for fear and for looking after those things which are coming in the earth; for the powers of heaven shall be shaken. And then shall they see the Son of Man coming in a cloud with power and great glory. And when these things begin to come to pass, then look up and lift up your heads, for your redemption draweth nigh" (Luke: 21:23-28).

Just as foretold by Jesus, Jerusalem was burnt by Roman soldiers under the leadership of Titus in A.D. 70. One million Jews were killed. Ninety-seven Thousand Jews were taken to Rome as slaves. In A.D. 135 Emperor Hadrian attacked Jerusalem. He employed elephants to plough down the basement of the temple. The prophesy that there shall

not be left here one stone upon another was fulfilled. Then onwards Jerusalem remained trodden down by the gentiles. Israel lost their kingdom and everyone of them fled for life and hid among the many nations of the world.

Just as a murderer runs from place to place seeking to preserve his life, the murderers of Jesus Christ continued to run for the last two thousand years. The Jews ran away to all parts of the world, France, England, Germany, Italy, America, Russia, Africa, India and almost all small and large nations. The Jews who settled in these nations were cruelly treated by the locals. Hitler of Germany, a Nazi, hated Jews like anything and wanted to see them eradicated from Europe. He suffocated and killed six million Jews in gas chambers. Many were killed by other means. But no nation could wipe off this generation. Jesus had said, "This generation shall not pass, till all these things be fulfilled" (Mathew :24:34). Apostle Paul says, "For if the casting away of them (Jews) be the reconciling of the world, what shall be the receiving of them be, but life from the dead?" (Romans 11:15). God caste away Israel, Jews, from his favour and disbursed them away among the nations,. When he cast away the Jews, he also made provision for the gentiles to reconcile with Him. "But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become sons of God, even to them that believe on his name" (John 1:12). That is how thousands of believers could become the children of God. Any gentile can become a child of God by accepting Jesus as his personal saviour. Has God left Israel for ever? No. They are received back by God, after a time- lag of two thousand years. Those who were buried among the nations, got back life and came back to the land of Israel and got established as a nation in 1948. This resurrection of the nation of Israel is a sure sign of the great day of resurrection of believers from their tomb to be received by Jesus in mid air.

Dr. Theodore Hersel, a Jew had written a book, "The Jewish state." Some Jews who got excited by reading this book held a meeting in

1897 in the city of Basel in Switzerland. There they formed the Zionist Movement. Dr. Chaim Weisman (1874-1952) Head of the Department of Chemistry, of Manchester university of England was chosen as the first president of the Zionist Movement. Under his leadership, an Israeli Deputation visited the Sultan of Turkey and submitted a memorandum seeking the formation of a Jewish state in Palestine. In those days, Sultan of Turkey was an ally of British Government. The Sultan felt very much amused at the funny request of the few Jews. The Sultan ridiculed them saying that if and when the water of river Nile will divert to flow towards Palestine, then only he will be able to accede to the Jewish request.

The First world war started in 1914. Before the German cannons spitting fire, the British Army faced defeat. Emperor George V approached Dr. Weisman to invent some means of destruction. Dr. Weisman invented T.N.T. for the British and they won the war. During the period of the war, a revolutionary party had overthrown the Sultan of Turkey. And the new Turkish Government had joined the enemies of Britain. George V remembered Dr. Weisman and the part played by him in the victory. On November 2, 1917, Emperor George V made the Balfer declaration. According to this declaration, the lands of Palestine was to be handed over to the Israel. To implement the declaration, General Allenby who was in France was sent to Palestine. His army passed through Egypt towards Palestine. The water of river Nile was diverted to Palestine through pipes for the use of the British army. The words of ridicule uttered against the Jewish Deputation was heard by the God of heaven. God diverted the water of Nile to flow towards Palestine. And the Israelites got freedom to settle in the land of Palestine. This is an instance when God directly interferes in the affairs of men. As regards Israel, this was only an initiation of the fulfilment of the promises of God to them.

The Second World War resulted in the fulfilment of many impor-

tant prophesies, the promises of the Living God, with regard to Israel. Many prophets who lived before Jesus Christ, had predicted about the dispersal of Israel among the nations; They had also predicted the re-gathering of Israel. The Casting away of Israel that started as far back as 600 B.C had completed by A.D. 135. The Israeli nation died and was buried among the many nations of the world. The prophecy also contained the promise of resurrection of the nation of Israel. That was fulfilled as result of the second world war. On August 15, 1947 India got Freedom. That day India was divided into two - India and Pakistan. In the same way, the U.N.O. divided Palestine into two. One part was given to Israel and the other part to Palestinians. In the midnight of May 14, 1948, Israel was declared as an independent nation: On May 11, 1949, Israel was recognised as the 59th member of U.N.O.

The day Israel was born, the combined armies of Egypt, Syria, Jordan and Labanon started war to annihilate Israel. However, miracles took place and Israel won the war. They attached places north of Sinai and also Gasa strip. According to an armistice Israel returned the conquered places.

The following prophecies regarding the return of Israelites from different nations to the nation of Israel were fulfilled before our eyes.

After the formation of Israel nation, Jews from all over the world came in ships and planes to settle down in their motherland. The prophecies regarding their return were this fulfilled.

The Palestinians who had their abodes in the places given to Israel, had to leave their homes and leave the land. They became refugees. These refugees tried to restore their homes and places from Israelites under different organisations. The most prominent of them is P.L.O. (Palestinian Liberation Organisation) under the leadership of Yassar Arafat. Palestinians want Israelites to go away from Palestine, returning the land to the Palestinians. They with the help of Arabs want to annihilate the Israelites and the new nation.

President Nassar of Egypt was a strong iron man. In 1967 he declared that within six days Israelites will be wiped off the surface of the earth and no one will ever more remember even their name. This declaration is contained as a prophecy in Psalm 83 composed by Asaph, say about three thousand years before. "Thine enemies make a tumult: and they that hate thee have lifted up the head. They have taken crafty counsel against thy people, and consulted against thy hidden ones. They have said, come, and let us cut them off from being a nation, that the name of Israel may be no more in remembrance" (Psalm 83:2-4). These very words came as a statement from President Nassar in the newspapers. This is an example of the authenticity of Bible prophesies. **The Bible prophesies cannot be compared with the Ummachi stories, Grandma's tales of Hindu puranas.** Within the four hours after the starting of the war, 454 war planes were destroyed by Israel in the very run-ways. This miracle is yet to be explained. Three-fourth of Sinai, west coast of Jordan and old Jerusalem were captured by Israelites. President Nassar had a sad end.

Again in 1973, president Anwar Sadat without any prior information made a sudden attack on Israel . The Egyptians saw victory in initial stages, but as the war proceeded, the Army of Israel reached 20 miles near to Damascus, the capital of Syria. They also captured 500 square miles of Egyptian land. According to a cease fire agreement, Israel retreated from the west of Suez canal and from some places in Sinai.

P.L.O. continued to increase strength in Lebanon and pushed Israel from different sides. In 1982 Israel destroyed the P.L.O. settlement and thwarted them. Mini wars are fought often between Israelites and Palestinians. This will end only in a third world war which is described in Ezekiel 38 and 39. The prophecy of resurrection of Israel described in Ezekiel 37 has already taken place. If the prophecy in chapter 37 has taken place, is there room for doubt about the fulfilment of prophesies in 38th and 39th chapters? They will also take place.

To cut short, as a result of the coming world war (third world war), the strength of the present nations will fail. Atmosphere will come out for a one-world Government under Anti-Christ. Israel will emerge as a strong nation, favoured by Anti-Christ. Israel under a covenant with the world leader for seven years will be a privileged people and will construct a Temple at Jerusalem. But Anti-Christ will break the covenant with Israel by the middle of the Seven Year period by installing his image in the temple asking Israelites to worship that idol. Those who refuse to worship the idol will be persecuted and hunter after throughout the world.

When the people of Israel will be running for their life, to escape from the onslaught unleashed by Anti-Christ, against them, the people of the nations will treat them differently. Some people will take pity upon them and they may offer them food, shelter, hiding places, medical aid and may even visit them in Jails. Some others may help the hunters to persecute and kill them.

At the end of the seven year period, Jesus Christ will descend from heaven. Events foretold in the Bible will take place at the second coming of Christ. The Fourth World War, if we may call it so, will take place. The events narrated in Zachariah 12, Isaiah 63, 2 Thessalonians Chapter 1 and 2 , Revelation 14:17-20, 16:17-21, 18:1-24, 19:11-21, and 20:1-3, 4-6 will take place.

One of the most important event to take place during this time is narrated in Mathew 25:31-46.

"When the son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with Him, then He will sit on the throne of His glory. All the nations will be gathered before Him, and He will separate them one from another, as a shepherd divides his sheep from the goats. And He will set the sheep on His right hand, but the goats on the left. Then the King will say to those on His right hand, "Come you blessed of My Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you from the foundation of the

world: For I was hungry and you gave Me food; I was thirsty and you gave Me drink; I was stranger and you took Me In; I was naked and you clothed Me; I was sick and you visited Me: I was in prison and you came to Me.' Then the righteous will answer Him, saying, 'Lord, when did we see you hungry and feed you, or thirsty and give you drink?

'When did we see you a stranger and take you in or naked and clothe You? 'Or when did we see you sick or in prison, and come to you? And the King will answer and say to them, 'Assuredly, I say to you, inasmuch as you did it to oene of the least of these My brethren, you did it to Me. Then He will also say to those on the left hand, 'Depart from Me, you cursed, into the everlasting fire prepared for the devil and his angels: For I was hungry and you gave Me no food; I was thirsty and you gave Me no drink; I was a stranger and you did not take Me in, naked and you did not clothe Me, sick and in prison and you did not visit Me' Then they will answer Him, saying, 'Lord, when did we see You hungry or thirsty or a stranger or naked or sick or in prison, and did not minister to You? Then He will answer them, saying, 'Assuredly, I say to you, inasmuch as you did not do it to one of the least of these, you did not do it to Me. And these will go away into everlasting punishment, but the righteous into eternal life."

The then living world population will be gathered before the throne of glory and will be judged according to the treatment they meted out to the Jews fleeing to escape from the wrath of Anti-Christ. Those who showed mercy to the Jews will be given entry into the millennium or the thousand year period when Jesus will be directly ruling this earth. Those who helped Anti-Christ in persecuting the Jews will be thrown into the lake of fire.

Here is a good lesson for the Hindutvavadis, the people of other religions and nominal Christians. They who continue to live in this world during the reign of Anti-Christ, should make it a point to be of help to the fleeing Jews. By rendering help to the Isaelites they can enjoy life

during the Golden Age of humanity in the millennial empire of Christ. Those who help Antichrist in hunting and killing the Jews will be straightway put into the lake of fire.

During the thousand year period , Satan will be imprisoned in the bottomless pit. Satan will not be able to influence the people and so there will be no calamities resulting from the interference of Satan.

At the end of the Thousand year, Satan will be let loose for a short period. He will deceive the nations and gather number of people as the sand of the sea and compass Jerusalem. Fire will come down from God out of heaven and devour them. The Devil will be thrown into the lake of fire and brimstone , where Anti-Christ and false prophets are. They will be tormented for ever and ever.

"The day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night; in which heavens shall pass away with great noise, and the elements shall melt with fervent heat, the earth also and the works that are therein shall be burned up. In the day of God, heavens being on fire shall be dissolved and elements shall melt with fervant heat. Nevertheless we, according to his promise, look for new heavens and a new earth, wherein dwelleth righteousness" (2 Peter 3:9-12).

A new heaven and a new earth will come up. The present heaven and present earth will pass away. There will be no more sea. Thenceforth God and men will live together. "Behold the tabernacle of God with men and he shall dwell with them and they shall be his people, and God himself shall be with them, and be their God. And God shall wipe away all tears from their eyes; and there shall be no more death, neither sorrow, nor crying, neither shall there be any more pain: for the former things are passed away" (Revelation 21:3-4). "But the fearful and unbelieving and the abominable, and murderers, and sorcerers, and idolaters and all liars, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with fire and brimstone: which is the second death" (Revelation 21:8).

What a pity! Our great Seers, Savants, Acharyas, Gurus, Sanyasins, Vedantists, Philosophers, religious leaders, polititcal leaders, valiant men conquerors mighty kings and so on will get what is due to them. They made their own philosophies, their own gods, they made themselves gods, and claimed themselves equal to their Creator. They never humbled themselves before their Creator, Almighty God. They will live eternally along with their God, Satan in the eternal lake of fire.

Hindutvavadis do not know what is in store for this earth in the future, If there is any wisdom in their head, they should at least give thoughts to the contemporary happenings. The Hindutvavadis want to conquer and subjugate the whole world. They want to make a name for them in this world. But the Bible says that this earth will not last long. With its burden of sins, this earth will be finally burnt out. And a New Heaven and New Earth will emerge out of it. "For we must all appear before the judgement seat of Christ, that every man may receive the things done in his body, according to that he hath done, whether it be good or bad" (2 Corinthians 5:10). Before that several things will take place which are a pointer, a warning, of the certainty of the terrible event that will take place. " For thus saith the Lord of hosts; yet once, it is a little while, and I will shake the heavens, and the earth and the sea, and the dry land, And I will shake all nations and the desire of the nations (Jesus Christ) shall come" (Haggai 2:6-7). Hindutvavadis are going to introduce astrology as a subject in universities, whereas God of heaven is going to shake the heavens and the stars will lose their appointed places. No astrologer will be able to predict anything making calculations depending upon the position and movement of stars.

Hindutvavadis want to erace all non-Hindus from India and thus to establish an everlasting Hindu nation upon the earth. But then hear what the creator of the earth is going to do. "Fear, and the pit, and the snare, are upon thee, O inhabitant of the earth. And it shall come to pass, that he who fleeth from the noise of the fear shall fall into the pit, and he that

cometh up out of the midst of the pit shall be taken in the snare: for the windows from on high are open, and the foundations of the earth do shake. The earth is utterly broken down, the earth is clean dissolved, the earth is moved exceedingly. The earth shall real to and fro like a drunkard and shall be removed like a cottage; and the transgression thereof shall be heavy upon it; and it shall fall, and not rise again" (Isaiah 24:17-20).

When your Mother Earth is broken down, and Mother India turn into pieces, who will remain out of R.S.S., B.J.P., Siva Sena, Viswa Hindu Parishad and so on to sing Vandemataram?

Not only that, these worldly people will perish one and all, but also a fresh generation will rise up from the graves. "Thy dead men shall live, together with my dead body shall they arise. Awake and sing ye that dwell in the dust: for thy dew is as the dew of herbs, and the earth shall cast out the dead" (Isaiah 26:19).

"For since by one man (Adam) came death by man (Jesus Christ) came also resurrection of the dead. For as in Adam all die, even so in Christ shall all be made alive. But every man in his own order: Christ (has resurrected as) the first fruits, afterward they that are Christ's (believers) at his coming. Then cometh the end (last resurrection, resurrection of all who are not believers in Christ including Churchians or nominal Christians) (1 Corinthians 15:22-23).

"For this we say unto you by the word of the Lord, that we which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall not prevent them which are aslap. For Lord himself shall descend from heaven with a shout, with the voice of the Archangel, and with the trump of God: and the dead in Christ shall rise first. Then we which are alive and remain shall be caught up together with them in the clouds, to meet the Lord in the air and so shall we ever be with the Lord" (1 Thess 4:15-17).

Today the foolish Hindutvavadis do not allow Christians even to bury their dead ones in some areas. They want every inch of India for

Hindus. This is because they do not know what is in store for them. Their astrologers are blind. They cannot see the future. Did they foretell the flood that devastated Orissa, the quake that took place in Gujarat? Every man ought to know that the astrologers are cheats. At the best they want to live upon your wealth. Can they predict as to whether there will be a war between India and Pakistan? Could they predict the firings which wiped out the royal family of Nepal? Can any of them predict the second coming of Jesus Christ? But you read from the Bible the true prediction, of what will happen to the enemies of gospel. "Seeing it is righteous thing with God to recompense tribulation to them that trouble you (believers /Christians); and to you who are troubled rest with us, when Lord Jesus shall be revealed from heaven with his mighty angels, in flaming fire taking vengeance on them that know not God and that obey not the gospel of our Lord Jesus Christ. Who shall be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of his power, when he shall come to be glorified in his Saints and to be admired in all them that believe" (2 Thessalonians 1:6-10).

Let the Hindutvavadis and their astrologers know that Jesus Christ is coming back to this earth to take vengeance upon those who do not believe the gospel of Jesus Christ. He will take vengenance on all who have not accepted Him as their saviour and God. Even the nominal Christians will have to suffer his vengeance. Even those who claim to be pious Christians do not know what is gospel and how to obey the gospel. Christ will take vengeance upon them.

When Jesus returns to this earth, he will put all his enemies under his feet. Then he will establish his kingdom upon the whole of the earth. In the end he will destroy death, the last enemy. Thereafter he will deliver up the kingdom to God, the Father and will remain subject to God the Father.

Who will possess this earth for ever? No emperor of this world, no

nation of this earth, but the children of God will possess this earth for ever and ever.

Observation and reflection

Some observations and reflections of a few learned scholars are given below:-

1) There are a number of reasons to conclude that the Brahmins of India are from the lost tribes of Israel.

2. The Aryans are a people distributed all over the world and they are the descendants of the ten lost tribes of Israel buried among the many nations of the world.

3. Segregation or isolation from other ethnic groups is a marked peculiarity of Israelites. Brahmins keep themselves away from all other people without mingling with any other race. They cannot think of a marriage even from the Kshatriyas who are the closest caste.

4. Idolatry was the main reason for which God rejected them and dispersed them from their native land. The Aryans of India are idolators.

5. Israel had learnt worship of sun, moon, stars, seas, rivers, air, fire, cow, rat, reptiles, birds, animals, trees etc. from Egyptians and other people around them. While Moses delayed to come from Mount Sinai, they made a golden calf and said this is the God of Israel. Later, Jeroboam became king of ten tribes of Israel and Rehoboam became king of Judah and Benjamin. Fearing that the heart of the people will slant towards Rohoboam if they went to Jerusalem for worship, Jeroboam made calves and set one in Bethel and the other in Dan and asked the people to worship the calves. Mainly because of this idolatry and worship of man-made gods, God rooted them out and dispersed them among many nations. The main occupation of these wanderers was cattle rearing. Aryans of India are cattle rearers, gopalas.

6. Laws of Manu (Manusmriti) and the Mosaic Laws have many similarities. A close study of these laws will bear evidence to the fact that the laws of Manu are on the very lines of the Mosaic laws.

7. Worship of sun, moon and stars etc. was common among the Egyptians, Babylonians and Assyrians. Israelites copied these from the gentiles around them. When they reached India, they saw a fertile land for this sort of profanity.

8. The Babylonians and Egyptains were gazers of stars. Astrology and divination flourished among them. The Aryans of India follow the same dark science. The life of Indian Aryans is fashioned out by the astrologers who predict the life of every individual. The horoscope written by the astrologers, though humbug control the life of individuals.

9. The Assyrian, Egyptian, Babylonian, Greek and Roman gods, all man-made, ruled over the people of those countries. Later when true light came to them they threw away these imaginary gods. But in India, the Aryans managed to keep the common people illiterate, incapable of independent thinking . So the Indian Aryans are capable of keeping the people of India under the custody of many false gods.

10. The modern Hindutvavadi thinkers, writers and leaders accuse Christanity as a Semitic religion. This is due to their ignorance about themselves. They themselves do not know their origin. Aryans of India are confused about their original home. They do not know from where their forefathers came to India.

    Christianity is a universal religion. Though Jesus Christ was born in a Jewish family, he never confined himself to Jewish religion. He set up a new path for eternal life, very different, separate, new, from the Jewish religion. The semitic Jew cannot accept any non-Jew into their religion. Exactly the same is the law of Indian Aryans. It is

not Christianity which is Semitic but it is the Indian Aryans who are Semitic. It is the Hindutvavadis who are Semitic, not Christians.

11. Hindutvavadis should study seriously the old Testament. From the history narrated in the Old Testament, it is easy for one to come to the conclusion that the Vedas and Puranas of Aryans are but a twisted form of the historical facts laid down in the Bible.

12. God of Israel who dispersed Israel to the four corners of the earth and preserved them among different nations and tongues has also promised that they will be regathered and made to dwell in their promised land. The first step of that promise has already been fulfilled partially in that the scattered Jews have been regathered and made to settle as a nation in the promised land. This is an assurance of the fact that all the remaining tribes of Israel who are lying hidden among the nations also will be regathered. To this end, it will be profitable for the scholars of Hindutvavadis to search the scriptures (Bible) and find out their pedigree. It is stated by Scholars that Aryans are the descendants of ABRAHAM and SARAH of the Bible whose Indian names are BRAHMA and SARASWATI. Likewise there are so many similarities between the Old Testament and Aryan literature.

13. The second half of the seven year period of the rule of Anti-Christ will provide a golden opportunity for the lost Aryans to get the favour of the King of Jews (Jesus Christ) and to enter into the millennial kingdom of Jesus Christ. When anti-Christ will send hunters to follow the persecuted Jews to kill them, the wise Aryans should try to give assylum to them and save them from the cruelties of Anti-Christ. Those who provide shelter to the fleeing Jews , give them food, clothe, medicine, and so on will be given entry into the millennial kingdom.

"Is Christianity a Semitic religion?"

Christianity is not a Semitic religion. Judaism or the religion of Jews

is semetic. It is true that Jesus Christ took birth in a Jewish family. But the Jews did not receive him. They rejected him and gave up him to be crucified. Even today, the Jews have not accepted Jesus Christ as their God. Thus the Jewish religion stands very distinct and separate from Christanity.

Jewish religion is semitic. Just as the Brahmins of India do not allow any other groups to mingle with them, so also the Jews do not accept any gentiles to become one among them. Both the Jewish religion and the Aryan Hinduism are of the same Semitic origin, whereas Christianity is different and separate from these Semitic religions.

The Christanity is a universal religion and does not have any characteristics of Semitic religions. The Russians do not become Semitic simply beause they accepted Communism propounded by Karl Marx, a Jew. Nobody becomes Semitic by becoming a Christian.

Statement: P. 97

"But today, there is misconception regarding the word 'Bharatiya' also. It is commonly used as a translation of the word 'Indian' which includes all the various other communities like the Muslim, Christian, Parsi etc. residing in the land. So, the word 'Bharatiya' too is likely to mislead us when we want to denote our particular society. The word 'Hindu' alone connotes correctly and completely the meaning which we want to convey.

Comment

The name of this sub-continent is India. Politically the name 'Bharat' was given to a portion of this Sub-continent. The names India and Bharath denote the people of all religions ,castes, communities, colour, languages, traditions etc. who live in this continent. The writer wants to convey some other hideous meaning and for that purpose he wants to use the word 'Hindu' to this sub-continent. Everyone knows that the

word 'Hindu' in its narrow sense denotes a small percentage of Indian population, not more than ten percent, which comprises of the four castes of Hinduism, namely, Brahmana, Kshatria, Vaisya and Shudra, and excludes all the non-Hindus who are considered as Mlechas by Hindus, namely the outcastes and scheduled castes who are untouchables, backward classes, scheduled tribes, Muslims, Sikhs, Christians, Parsis, Buddhists, Jains etc. Ninety percent of the people inhabiting this sub-continent are Indians or Bharatiyas and less than ten percent people are Hindus.

Statement: P. 98

"Nor is it historically correct to say that the name 'Hindu' is of recent origin or that it was given to us by foreigners. We find the name 'Sapte-Sindhu' in the oldest records of the world - the Rig-Veda itself- as an epithet applied to our land and our people. And it is also well-known that the syllable 'S' in Sanskrit is at times changed to 'H' as in some of our prakrit languages and even in European languages.

And thus the name Hapta-Hindu and then 'Hindu' came into currency. Thus 'Hindu' is a proud name of our own origin and others learnt to denote us by it only later on.

According to the Brihaspati Agama the word 'Hindu' is formed with the letter 'Hi' from Himalayas and 'Indu' from Indu Sarovar (the southern ocean) conveying the entire stretch of our mother land.

The Brihaspati Agama says: "The land created by the gods and stretching from Himalayas to the Indu (i.e. Southern Ocean) is called Hindustan."

Comment

It has already been explained that the region of 'Septe Sindhu' has

now changed into Pakistan. Any Tom, Dick or Harry knows that the whole of India originally was Dravidian. The Indo-Ganjetic Plain was inhabited by the Dravidians who had a high culture and civilization. Their religion was humaneness. Unlike the war-like Aryans who infiltrated into India, the Dravidians were a peace-loving people who were not accustomed to wars. It was, therefore, very easy for Aryans to subjugate and push away the Dravidians as mlechas. Those who resisted them were dubbed as 'Asuras' and 'Vanaras'.

Aryans by conquest of Indo-Gangetic plain became settlers of Indus region from which they got the name Hindus. The Dravidians were pushed to south of Vindhya -Satpura ranges. The Dravindians had to settle south of Vindhya-Satpura ranges. Dravidians are not Aryans and are not Hindus. Hindus are the Aryans who settled north of Vindhya - satpura. There is a gulf of difference between Aryans and Dravidians.

The writer says , " Thus 'Hindu' is a proud name of our origin and others learnt to denote us by it only later on." In this sentence there is one 'us'. What does the writer mean by 'us'? 'Us' means Aryans, not Dravidians. So Hindu means people north of Vindhya-Satpura ranges and south of Vindhya-satpura ranges is Dravidasthan. There is no use harping on saying the whole of India is Aryan or Hindu. Accept the truth.

'Hindu' is a proud name for Aryans, but is an obnoxious name for the Dravidians. For the Dravidians, mlechas, outcastes, vanavasis, the tribes, and other backward classes, the word 'Hindu' brings to memory a ruthless, war like robbers falling upon a defenceless, unarmed, peace loving people, killing and plundering them and devastating their cities, and making them flee and enslavening the remnant. For Dravidians 'Hindu' is the name of their oldest enemies. For South Indians, there is nothing to be proud about the name 'Hindu'. It provides only hatred and animosity.

The writer says that Hindustan is the land created by the gods. Which gods? It is for him to name the gods who created Hindustan. To any man of common sense, every portion of the earth is created by the same Creator. If he says that India is created by certain gods, it is for him to state which other gods created which other parts of the earth.

On page 98, the writer takes the name of Prithviraj, Guru Govind, Vidyaranya and Shivaji as freedom fighters to establish Hindu Swaraj. Against whom did they fight? Were they not fighting for their own petty kingdoms? If, as you say, they were fighting for swaraj, what was the result? All the names mentioned are of North India, of separate petty kingdoms, none representing the whole of India.

India as a nation was built up by the Britishers. The thought of freedom for the nation germinated from the English education imparted by Christian missionaries. If Mahatma Gandhi, Nehru, Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose, Dr. Ambedkar and so on did not receive English education and the Christian idea of equality, fraternity and liberty of human beings, India would have even now been undergoing slavery under the dark philosophy of the dark hearted Aryans. Freedom came to India solely through the Christian ideologies.

It is to be noted that the writer has not mentioned even a single south Indian name among freedom fighters. He thinks India as North India and Hindus as North Indians. He represents a narrow-minded, selfish, self-boasting Aryan.

Statement P. 99 and 100.

Sign of Evolution

"Let us now take a look at our Hindu life. When people, especially outsiders, see the multitude of our faiths, sects, castes, languages, customs and habits, they are confounded and they exclaim, with all these heterogeneous elements and discordant notes, how

can you call this a single society at all? Where is the single way of life you call 'Hindu'?

.....

Finally, the human body is formed which is tremendously complex mechanism composed of innumerable organs, each endowed with a specific function, but all of them bound with one another in a common current of life. That is the highest evolved form of life on earth. So we find that different organs or diversities are not a sign of immaturity or heterogeneity but of a highly evolved state. All the organs though apparantly of diverse forms, work for the welfare of the body and thus subscribe to its strength and growth."

Comment

The above is the correct view which every religious, political, lingual groups should take up. This is a solemn lesson which the Aryan Hindutvavadis should learn and teach their low ranks. The illiterate, idiotic lower ranks commit inhuman atrocities. Why on earth should an innocent nun be shot and killed? It is ignorance. The leaders of Hindutvavadi groups should learn the above lesson and teach their followers the same.

Statement: P. 101

"Ekam Sat Vipra Bahudha Vadanti"

Comment

Under this heading the writer includes Shaiva, Vaishnava, Shakta, Vaidik, Buddha, Jains, Sikh, Lingayat, Aryasamaj etc. These are goals of realising the Ultimate the Truth-variously called Brahma, Atma, Shiva, Vishnu, Ishwara or even Shoonya or Maha Shoonya. This statement reveals that all the names mentioned before Shoonya are also shoonya. They are not real. They are unreal.

Statement P. 101

"He whom the Shaivas worship as Shiva, the Vedantins as Brahma, the Bouddhas as Buddha, the Naiyayikas (rationalists) as Karta, the Jains as Arhan, the Meemamsakas as Karma, may He, the Lord of these worlds fulfil our desires."

Comment

1. It seems the main duty of a god is to fulfil our desires. All the above are Aryan gods. What will the Dravidians do! Whom shall they approach for fulfilling their desires?

2. Are the gods confined to Aryans? The writer has mentioned several names of God, all Aryan. Does it mean that Dravidians and non-Aryans such as Parsis, Muslims, Christians, and outcastes have no gods? Why not the name of Allah and Jehova are not under the words 'Bahudha Vadanti? If the same universal god is called by diverse names by different people, the writer should include many more names of the universal God.

Statement P. 103

The Semitic Effects

".....In all those semitic religions - Judaism, Christianity and Islam - a single way of worship is prescribed for all. Those creeds have but one prophet, one scripture and one God, other than whom there is no path of salvation for the human soul. It requires no great intelligence to see the absurdity of such a proposition. Naturally this Semitic concept of religion bred intolerance and divided the people in the name of religion. Some countries in the West therefore got disgusted with feuds begotten by such religious fanaticism and took the extreme step of ousting religion itself. The communist and socialist philosophies, as taken up by Russia, are extreme instances of this revolt."

Comment

How Hinduism is Semitic has been elaborately explained earlier. Christianity does not share the common features of Semetic religions. Christianity is a universal religion which is open to all the human beings on the surface of the earth. Judaism and Hinduism are closed religions. There is no process by which a gentile can become a Jew. There is no course of action by which a non-Aryan can become an Aryan or a non-Hindu to become a Hindu. Entry into Aryan race and Hinduism is determined by birth. No believer, no savant, no rishi, no guru, no Acharya can make a non-Hindu a Hindu. But anyone can become a true Christian by accepting Jesus Christ as his personal saviour.

Judaism and Hinduism can grow only by multiplication, by giving birth to children into their religion. In contrast, the mere birth from Christian parents does not make one Christian. One whether born to Christian parents or non-Christian parents can become a Christian only 'being born again'. It is the re-birth, the second birth, the birth from the Holy Spirit, which makes a person a Christian. Jesus said, "That which is born of the flesh is flesh' and that which is born of the spirit is spirit. Marvel not that I said unto thee , ye must be born again" (John 3 :6-7).

Jesus taught, "Again the kingdom of heaven is like unto a merchant man, seeking goodly pearls who, when he had found one pearl of great price, went and sold all that he had and bought it" (Mathew 13:45-46). Jesus compares kingdom of heaven with a pearl. How is pearl formed? Pearl is not increasing in number by the process of multiplication or by birth. The pearl forms by accretion. Just one molecule of pearl gets stuck in an oyster. Thereafter molecules of the same type and quality come and join with the first. Each molecule has to get refined to be able to join the central molecule. If it does not acquire the quality of the central molecule , it will be rejected from becoming a part of the pearl. The central molecule of Christanity is Christ himself. To become a part

of the pearl, the real church, one has to conform to the image of Christ. Mere birth in a so-called Christian family does not give him the refinement by which he can become a member of true Church. It is the second birth - birth from the Spirit - which conforms a person to the image of Christ. Only such born again people are Christians. Christianity is open to any and everyone in the world. It is not a Semitic religion as Hinduism or Judaism.

Hinduism speaks of human soul ultimately getting dissolved in the great ocean of spirit, Parabrahma. The aim of life is Mukti, ie. getting dissolved in the Universal Spirit. Thus the existence of the individual ends when he realises Mukti. The one who attains Mukti is no more. But in Christanity the molecules of the pearl have their own individuality. They are alike in every detail conforming to the likeness of the central molecule, Jesus Christ. Those who attain Mukti go out of existence, whereas those who attain salvation, live with Christ eternally.

Judaism, Christanity and Islam agree with Hinduism in the saying, "Ekam sat". There is only one Truth, one God. They do not agree with Hinduism when it goes on to say, Vipra Bahudha Vadanti". If there is only one truth why should the Vipra talk about the only truth in different ways? They are like the blind people who went to see an elephant. Each one speaks according to what he felt, all humbug.

Each one speaks according to his own imagination. The Shaiva, Vaishnava, Shakta, Vaidik, Buddha, Jain, Sikh, Lingayat, Arya Samaj etc. are all Vipras talking in many ways, each according to his own imagination. The shaivas worship Siva, the Vedantins worship Brahm, the Bouddhas worship Buddha, the Naiyayikas (rationalist) as Karta, the Jains as Arhan, the Meemamsakas as Karma. None of them worship the true god. Is the only Truth to be treated as a plaything? Is it right to treat the Truth according to one's whims and fancies? If the Truth is only one, why should the Vipra say about it differently? Each one of

them is saying from his own bluffing, not knowing the Truth.

The writer quotes Sri Rama Krishna Paramahamsa stating, " As many views, so many ways." The writer explains, "There can be as many faiths and creeds as the variety of attitudes and aptitudes of individuals". Then, why do you object to the attitude and aptitude of the Semitic religionists? Why Christianity is termed as a poison? Eventhough, you call it a poison, it gives eternal life to many. Truth is only one. It should not be interpreted according to one's whims and fancies. Serious thought should be given whether the faith one holds is near to the truth. Ramakrishna Parama Hamsa may say that there are many ways to reach the Ultimate. But if the Truth is only One, the true way to reach Him can also be only one. Jesus Christ said, "I am the way, the truth and the life; no man cometh unto the Father, but by me" (John 14:6).

With all the Savants, Rishis, Acharyas, Gurus and so on, the Aryan Hindus are devoid of Manushyatva, (humaneness). **They are all having divinity (Deivatv), but are worse than the scoundrels of the streets. They have no sense of right and wrong.** They have brought upon the nation of India the curse of casteism. They instead of unifying the people are bent upon dividing them. They do not want to rectify their mistake Aryanism or Hinduism divided the people of the sub continent into caste people and outcastes. Each caste has many sub-castes. No two castes or sub castes have anything in common. They are perpetual enemies. Even the non-Aryans have learnt this divisive rule from the Aryans. The outcastes, tribals and backward classes have sub divided themselves into hundreds of divisions, each inimical to one another and ever ready to fight in between on silly matters. **The greatest boon the Aryan Hindus have supplied to India is the eternal enmity among different castes and between innumerable subcastes. Then they eulogise about Arsh Bharat and Arsh Samskar.**

In Uttar Pradesh, Vishal, a Brahmin boy, dared to marry Sonu, a Jat. The village panchayath ordered them to be hanged. The local people

killed them. In Mumbai, the husband of a young woman was killed by her parents and other members of the family, for the boy happened to belong to a "lower caste.". There are hundreds of similiar crimes taking place everyday in the villages of Delhi, Haryana, U.P., Orissa, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Gujarat, Maharashtra etc., the cradle of Arsh Bharata Samskar. If the so-called Savants, Rishis, Gurus and Acharyas have any touch of culture, let them go to the villages of India and teach the villagers that all human beings are equal before the Almighty Creator. Teach the people that all of them are equally the children of the same God. Don't waste your time in accusing and attacking Semitic religions.Why don't you learn to respect the truth?

The Aryan Hindus have a special pleasure in committing atrocities. Many of them cannot sleep unless they commit some murder, some feud, some bickering, some quarrel or at least some argument. They say their gods are armed and they also will wear arms. Once upon a time during the time of Rama, Krishna and similar gods, people had to carry arms for self - protection, for those days were of lawlessness. The people were following the law of the jungles. In a modern state there is no need for individuals, to take up arms. The state is bound to protect the life and property of its members. When citizens take up arms themselves they must be considered criminals. **The Senas or armies under B.J.P., R.S.S., Shiva Sena, V.H.P., Bajrang Dal etc. are following the jungle laws which is not appropriate for a modern state.** The present Government of India should rectify this mistake. The Central Government should dissolve all sorts of senas other than Indian Army, Navy and Airforce. The states should not allow any Senas other than the police force. If the Governent cannot control the people, let it dissolve and leave the people to sort out their problems among themselves. Let the jungle laws rule the people. What happens now is that the Central and State Governments support the jungle laws and act against the common mass? The caste Hindus, especially higher caste can commit any crime against the lower castes, outcastes and non-Hindus. If a com-

mon Central Government for Bharata is to last long, the Centre and States should respect the present Constitution and the provisions, therein. Otherwise this great Bharat will be torn into pieces, not before long.

The Aryan Hindus are sadists, nay sado-masochists. After burning Graham Staines and his two sons alive, Dara Singh gets delighted and jubilant. After murdering Phulan Devi, the murderer gets ecstatic and overjoyed. After shooting down a nun, the miscreant gets thrilled. After felling a Masjid or destroying a Church, the Aryan Hindus feel elated and gratified. Their gods derive pleasure in quarrels and they attack without any provocation. But Hindu gods used to fight only among themselves. There is no reported case of any Hindu god fighting or picking up quarrels with non-Hindus. Unlike their gods, the Aryan Hindus of modern age have become perverted and attack outcastes and non - Hindus without any reason or provocation.

Statement P.104

"The part which is geographically called North is as dear to us as the one called South. Ganga is as holy to us as Cauvery. The great Shivalinga formed out of the ice in the Hindustan cave at Amarnath claims the same devotion from all of us as the Linga at Rameswaram. We are intrinsically one, transcending all superficial barriers of distance, creed or sect. It is because this sap of unity is dried up today that all the various branches of the great tree of our dharma all falling apart."

Comment

We wonder whether the writer is an Indian. His writing shows that he knows nothing about India and its social structure.

He wails that the branches of the tree of his dharma is falling apart. He does not realise that in India there is only the tree of Aryan Hindu Adharma and not of Dharma.

The writer loves Ganga and Cauvery alike, the Sivalinga of Amarnath and Rameswaram alike, but not the people. The people of south are for him mlechas. For that purpose, he cannot see all the people of North and South alike. He will not allow the mlechas to go near him. He will keep them only at a distance. He will not allow them to touch a well, pond or water pipes. The upper caste villagers refuse to accept electric current since the wire carrying the current has passed through the village of untouchables. **Which is more important - to love the lingas alike or human beings alike?** The Aryan Hindu can love both Ganga and Cauvery alike, but not the caste people and outcastes! And then they speak of Aryanisation! How on earth can Aryan Hindus bring about Aryanisation? To become an Aryan, one should take birth in an Aryan yoni, Aryan womb. If Aryan Hindus want to bring about Aryanisation they should lend all their yonis to non-Aryans. Brahmin women should exclusively be given to the outcastes for them to beget in Brahmin yonis. Those who are born to outcastes in Brahmin yonis will be Aryans. The children produced by the Brahmin women and outcaste men will be Aryans. This is the only way of Aryanising the outcastes and non - Hindus. Also the outcaste women should be married by Brahmins and other caste people. The offshoots of these Aryans, in mlecha yonis will be outcastes. In three or four generations all outcastes will become Brahmins and other castes. The same process should be extended to the other parts of the world. The whole world can be Aryanised in a few years. The means of Aryanising is Brahmin yonis and not committing atrocities, arson, plunder, attacks and wars. The Brahmins and caste people should take a vow that they will give their daughters only to Harijans and outcastes. The mlechas should take a vow that they will marry only Brahmin girls or girls from the castes. If this is put into practice Aryanisation of India can be achieved in no time.

Statement P. 105

"Shri Guru Govind Singh had declared, "A true Sikh is one who has faith in the Vedas and Bhagawad Gita and who worships Rama and Krishna."

Comment

Rama and Krishna are gods of Aryans. They are not the gods of non-Aryans. The people whom the Aryans condemn as outcastes and Ahindus should not have anything to do with Aryan gods, and Aryan philosophy. Sikhism does not accept the caste divisions. They do not believe in more than one God. They believe in one Omnipotent, Omniscient, Omnipresent God, the Creator of heaven and earth. How can Guru Govind advise the followers to accept Aryan philosophy and to worship Rama and Krishna?

Statement P.107

"How unfortunate that our leaders, our own people, should be digging at the roots of our social cohesion and destroying the spirit of identity that informed and blended all the various sects into harmonious whole in the past."

Comment

Never in the history of India was there any social cohesion, spirit of identity nor harmony among the various cults or sects. Even today it is so. The rich and powerful high castes will not come down to correct themselves. Don't come down, but elevate the low. They will not allow it. If anyone tries to raise the standard of life of the poor man, the Aryan Hindus will look upon him as their enemy and try to finish him off. That is the reason behind the atrocities planned and practised by the Aryan Hindus.

Hinduism is a religion of 'this' and 'that'. There is nothing specific.

So what one savant says is contradicted by another savant. Both of them are admired, though both are wrong. Its course is not like a train on rails which reach the goal, but it is like a reptile which is free to drift and change position not progressing to achieve the end, the spiritual realisation. There was, there is and there will never be no harmony among Hindus until they correct themselves.

Statement P.108

"Once the glory.

The other main feature that distinguished our society was the Varna-vyavastha. But today it is being dubbed 'casteism and scoffed at. Our people have come to feel that the mere mention of Varna - vyavastha is something derogatory. They often mistake the social order implied in it for social discrimination.

In its original form, the distinctions in that social order did not imply any discrimination such as big and small, high and low, among its constituents. On the other hand, the Gita tells us that the individual who does his assigned duties in life in a spirit of selfless service only worships God through such performance.

Society was conceived of as the fourfold manifestation of the almighty to be worshipped by all, each in his own way and according to his capacity. If a Brahmana becomes great by imparting knowledge, a Kshatriya was hailed as equally great for destroying the enemy. No less important was Vaishya who fed and sustained society through agriculture and trade or the Shudra who served society through his art and craft. Together and by their mutual interdependence in a spirit of identity, they constituted the social order.

Comment

The writer is a typical narrow minded Aryan Hindu. To him, the Indian society consists only of the four castes. All of them together are

not more than ten percent of the Indian population. The real Indian, the original Indians are the outcastes and the non-Hindus who form ninety percent of Indian population. The Aryan Hindus do not count them as human beings. To them the human beings are the four castes. Others are two legged animals. They cannot be included under Varna -vyavastha. The Brahmins use weapons as well as Vedas to keep the rest of the people under subjugation. This is not Aryanisation. but Aryan conquest. So are their gods always armed and ready to fight anyone who poses a threat to their supremacy.

The writer goes on to say that castes were in those ancient times too, continuing for thousands of years of our glorious national life. Whom does his 'our' indicate? By 'our' he means only the caste people. By the fourfold manifestation of Almighty, he means the four castes only.

Statement: P.112

"The source of inspiration for all these has been that queen of languages, the languages of gods -Sanskrit."

Comment

Who are the gods? Brahmins? It is true that learned Brahmins, well -versed in Sanskrit used to say big things in that language, made prose and poetry, rhymed poems with interesting double meanings, pleasing to kings and his courtiers. The pity is that the number of those enjoyed the jokes in that language could be counted on finger tipes. The vast majority of the people, the common mass in India are illiterate. To begin with, let the Hindutvavadis undertake to impart literacy to the common people in their indigenous languages. Once this is done, they may go for learning the language of the gods.

Statement: P.112

English or Hindi ?

Comment

In a short span of about 200 years, English language was able to bind the different parts of India into one. Neither Sanskrit, the god's

language nor Hindi could do this during the past centuries. English is the language which binds the people of India together, whereas no other language is capable of doing this. Even if the English language brings a bit of English culture and English life pattern to India, is it not a change for the better? The language of Aryan Hindu gods formulates the life of Brahmins and the Aryan castes. But there is no such a medium of common language to reach the common mass of India. Most of the people of India are blind and dumb for they know not how to read and write. In spite of that they know English language influences and improves their life style. English language may be shaking the basement of the dictatorship by the Brahmins and the caste people. But it also cuts the fetters which bind the outcastes and the subjugated masses. Therefore the cry against English and pleading for Sanskrit is only natural.

The difference between Sanskrit and English is that the former fosters slavery and the latter leads to freedom. Sanskrit is the language of gods and not of men. Other languages are languages of human beings. One wonders why he should strive to speak the language of the gods. **Let the gods use the language among themselves.** Brahmins claim to be gods among men. If they consider themselves superior to others, let them use the language of the gods, Sanskrit. Compared to Sanskrit, English is very easy to learn, write and speak. English is the language which has worked wonders in India. The unification of the petty quarreling states was made possible by the use of this language. Indians in every walk of life are progressing, are marching forward, with this language on their tongues. Sanskrit, the language of the gods, is a weapon in the hands of the gods, the Brahmins, to subjugate the people using human languages. In India there are more than three thousand human languages. All people are happy with their mother tongues. A U.P. man will not relinquish Hindi to accept Sanskrit as his mother tongue. Will a Keralite relinquish Malayalam, a Tamilian relinquish Tamil or an Andhra man relinquish Telugu? Will people of Orissa leave Oriya or a Punjabi

abandon his mother tongue. So the best thing to do is to keep Sanskrit for the Brahmins, the human languages for human beings and give English the status of an international language, and in India as the interstate language. If Hindi can grow up as a powerful language well and good. Let it have a natural growth.

The Vedas of Hindutvavadis is written in Sanskrit. The common man of India should know that the Vedas are written in the language of the gods for gods and not for men. The vedas are meaningful only to gods, the Brahmins. If human beings will study the vedas and then say to themselves "Aham Brahmasmi", thy will be pronouncing blasphemy. If a man says, "I am god," that is mere sacrilege. How can any man say that he is god? The moment a man says that he himself is god, he becomes an atheist. So humans should leave the Vedas. Let the Brahmins alone follow the Vedas and say that they themselves are gods. So, the Vedas and the Sanskrit language are not for humans.

Sanskrit being divine is the language used for conducting poojas, mantras, tantras, divination, enchantment, magic, sorcery, demonology, witchcraft etc. The black art of astrology is cherished by the use of this language. Human beings must avoid Sanskrit language to escape the consequences of the above narrated black arts.

The great Savants Manu and Sri Sankaracharya have forbidden instruction of Sanskrit to Shudras and outcastes. The eternal Hindu laws protected by Shri Rama and Srikrishna prohibit shudras and outcastes from learning Sanskrit and Vedas. The violation of this rule will be detrimental. Therefore, the language of the gods and the Vedas should remain ear-marked for Brahmins.

Statement: P. 115

"The people in the south were always considered to be as much. "Aryan' as these in the North. In our country the word "Arya' was

always a sign of culture and not the name of a race."

Comment

The writer is giving a great concession to the South Indians, that the South Indians are also Aryas, i.e. of a great culture. Conversely, it must also be acceptable that the Aryans of North are also Dravidians. The words 'Dravida" and 'Arya' are synonymous. Instead of harping upon the word 'Arya' , the word 'Dravida' should be substituted. Instead of saying Arsh Bharat, it should also be called 'Dravid Bharat'. Bharat should be understood not merely as Arsh Bharat, but also as Dravid Bharat.

An important question arises. The question is whether the outcastes, aboriginals, backward people, the tribals of North and South are also Aryans. If they are also allowed to enjoy the privileges of the Aryans, then India is one. Till that time India is cut apart into many groups having separate self interests.

Statement - P.118

"But we as a people bound together by ties of blood and history, remain one and whole."

Comment

Whom does the word 'we' in the above sentence denote? By 'we' the writer may be meaning the Hindus. Hindus are not one people. Mainly Hindus are four distinct people. The blood of each one of them is separate. They are never bound together by blood. The Brahmins have Sattva blood, Kshatriyas have Rejo blood, Vaisyas have blood having a combination of Rejo and Tamo Gunas and the Shudras have blood of Tamo Guna. These bloods cannot unite. A Kshatriya, Vaisya or Shudra can never enjoy the blood relationship with the Brahmins. No two castes can have blood relationship. Though this is well-known to all, the writer without any prick of conscience states that all the people of India are

bound by blood relationship. How can he say that? Over sixty percent of Indian population belong to the outcastes who are untouchables. Does the writer claim blood relationship of these people with the caste people. That is impossible. Not even the Hindu hearts are in unity. Then how can all the Indian hearts stand in unity. If India is to emerge as a nation with one heart, the dissipating philosophy of the hindutvavadis should be put into fire and destroyed for ever. **They should learn that the yoni does not decide the quality of the offsprings. They should acknowledge that all yonis are equal. The differences and inequalities are all man-made.** It is for man to do away with the inequalities in caste, colour, language, education and financial status. "Love thy neighbour as thyself." Consider that everyone is of the same stock. Count all the human beings as the children of the same parents. Once you accept this, you can say all are blood related, that all belong to one family. For this, the concept of Hindutva should be shunned and the concept of Manushyatva (humaneness) should be accepted. To achieve this the protectors of casteism like shri Rama and Shri Krishna should be forsaken. The parochial gods should be thrown away and the universal God, the Omnipotent, Omniscient, Omnipresent God should be acknowledged as the only God of all. Until the Hindutvavadis condescend to accept this great Truth, India will remain fragmented.

Statement: P. 121-122

The first requisite for a nation is a contiguous piece of land delimited as far as possible by natural boundaries to serve as the sub-stratum on which the nation has to live, grow and prosper. The second requisite is, the people living in that particular territory should have developed love and adoration for it as their motherland, as the place of their sustenance, security and prosperity. In short, they should feel that they are the children of that soil."

".....They should have evolved a definite way of life moulded

by community of life- ideals, of culture, of feelings, sentiments, faith and traditions.....Common traditions and aspiration... then such people living as children of that particular territory may be termed as a 'nation'

"...For, it is the forefathers of the Hindu people that have set up standards and traditions of love and devotion for the mother-land. They also prescribed various duties and rites with a view to keeping aglow in our mind a loving and complete picture of our motherland and devotion to it as a Divine Entity...Only the Hindu has been living here as the child of this soil."

Comment

The writer is labouring hard to establish his claim that India belongs to Hindus only. In his eyes who are the Hindus? The ten percent of the people living in India.

The writer speaks very high of Hindu traditions and appeal to the readers that these traditions should be safeguarded. But the modern Indians have slammed down most of the Hindu traditions. Traditionally the higher castes got the services of the low caste without making any payment or reward. In the present India, the labourers have to be paid for their services. The outcastes were slaves to the caste people. In the present India the slavery of outcastes is ended and politically they are at par with caste people. Almost all the past traditions have disappeared. In the field of education, cultivation, trade, business, medicines, occupation etc., the old traditions have given place to new.

What about our defence about which the writer is boasting as if it is the Hindus who are defending this nation. He does not have the eye-sight to see the modern army, navy, and airforce. He is dreaming of Hanuman with his club for the defence of India. He may also be dreaming of Arjuna with his bow and arrow. Or he may be

admiring Chantrapati Sivaji who charges the enemy on his horse-back. The writer ought to know that the traditional arms and war are all old stories. The dress, the lives, the arms and amunations of the Indian Defence Forces have undergone rampant changes. He must know that India is an atomic power. Inspite of that India finds difficulty in containing the cross-border trouble makers. So India is seeking advice and amunitions from Israel to meet the enemy at the borders. To harp on the old glory and traditions is useless.

It is the hatred and animosity of Hindutvavadis against Muslims which divided the Motherland into two and later on into three. If the Hindutvavadis will not stop their babbling and atrocities, this country will tear up into many, many pieces.

Statement P.-122, 123

"Then we, the Hindu people have had an ideal, viz, to realise the Ultimate Truth."

Comment

The above statement is a meaningless one. To realise the Ultimate Truth means, to get dissolved in the Parabrahma or Universal Spirit, in other words to get Mukti and to get out of human existence. If this is the truth, by this time there would have been no Hindu in this world. All of them would have attained Mukti and thus would have disappeared from this world. But what do we see? Just as any other people, the Hindus are also multiplying and growing in number. What more proof is required to understand that the greatest ideal presented by the Hindus, is just a bluff.

The Hindus have propounded and promulgate the 'Theory of realisation of the Ultimate Truth' with the ulterior motive of cheating the common mass, the Shudras and outcastes. The associated terminologies such as 'dharma', 'Sanskriti' etc. are also employed only to cheat

the public. The so-called Hindu savants and rishis do not teach the people pure dharma and culture. Had it been so, there would have been no caste war, no suppression of the outcastes, no rape and no murder in India. Why Mahatma Gandhi was murdered? Why Phoolan Devi's mother raped before her eyes, why Indira Gandhi, Rajiv Gandhi and Phoolan Devi were murdered? Why innocent nuns are molested and killed? Why unarmed preachers of gospel of peace are attacked and killed? Why a saintly person and his children are burnt alive while in sleep? Why many worship places are erased? Why burial grounds are converted into play-grounds? Why the buried bodies are unearthed and thrown out? Why the effigies of gospel workers are burnt and they are threatened to be killed? All these show that the ideal is not to reach the 'Ultimate Truth'. The 'Ultimate Truth' will never accept Aryan Hindus into Him, for unlike the Aryan Hindus, the Parabrahma is so pure, so sublime that he cannot suffer even the nearness of the uncultured cruel, barbaric Aryan Hindus.

The writer speaks of a galaxy of seers and sages, savants and heroes who have led Hindus in their march in search of God and attainment of material affluence and honor. But he should agree that their search for God was futile. They have no knowledge or idea about true God. The other leaders gave only chaos and confusion in India. **The land and wealth were only for the higher castes. They never took pity on the outcastes even when they were dying for want of an ounce of water to wet their throats. Such inhuman, cruel brutes are claiming great dharma and Sanskriti.**

Statement P.124

"Therefore, we say that in this land of ours, Bharat, the national life is of the Hindu People, In short, this is the Hindu Nation."

Comment

The writer has not learnt anything from the experience of the past.

It was the 'Hindu' insanity which kept this great nation divided and sub-divided into petty chiefdoms even from the time of Rama and Krishna. The reunification of these ever warring states was not the achievement of any Hindu leader or Savant. Anybody who knows anything that transpired during the 18th, 19th and 20th century in India will vouch for the fact that it was the Britishers who got India united under one authority. All the then existing Hindu and Muslim Kingdoms were defeated and annexed to the British empire. Also it is a well known fact that it was the European missionaries who founded the basement of independence for India. Gandhi, Nehru, Patel, Netaji and so on came to the forefront only afterwards. India never fought a war of indepedence with the Britishers. It was only a peaceful movement based on Christian principles, upon the principles of non-violence. **Hindutvavadis know only one way, the way of their gods, use of weapons, beating, hitting, cutting, firing and so on.** India achieved independence not by the Aryan Hindu methods but by the more mighty weapons of Ahimsa and non- violence. The members of the Sangh Parivar now behave as if the Britishers were driven away from India by the sticks and rods of the R.S.S. But every Indian knows that the Aryan Hindus had no part to play in the struggle of non -violence. Aryan Hindus cannot appreciate the principles of non-violence. Indian independence was the result of the one-mindedness of the people of India, irrespective of religion, caste, creed, colour, language etc. It was a concerted effort of Hindus (not the Aryan Hindus), Muslims, Sikhs, Christians, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis and all people of India. All of them had the same mental and spiritual tune. And therefore the Britishers were pressurised to grant independence for India. **The first great act the Aryan Hindus got done was the murder of Gandhiji, the leader of secular India.**

From that time onwards, the Aryan Hindus have started to implement their sovereignty over India. The Britishers have gone away. Now it is the time for Aryans to come back to hegemony. Whatever the Aryan Hindus are saying and doing, their words and deeds however lofty they

may be, are but with the ulterior motive of enslavening the Shudras, Outcastes and Ahindus.

Secularism is nausiating to Aryan Hindus. They begin to vomit on hearing the word 'secular'. Under secularism, all citizens can enjoy more or less equal political freedom. The Shudras, Outcastes, Tribes, Backward classes and non-Hindus can share the citizenship rights at par with the Hindutvavadis. So they want to cheat the lower sections with high philosophy, patriotism, dharma, caste duty etc.

The writer quotes Stalin, "Explaining the concept of 'nation'. He once said that a nation is not formed out of mere common economic or political interests of a people residing in a common territory but that it is "a community of spiritual consciousness." But where is Stalin's Russia today? Where is the Germany of Hitler today? Hindutvavadis are so fond of Stalin and Hitler. They were the followers of hard hearted Rama and Krishna. Aryan Hindus also will find only their downfall, if they continue in their cruelty to common mass.

Statement P. .125

"What about the Muslims and the Christians dwelling in this land? Are they not also born and bred here? How could they become aliens just because they have changed their faith? But the crucial point is whether THEY remember that they are the children of this soil.... He cannot be a Hindu at all who is intolerant of other faiths...But the question before us now is, what is the attitude of those people who have been converted to Islam or Christianity? They are born in this land, no doubt. But are they true to their salt? Are they grateful to this land which has brought them up? Do they feel that they are the children of this land and its tradition, and that to serve it is their great good fortune? Do they feel it a duty to serve her? No! Together with the change in their faith, gone is the spirit of love and devotion for the nation.

Comment

What a foolish statement is the above. How does he gauge the patriotism of the religiously converted ones. I am a Christian. I am a hundred percent Indian. I love India and the Indian people more than any Aryan Hindu. The Hindutvavadis laudably proclaim that they love this nation. This nation is not the soil. This nation should mean not only the soil, but also all the people of this land . A Hindutvavadi can love only the higher castes. He cannot love the Shudras, the Outcastes, the Backward classes and Tribals. He cannot love a Muslim, a Christian, a Buddhist, a Jain, a Parsi or a Sikh. At present they sham love towards Buddhist, Sikh etc only to cheat them. Once they succeed subjugating Muslims and Christians, they will turn against these divisions. How does the writer say that Muslims and Christians are not true to their salt?

The Christians are running seventy percent of educational institutions in India. The present literacy is the contribution of Chrisitan missionaries. Not only the writer but almost all leaders of all political parties, the officers in the Ministries, Govt. Officers, Industrial and trade circles, judicial officers in the courts, the officers in the Defence Force, officers in the Railways, Postal Department and what not, are all educated in Schools and Colleges run by the Christian organizations. Who is running the hospitals and conducting other social services? A change of faith does not cut back the patriotism in a man. By accepting Jesus Christ as the only God, a person does not become a Palestenian or a Jew. If Israel or Rome will be waging a war on India, the Indian Chrisitan will surely stand by India. This has already been proved in the struggle for freedom. All Chrisitans took part in the freedom struggle, more than any Hindutvavadi.

Conversion is the essence of progress. Indians got converted in many many fields. Sandals gave way to shoes and chappels, the under tie which is the national dress of Indians is giving way to underwear, nicker, pants and shirts. Bullock cart is yielding to motor car and lorries.

Towns and cities are growing in number. Roads, bridges, vehicles and airways have changed the life of the people. The present generation can read, understand evaluate, separate chaff from the corn, and take right decisions. Once upon a time my great grandfathers were idol worshippers. It does not mean that I should continue to be an idol worshiper. Untouchability and casteism was tradition in my country. To prove my patriotism I need not observe untouchability and caste rules. Caste Hindus might say I am not a patriot because I do not observe the caste rules. But I will say I am on a higher spiritual level than any Aryan Hindu and that I am more patriotic than any of them for I love the neglected people who are my countrymen.

Statement P.126

"An eminent American Professor once asked me the question, 'Muslims and Christians are of this land alone. Why don't you consider them as of your own?'. To that, I put him a counter question:" Suppose one of our country men goes to America, settles there and wants to become an America citizen. However he refuses to accept your Lincoln, Washington, Jefferson and others as his national heroes. Would you then call him a national of America? Tell me frankly", he said , 'NO'."

Comment

Hundreds of Indians have earned American citizenship. Nobody has hither to been asked whether they accept Lincoln, Washington, Jefferson and others as their national heroes. The American government never asks such a question. That is not the criteria for conferring American citizenship.

Here, a citizen whose inheritance from time immemorial is Indian, just changes from the dark faith to a brighter faith and he is refused citizenship. Such a proposal is monstrous. Polytheism and idol worship are abominable to a man whose heart is bright with the light of life.

Statement P.127

"Doubtless , You are brave, adept at learning, handsome to look at, but the species in which you are born is not the one that can kill an elephant.:"

".....So too is the case with our nations. Mere common residence or birth and growth in our land cannot imply that the same loyalties, qualities and pattern of life exist amongst all its residents."

Comment

The above is an expression which reveals the innate quality of the Aryan Hindus. They always blow their own trumpet. They get puffed up by flattering themselves.

The Aryan Hindu conquerors of India styled themselves as a superior genus and treated the original Indians or Dravidians as sub-human. According to them Aryans are humans and Dravidians are sub-humans Brahmins are lions, Kshatriyas are elephants, Vaisyas are bears and Shudras are jackals. Brahmins are gods of all other human beings. Kshatriyas are warriors, collection of food materials for the upper caste is the caste duty of Vaisyas. All the menial services to the upper castes is the caste duty of Shudras.

The Adivasis, original inhabitants of India, are Vanaras or monkeys and the diverse petty animals and reptiles.

This classification is Pre-Christian and pre -Muslim. This classification was made before the birth of Christ and Mohammed. That means, it did not come as a result of conversion. The writer condemns those who get converted into Chrisitanity and Islam. Even prior to Christianity and Islam, the Aryan Hindus practised condemnation of Indians. The Adivasis whom Aryan Hindus called vanaras, asses or donkeys were not foreigners, were not converted.

The foreigners in India are Aryans, not Dravidians. It is time up for the Dravidians to shatter the feeling of supremacy of Aryans over Dravidians. The Aryan Hindus claim that by birth they are lions etc., that they are of a higher genus. This is a vain claim. The acid test to disprove their claims is to cohabit and multiply. Cohabitation and multiplication is possible only between the males and females of the same genus. Now it is for the Dravidians to take as wives only the Aryan Hindu women and procreate children in them. They should stop marrying from their own class. If children are produced by cohabitation with the so called higher castes, the truth will come out that there is no superior or inferior castes and classes. All humans are of the same caste or class. The superiority of the Aryan Hindus is but a myth. **The Aryan Hindus are as much monkeys as the Dravidian monkeys. Conversely the Dravidians are as much gods as the Aryan Hindus.** The Bible says God created humans as man and woman. So the only division is that of man and woman.

Statement. P. 127

"We can trace our ancestry to a common source, from where portion was taken away from the Hindu fold and became Muslim and another became Chrisitan. The rest could not be converted and they have remained as Hindus. Now, how did the converts leave their ancestral home? Was it out of their own sweet will and out of conviction of the superiority of these faiths? Well, history does not record a single notable instance of that sort.

Comment

The writer's knowledge of history is too poor or he is purposely hiding historical facts.

The first major conversion from Hindu fold was that of Buddhism. Gautama Buddha, the founder of Buddhism was a Hindu, a Kshatriya, the son of Suddhodana, the king of Kapilavastu. Buddha's original name

was Siddharta. He saw men getting old, and people dying. Many other painful sights changed him into a person of meditation. While he was practising meditation under a pipal tree, he received inner revelation of true knowledge. He preached Ahimsa to the Hindu community. This new religion spread all over India and also to many neighbouring states like Burma, Thailand, Lanka, Japan, Philippines, Indonesia, Cambodia, Laos Tibet. There are Buddhists in North America and South America.

To defeat the growth of Buddhism, the Aryan Hindus made a false story and spread among the people . According to the story, Buddha is an incarnation of Vishnu. In a war between the Devas (gods) and Asuras (Demons), the Devas got defeated and approached Mahavishnu. In order to help the Devas and to get victory for Devas, Mahavishnu took birth as the son of king Suddhodana. This Sidhardha got the revelation and by his preaching converted all Asuras to leave the Veda dharma . By accepting Buddhism, all the Asuras, Buddhists could be sent to hell (Agnipurana chapter 16). By spreading the story that those who accept Buddhism are Daityas or Asuras and all Buddhists will go to hell, Aryan Hindus won a victory over Buddhism in India and gradually Buddhism got extinguished in India. However, in the other parts of Asia and in two American continents, Buddhism flourishes. Now the Aryan Hindus say that Buddhists are Hindus. Let the Buddhists say that they are Hindus. If Buddhists say that they are Hindus, then why they should style themselves as Buddhists? They should throw away Buddhism before they say that they are Hindus.

How did Siddharta convert as Buddha? Was it out of his sweet will and out of conviction of the superiority of his faith or was it out of compulsion by outside sources?

Another wave of conversion was made by Jains. Even today Jainism stands separate from Hinduism.

Sikhism is very different from Hinduism. People of Punjab are

mainly Sikhs and Hindus. **If Sikhism is not different from Hinduism, why should it continue to exist?**

Statement: P.128

"There was a lot of deception also. A piece of beef ora loaf used to be thrown into the water tank of a village and the villagers ignorant of what had happened used to take the water as usual. On the next morning the missionary or Moulvi would come and declare that since they had used the polluted water they had all lost their religion and the only way left for them was to join his fold! In this way whole villages have been converted to Islam in the North and to Christianity on the West Coast. This is deception, pure and simple."

Comment

The writer seems to be a witty person capable of cutting very good jokes. The above is a joke which he has coined to make the readers laugh. But to state that to convert a village of Hindus, it is sufficient for Christians and Muslims to throw a piece of beef or a loaf of bread into the water tank of the villagers , is to ridicule the Hindus. Are the Hindus so foolish, so cheap? The writer ought to know that it is not menials only who got converted. People of high education and high position in life have left the darkness of Hindu philosophy to embrace the light of eternal life from Jesus Christ.

Statement P. 128

"It is our duty to call these our forlorn brothers, suffering under religious slavery for centuries, back to their ancestral home. As honest freedom loving men, let them overthrow all signs of slavery and domination and follow the ancestral ways of devotion and national life."

Comment

The writer does not feel shy to call the people who got con-

verted and escaped from centuries of slavery under the Aryan Hindus back to Hinduism. Under the Aryans every caste other than Brahmins suffered slavery. Among the castes, Shudras take birth only to be the property of the higher castes. Only death could make them free from the higher castes. Under Aryan Hindus the outcastes did not enjoy any freedom. They had to live in hutments away from the villages and towns of caste people, to keep themselves away from the sight of the caste people. They had to keep themselves away from the high-ways, from temples, from wells and ponds. They had to do serve as the scavengers of the castes. They had to do all the heavy burdensome jobs for the caste people. All these services were free, without any remuneration! They had to live in dens or huts of bamboos or sticks covered by hay or leaves. They rarely enjoyed a stomachful meals. Subjected to ill health and continuous sickness, they were left to the mercy of the nature.

The writer quotes the story of the prodigal son from the Bible. The son of a rich father took his share of wealth from his father and went away to distant cities. Squandered the money. At last to earn a food, he had to look after the pigs of his master. He desired to eat from the food given to the pigs. But even that was not available to him. Then he remembered the good old days when he enjoyed the flourishing life at his father's house. He decided to go back to his father. The loving father seeing his son at a distance ran down, embraced him and received him into the happiness of the house.

Do the Aryan Hindus represent such a good, loving father? Is Hinduism a happy home? Can one breathe the air of freedom under Hindu tradition? The writer says, 'We have thrown open our houses, our sanctuaries and temples, our age-old culture and heritage." This is far from truth. Aryan Hindus do not allow any place to those who return to Hinduism. For those who have reconverted to Hinduism through Suddhi, they build separate second rate temples. If the converted low class learn

to conduct poojas, he will not be allowed to conduct poojas in Hindu temple, but only in special temples constructed for them. When a Christian woman got converted and married a Hindu, upon taking their child for dedication in a temple was kept at the outskirts of the temple without permission to take part in the dedication service or pooja. This is how the Aryan Hindus receive people who convert into Hinduism. For a real convert to Islam or Christianity, these things do not make any difference, for he won't worship an idol placed in the temple, even if the whole temple and its assets are given to him free, for he knows that idol worship is hated by the God who is a Spirit.

Statement P.135

The writer narrates an incident. In the South there was an English Officer. He had an assistant, a local person. The orderly of the Englishman was a Brahmin. One day the Englishman was walking in a street along with his orderly. The assistant of the Englishman happened to come from the opposite side. He shook hands with the English man. But when the officer saw the orderly, he took off his turban and touched his feet. The Englishman was amazed. He queried," I am your senior officer, but you stand erect and just shake hands with me, whereas he is only my peon and you prostrate before him on this busy road. What is the matter? The assistant officer replied, "You may be my officer, but you are a mlecha. (mlecha means abominable person, barbarian, an outcaste, an unclean person) He may be a peon, but the belongs to that class of my people which is held in great respect all down the centuries before whom it is my duty to bow down."

Comment

This is a conspicuous instance of Brahmin worship. Aryan Hindus command worship from all others. They consider all non-Aryans as mlechas. Mlecha means an aboninable person, barbarian, an outcaste, an unclean person.

According to Aryan Hindus, the whole world is full off mlecchas. The president of U.S.A., France, Egypt, Indonesia, Sri Lanka, Pakistan and so on are mlechas. George Bush and Tony Blair are abominable, barbarian, unclean persons. Jesus Christ is a mlecha. Prophet Mohammed is a mlecha. The Pope of Vatican is a mlecha. All Dravidians are mlechas. Everyone other than Indian Aryans are mlecchas.

I can compare Aryan Hindus only with the lunatics standing on the streets and market places claiming that they are kings, ministers, presidents, sub-inspectors, Sankaracharyas, Bishops and so on. The Hindutvavadis are mentally deranged and have become maniac to say that they are the only cultured people and all others are mlechas.

To the people of common sense, there is no other man equal to Jesus Christ. No Rama, Krishna or Buddha can rank anywhere near him. And the Indian Aryan dare to say that he is a mlecha. The Indian Aryans have no sense of right and wrong. Their leaders are blinded by the Power of Darkness and until they become meek they will not be able to see the Truth. Every day they pray, 'Tamasoma Jyotirgamaya', i.e. lead us from darkness to light. But they do not know to whom are they praying. When one considers himself as god, to whom can he pray? His prayer rebounds to himself who is nothing but darkness. If your prayer is to be answered, you confess that you are not god, that you are only a human being and admit that you are in darkness of ignorance, that your self-pride has kept you away from Truth. Then, pray God to open up your eyes to see the Truth. God will surely answer your prayer.

Everybody is born as a sinner by birth. By birth nobody is better than another. A person is to be judged good or bad, refined or mlecha only on the basis his mental, spiritual development and not by his birth in any particular caste.

The writer describes the instance of an officer who is an Indian shaking hands with a Senior British Officer, and at the same time falling at the feet of the peon of the British Officer, simply because the person was a

Brahmin. Here we see the difference between the Hindu culture and the Christian Culture. In Hindu culture a Brahmin is divine and he is the object of worship. Even if the Brahmin is only a chaprasi, peon. But Christian culture treats everybody as equal human beings. Under Hindu culture, if an woman sees a naked sanyasin, she will wash his penis and drink that water, for Sanyasis are gods. But under Christianity every man and woman are equally honourable. Which religion is more cultured?

Statement P.142

"For instance, the Normans entered England as aggressors. The local people stood up against them to defend their freedom. But later both of them merged together and faced all future aggressions as one united people. And they have continued to live a unified life even to this day."

Comment

Here is a great lesson for Aryan Hindus to learn. Though Normans came as conquorers, they merged with the local people. The Aryan Hindus have no mind to merge with the local Indians. They call the local Indians as mlecchas. The caste people cannot mingle with the locals. In England there is only one people, a complete fusion of the Normans and the locals. But what about India? The caste Hindus style themselves as Brahmins, Kshatriyas, Vaisyas and Shudras. They have created hundreds of divisions among the castes. The outcastes also have followed suit. It is not Muslims and Christians who split the nation into many. It is the rigidity of the Brahmins which makes the fusion of the people of India impossible. If the Brahmins came down, caste system wiped out and caste people mingle with outcastes the unity of this nation will be suddenly achieved. The Muslims, Christians and the people of other faith do not and will not pose any problem against their motherland. Open avenues for understanding the faiths of all religions. Let the people choose their own religions.

Religion is not the factor which unites the people into nationhood. If religion is the force behind unity, how can the different Muslim nations fight in between themselves? So many Christian countries in Europe used to fight each other. Even before the advent of Christ or Mohammed, the Hindu kings used to fight. Even Devas and gods like Brahma, Vishnu, Siva used to fight each other. The family quarrel between Pandavas and Kauravas i.e. the kurukshetra war was between the people of the same Aryan religion. If the matter is properly studied, one can say without any hesitation that the unity, oneness of a nation, does not depend upon single religion. The unity of a nation depends more upon the willingness of the people to co-operate and respect each other. When a group of people try to play the masters, automatically disruption, creeps in, weakening the oneness or unity.

Do you think that if all the other religions are washed away from India and there remains only Hinduism in India, it will bring oneness and unity in India. No. The Hindus will begin to fight in between. The Vajpayee Government is supported by the N.D.A. members. But do you think that Siva Sena, V.H.P. or Bajrang Dal will keep quiet? Even with one religion in India, there will be rift and fight. If this fighting is to end, every party should condescend to respect the other. The feeling of 'I' being supreme should go. Consider the other greater than thyself. This is the way to peace, strength and stability. **Also throw away the idea of Aryanisation of the whole world. The maximum you can do will be to make some people here and there lunatic.**

Statement: P.143

The writer says that Maulana Mohammed Ali, President elect at the Kakinada session of Congress in 1923 asked Pandit Vishnu Digambar Paluskar not to sing Vande Mataram. When that song was sung, the Maulana left the podium.

Comment

Vande Materam is a song which praises a goddess that does not

exist. The land of Hindustan is not a goddess at all. There is no goddess called Mother India. Only irreligious people can sing a song in praise of such a goddess. No man of understanding and reason should sing praises to any man-made god or goddess. Mother India is man-made imaginary fiction. Man should worship only True God. Nationalism should grow on truth, not on fiction and fictitious figures.

Statement: P. 144

Muslims abduct Hindu girls.

Comment

Is it true that abduction of girls are always committed by Muslims only.? At least the Muslims show the courage to keep with them the girls, they abduct. But what about the Hindus? **Once they use the girls, they throw them away or kill them off. Who raped the mother of Phoolan Devi? If it were a Muslim he would have kept her alive as his wife.** How many Hindus were there to rape Phoolan? Recently how many nuns were raped by the Hindutvavadis? Once they rape them, they should have the boldness, to keep them as wives. The writer simply caricatures Muslims as mlechas and Hindus as divine.

Statement P. 144

The writer condemns cow-slaughter and finds fault with the Muslims.

Comment

The writer has not made any studies on cow slaughter. Cow was the animal offered for yajnas by the rishis, savants and kings of ancient in India. The flesh of the animals sacrificed was relishing to everybody. Eating of cow, ox and other animals was the practice of Aryan Hindus. They stopped the practice to curb the growth of Buddhism.

Statement P.144

The writer wails the defeat of the 'Children of heroic ancestors of peerless valour.'

Comment

What is the use of wailing now. If the Aryan Hindus were heroic people of peerless valour, that should have been shown in the war against Mohammed Ghori and the Moghals. **When they invaded India, where did the peerless valour hide? It is disgraceful to shout out vainglorious utterances.** Accept the fact that the then Hindus were not strong enough to defend themselves against the Muslims. Even today, the Vajpayee government wanted to devour Pakistan and used the atom bombs kept ready by the Congress Government. What happened? Every Indian should feel ashamed of that foolish action taken by Vajpayee government. The thought that Aryan Hindus are superhumans should be cast aside. Until that time, India will be facing defeats, not progress but retrogression.

Statement P.148

"Further, if a man commits a mistake once, he tends to persist in it. Any advice from others to give up the mistaken course only irritates him and makes him stick to it all the more obstinately. To err is human but to persist in proved and established error is unhuman . It is the characteristic of an animal and not of a human being. The quality of a rational human being is to acknowledge one's mistake and correct it with all humility with the firm resolve never to repeat the mistake."

Comment

The writer has given a correct description of the character of Aryan Hindus. They have a mistaken notion that they are super humans and the

Shudras and outcastes of India are sub-humans. Their pride goes to the extent to call every human beings other than themselves as mlecchas. This attitude of the Aryan Hindu is the curse of India. If India has to progress, either the Aryan Hindus should change their audacity. They should correct their mistake. If national integration is desired in India, a two percent Brahmins should not be allowed to believe that they have some special divinity in themselves. They should learn that they are as much sinners or mlecchas as others. Also the ninety percent population of India should know that they are as much divine as the Brahmins.

Statement P.150

"We must once again stand up in our true full stature and boldly assert that we shall elevate the Hindu National life in Bharat to the peak of glory and honour which has been its birthright since hoary times."

Comment

If the Hindu Nationl life in Bharat has to rise up to glorious level, then the conception of Hindus should change. India's glory is not the glory of a few Brahmins here and there. India's glory is the glory of every citizen of India. Any person permanently residing in India, is an Indian citizen irrespective of his native place, colour, tongue, occupation, food habits, dress, literacy, religious faith etc. All of them must enjoy equality before law, equality of opportunity and such fundamental rights that are laid down in the present Constitution of India. The conception of first class citizen, second and third class citizen etc. should be abhorred.

Then, the Hindutvavadis ought to know that the world is much larger than India. The Universe is so large that India is just a dot in it. Asia is many times larger than India. India is only a small portion of Asia. Can you compare India with China? China is much more large than India. China has more population and power than India. Do you think

we can fight with that country and defeat them easily? We can't strike victory over many countries in the world. We are only one among the many nations of the world. Can we wage war with the tiny nation Israel? No. Our power is limited. So don't count that we are the most powerful in the world. We are not a super power. We are only a poor nation. Over eighty percent of our people are below the poverty line. Millions and millions of Indians are destitutes, without food, shelter, cloth education and living facilities.

What part has HIndutvavadis in the modern science and technology? What inventions have the Hindutvavadis made? What is your contribution to the world?

Then, the Hindutvavadis ought to know that the most modern ability you have mustered is to enroll and train goondas as gangs to cut the throats of co-citizens. You have no right to assemble to commit murder and atrocities. It is criminal activity. Any government which permits the existence of such illegal assemblies does not deserve to be called as government. If the police or military force cannot manage, let the governemnt dissolve the police and military and let the goondas run their goonda raj. But let it be known that goondaism will not bring glory to India.

It is the birth right of every Indian citizen to enjoy freedom and a life of standard as every other citizen.

Statement P.153

'Of course, he could not reply because that was a fact. It is this fear complex in the minds of those who call themselves 'secular', broad minded' etc. that makes them say, "Let us not say 'Hindu' which will offend the Muslims into becoming hostile to us." But the hostility is there. They only want to appease them into not behaving in a hostile manner.

Comment

To my mind the real Hindus are secular for they know that India

has many religions castes, sects, languages, traditions, cultures etc. Hindutva is nothing but enmity to Muslim. The so-called Hindutvavadis are still afraid of Muslims because the Muslims take revenge instantaneously. So the Hindutvavadis have refrained from attacking Muslims. The R.S.S., B.J.P., Siva Sena Bajrangadal and similar Hindutva organisations out of fear for the Muslims have stopped playing with them. They are increasing their strength and seeking an opportune moment to smash them.

In the meanwhile they are playing their game against Christains.They fearlessly attack Christians because they know that Christians will not return the blow. Jesus Christ has taught them that if someone beats you on one cheeck show him the other also. So Hindutvavadis are showing their real colour by attacking Christians. Let us see how long this game will continue.

Statement P.154

The writer quotes the story of Bhima killing Bakasura and advises that Hindus should emutate Bhima and go against Muslims.

Comment

But the writer should know that all the adventures and bravery of Hindus were only against the fictitious figures in the stories narrated in the Puranas and not against the foreign invaders. Of course the caste people show their valour against the low castes and outcastes. This is the glorious national life of Arsh Bharat.

Statement: P.154

"Remember conviction is There"

In fact, the conviction of our true nationhood has been ingrained in our blood since hoary times. Even to this day that con-

viction is there in the heart of every son of this soil, though sometimes lying dormant."

The writer goes on to tell some stories, most probably fabricated, for generating animosity in Hindus against Muslims. Many years ago, Govindarao Kale, the ambassador of the Peshwa at the court of the Nizam, came to know that the Peshwas has crossed the Sindhu and had carried his victorious sword right upto Kabul. In his letter of congratulations, he wrote, "I am so very happy that the Bhagawa Dhwaj has crossed the Sindhu once again, that all Muslim power has been shattered and that from the Himalayas to Kanyakumari, this is Hindustan, and not a 'Turkistan.'

Comment

What is the use of writing and spreading such provocative words apart from creating antagonism in the minds of Hindus against Muslims? **The leaders of Hindutvavadis are prophets of division, rivalry and creators of chaos in the society.** If they are laid up, they will waggle their tongues. If they can stand up they will pick up pitched battles. If they can fight they will try to finish off all others. That is what is happening today. These goondas have mustered mutual help and support and now feel that they can do away with all others. Hence the chaos and confusion in India today. Let us talk of instances of unity. Let us not have the ambition to make Bhagwa Dhwaj to cross the Sindhu once again.

I am a Keralite, a Travancorean. Even in my childhood I knew that Hindus,Christians and Muslims are different especially in religious faith. I was in my very childhood a staunch monotheist, not because anybody taught me anything on the subject. In my conscience it was imprinted that there is only one God for the whole universe. I was convinced that stories supporting polytheism were all fabricated. Yet in my innocence, I spent most of my play time in copying the visit of Kali Devi to every

Hindu house for about two three months in summer and the festival in the temple closing her travel round the nearby villages. I knew in my heart that the visit of Devi to every house was only a supposition. She was made in a hemi spherical shape using timber, covered with costly colourful clothes, nicely decorated and seated on two long timber pieces, and carried by two persons on shoulders. Fifty to hundred people of each locality will accompany the troupe. Drummers present a very lovely drumming and the carriers of the Devi, by calculated movements of legs, jumping and shaking the shoulders will make the idol to present a dancing and shaking perfectly attractive.

We the children make a replica of the Devi by taking two leaves of the Jack tree, pin them together at the back and fold the upper leaf backward. So the upper leaf stands perpendicular to the lower leaf. Then we take the Devi in procession, by drumming on the stem of the aracanut palm leaf whch produces high sound and by shouts of Aarpo Iyyo. Our band composed of Brahmins, other Hindus, Christians and Muslims, both girls and boys. Then we would enact some Christian worship and festival. Then we will have some Muslim programmes. Wedding was another oft-repeated play. A Brahmini girl would stand as bride and a Christian or Muslim or some Hindu boy would come as bridegroom. And the marriage is celebrated with all pomp and display. Also we played Pappa and Mummy having many children. We never felt any discrimination among us. All of us were one, without any feeling of separatioin on the ground of religions, caste, wealth or social status. How exhilerating is even the very memory of those days!

I seriously doubt whether our Hindu and Muslim friends have cared to learn from Jesus Christ the embodiment of love, peace and care. Both claim that their philosophies and teachings are most superb, but both generate enmity, aversion, bitterness and the venom of spite between societies, between man and man. Since we were children, we were not affected by the philosophies and teaching of the religious

leaders. So we were able to count ourselves as one and we enjoyed heaven upon earth. Let us have the conviction that we Indians are of one family.

Statement P. 156

"Let us not shut our eyes to the historical fact that it was the scheming Britisher who, in order to perpetuate his stronghold in our country, planted in our minds perverted notion of nationhood in a bid to break the proud and defiant spirit of the Hindus which alone could have posed a real threat to the domination."

Comment

Please refer to comment on Statement P. 76

Statement P.158

"He cannot be a son of this soil at all who is intolerant of other faiths."

Comment

According to this very statement, the Hindutvavadis are not the sons of this soil. They are most intolerant of other religons. The Aryans during the early days of their conquest of India, not only defeated the Dravidians, but also changed the entire social structure of Indian people. Among Dravidians there was no caste system. All people enjoyed freedom and equality of social and religious status. The peak of Dravidian glory was under the King Mahabali. He treated all his subjects alike , on equal terms. There were no quarrels, fightings or wars. No sort of social upheavels was taking place in this land. Everybody respected others as honourable. No lie, no theft, no cheating, no quarrels, no profiteering, no aggression, no war, no subjugation, no atrocities, no weapons, no unions, no parties, no senas (armies) no robbery, no murders, no threatening, no agitation, no commotion, no uprising, no tumult. All people

lived in peace and plenitude. Women enjoyed equal status with men. There was no need for Rakshabandhan, for nobody oppressed women. The society enjoyed such calmness since the heart of everyone was clean without greed and full of concern for others. People were unarmed and they did not carry with them any arms or ammunition. There was no need for military training. Sword, bow and arrow, club, mace spear, or trident were unknown. There was no need for weapons. Peace and prosperity abounded everywhere.

It is to such a country the Aryans came. They came not to settle peacefully in this country. They were barbarians using, bow and arrow, swords, the mace, the trident and so on. They looked scornfully at the dark skinned people of the soil. The invaders could not have anythig to do with the original inhabitants of this precious land. They simply destroyed the well planned cities, abodes, gardens, play grounds and all that was Dravidian. The peaceful aboriginals, unarmed and knowing no war tatctics was easily subjugated. Millions were killed off. Many fled to forests, for the sake of life. Even after many centuries their successors continue to live in forests and they are called vanavasis. **They were not vanavasis, but they lived in well planned cities and towns. But the ruthless conquorers made them vanavasis, tribals, by making them flee to forests for life.** Many others fled to the South. That is how Dravidians are concentrated in the South. Few others were made slaves of the Aryans and were left unkilled. They were declared outcastes and untouchables.

The writer is blowing the horn of tolerance. Aryans are the most intolerant generation. In India every step of this species was intolerant. Even among the castes there is no tolerance. A Brahmin will not sit on par with a Kshatriya. A Shudra should keep away from Brahmin. An outcaste should not happen to fall in the sight of a Brahmin.

As a result of the intolerance and vulgar practices of Hinduism there came into being reformative religions. Buddhism outdid

Hinduism and spread rapidly throughout the length and breadth of this country. The non-Aryans left Hinduism and accepted the new religion for it had some similarities with the Dravidian culture. Buddhism crossed the boundaries of India and spread in many other Asian countries. China, Japan, Srilanka etc. turned to be Buddhist countries.

Later on the intolerant Hindutvavadis began to play trick on Buddhism. They depicted Buddha as a Dalit, dark skinned Karumadikuttan. Their shrines were destroyed and the statues of Buddha demolished. Even today there are many idols of Buddha lying here and there, nobody to care. Some idols have been assimilatd by the cunning Hindutvavdis as the idols of Siva or Ayyappa. Somehow or other, Buddhism was uprooted from India. Another glorious victory of Hindutva was over Jainism. Today the number of Jains in India can be counted on finger tips. Now they claim that Parsis and Sikhs are Hindus. That is how they swallow up opponents. Buddha is considered for convenience sake as an incarnation of Vishnu. Giving such an honourable place to Buddha, Hindutva has swallowed Buddhism.

The tolerance of Aryan Hindus is exhibited in their approach to and treatment meted out to Muslims. They fight tooth and nail to eradicate Islam from India. Now they want to remove Chrisitans from India. They are playing all sorts of trick and employ force to commit atrocities and threat to the Christian community.

Statement P.158

Kamal Pasha' the Maker of Modern Turkey' restored the age old national pattern of life and limited the role of Islam to personal worship of God.'

Comment

The Hindutvavadis have to learn great lessons from Kamal Pasha. The first thing to be learnt is that he limited the role of religion to personal

worship of God. If the Aryan Hindus will emulate him and instead of bringing religion into politics, will limit the role of religion to personal worship. It will end all the unnecessary turmoil in India. Let the religion be considered as a matter of personal choice. Give freedom of thought, freedom of conscience, freedom of religion and freedom of life to every citizen.

Statement P. 161

"All these factors point to the fact that it is only a strong and resurgent Hindu Rashtra that can stand guarantee to the free and prosperous life of the so called minoriteis here sharing equal opportunites as the proud children of the mother land.

Comment

To stand guarantee to the free and prosperous life of the so called minorities here sharing equal opportunities as the proud children of Bharat, a resurgent Hindu Rashtra need not come up. The Constitution of India has already taken care of the minorities giving equality of opportunity, equality before law, freedom of conscience, freedom of thought , expression and speech etc. The fundamental rights guaranteed by the Constitution are sufficient to protect the welfare not only of the minorities, but also of the majorities, especially the Dalits, Outcastes and Tribals who form sixty percent of Indian population.

The Dalits and Minorities are already experiencing the nature of treatment that will be meted out to them if and when a Hindu Rashtra will be formed. The Muslims have tasted the destruction of mosques and other atrocities. Christians are facing destruction of their institutions, felling down of churches and worship places, killings, plundering and even unearthing of dead bodies from the burial grounds. Cemetery has been converted into playground, conventions and gospel meetings are disarrayed and stopped abruptly. Dalits undergo maltreatment, murder and monstrocity.

History is rewritten filling utter lies. Textbooks for education seek to saffronize India. Educational institutions run by the minorities are put into many difficulties. Ill-treatment of minorities and Dalits are ever increasing.

Out of power, the Hindutvavadis are committing all sorts of barbarity and monstrosities on the minorities and Dalits. What will transpire if they succeed politically !

Statement : P.162

"Then there is the confusion of equating 'secularism with nationalism'.

Comment

The confusion, not real but feigning is in the minds of the Aryan Hindus. Secularism is not atheism. It does not say that there should not be any religion. But it does not say which religion a state should have. One state may have only one religion or many religions or no religion at all. The state does not prescribe any particular religion for its citizens. Aryan Hindus want a single religion as the state religion. This is impossible, for India is almost a small continent having diverse races, language, culture, traditions, religious faiths, habits etc. Hindus, Dalits, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis, Christians, Muslims, Sikhs and other religionists have been staying in this land for centuries and centuries. They are all sons of the soil and are equally attached to the soil. There are theocratic states. But they are small states only where the problem of diversity does not arise. But India is so vast and the diversity in this nation is peculiar to this nation only. The diversity in India in almost every walk of life cannot be done away with by any political party or by any government. If the government is going to insist that all people of India, being sons of the soil, should eat only one type of food, the state will never be able to implement it. The North Indians will get along with sookha roti and South Indians with rice, rasam, sambar, vada etc. Such diversities will ever exist. In spite of the diversity, both the North and South people

can stay as one nation. For nationality, it is not necessary that all people should have the same food, same clothing, same type of houses, same language, same colour, same traditions, same religious faith and so on.

The question Hindutva poses is not whether "secularism' or 'nationalism', but the question is whether 'secularism' or 'Hinduism'. Any cultured Indian, the majority of Hindus, will say and stand for 'Secularism' and not for 'Hinduism'. Only the narrow minded Hindutvavadis, out of greed and desire for power over others will stand for Hindutva. Here the question, to be more clear, is whether we want a Hindu Rashtra or a 'Bharat Rashtra'. This question does not arise at all, for when we achieved independence from foreign suzerainty, the nation opted for a 'secular' Bharat nation. The question is irrevocably settled and it is for the Government of India to suppress and annihilate any gang, group or party which may shout for any particular religion for the state.

Statement: P. 163

"Then the apt word for such a state would be 'multi religious' and not 'Secular.'

Comment

'Secular' does not mean irreligious. Secular exactly means 'multi religious'. In a secular state every individual is free to follow the religion of his choice. A secular nation cannot be, should not be, named after any one religion. So the name 'Hindu Rashtra' is in contravention of the spirit of secularism.

Moreover, what is the justification to call India a Hindu Rashtra? Hindus are Aryans only. And the Aryans are not more than ten percent of the population of India. Therefore, it is not rational to call India a Hindu Rashtra. It is more appropriate to call India a Dalit Rashtra for sixty percent of the population of India are Dalits.

Statement: P. 163

"But unfortunately, secularism in India has, in practice, meant anti-Hinduism for people at the helm of affairs."

Comment

Secularism in India should neither be pro-ism or anti-ism. The State should not side with any particular religion. But what is happening in India? The writer says that the people who are at the helm of affairs are anti-Hindu. How could Babari Mazjid be erased? How many mosques have been destroyed? How many churches have been demolished? How may Christian institutions have been destroyed? How many priests, nuns and evangelists have been murdered? Did anyone retort in the same style? Is any temple destroyed? Is any Hindu festival obstructed? Then how do you say the people at the helm of affairs are anti-Hindus? Everybody knows that the Babri Mazjid was destroyed by the collusion of the Prime Minister, Narasimha Rao with the Hindutvavadis. Even though the Congress says it is secular, the many leaders in the party are secret agents of the Hindutvavadis. That is why the congress party is unable to rise to the occasion to contain Hindutva. If the leaders of the Congress party will stand true to secularism, the party will grow in power and popularity and the Hindutvavadi parties will learn secularism.

Statement: P. 164

"The national life of Bharat is an ancient one . The social life here has been woven round a cultural tradition imbued with common life ideals stemming out of a common comprehensive life philosophy."

Comment

Never before 1947, in the annuls of history, India was a nation. Many kings ruled many Kingdoms. So never before the advent of Chris-

tian invaders was India a nation. There was no common Indian national life. Even today Marathis and Malayalies do not have a common life style. Punjabis and Biharis live differently. Bengalis and Gujarathis have different life style. In spite of hundreds of differences in the life pattern, the people of this continent were united politically by Britishers. **The national life of Bharat is not Hindu National life.**

What is Hindu National Life? The pattern of life of caste Hindus is different from the life pattern of Outcastes, the Dalits. Inside the Hindu groups, the pattern of life of Brahmins is different from that of Kshatriyas and other castes. The life pattern of Shudras is different from that of other castes. The pattern of life is varying from sub - caste to sub-caste. There is no unity or similarity of life pattern among the people of India. And the Hindutvavadis are by their words and deeds aggravating the division among various castes, sub-castes, casteless and non-Hindus.

Statement: P. 164

"All such groups who consider themselves distinct from this national ethos and cherish hopes and aspirations in opposition to the national ones and demand separate rights and privileges for themselves are to be called "communal".

Comment

According to the above statement, who are communal? Aryan Hindus. The ten percent Aryan Hindus claim that India belongs to them, that they are the real nationals, that all the other ninety percent people should subject themselves to the Aryan Hindus. They claim to be a privileged people having the right to dictate terms to the ninety percent of this nation. This is communalism. The communalists in India are the Aryan Hindus, now members of Sangh Parivar.

The Hindus of Bharat are not communal. Only the Aryan Hindus are communal. Just as the Muslims claimed and got a separate state, the Aryans are also clamouring for a state separate for themselves. They

claim the whole of India only to succeed in getting at least a portion of India for themselves. If the non-Aryans will accept them as a sovereign class, they will not demand a separate state. But if the Indians will not accept the suzerainty of Aryans, they will demand for a separate Hindustan. Ultimately Bharat will remain as a sovereign state along with Pakistan and Hindustan. The non-Aryans are now free from the clutches of Aryan Hindus. Can the non-Aryans go back to the thrawldom of caste people ? No. they will prefer the heart -rending division of India once again into Hindustan and Bharat.

What should the Aryans do?

The Aryans should love India. Aryans should get Indianised. Indianisation of Aryans should be the prime duty of the Government of India. The martial groups belonging to the Sangh Parivar should be extinguished. For that purpose any militant group, other than the Indian Defence Force should be rooted out. All the senas of B.J.P., R.S.S., Siva Sena, V.H.P., Bajrangdal I.S.I., Naxel etc. should be eradicated. The existence of any one sena will give life to one or more rival Senas. A nation cannot prosper with different Senas warring within. Moreover, Bharat is a peaceful nation, which does not want the peace to be lost. Confrontation between two Senas inside the nation can disturb the peace in the country more than when the nation is engaged in a fight with an outside aggressor. Let the Hindutvavadis disperse all their Senas, feel one with the majority in this land and put in their best for the common growth for the glory of India.

Statement P. 165

"The expression 'communalism of the majority" is totally wrong and misconceived. In a democracy the opinion of the majority has to hold the sway in the day- to-day life of the people. As such it will be but proper to consider the practical conduct of the life of majority as the actual life of the national entity. From this

part of view also, efforts to uplift the life of Hindus is natural and not communal. The term 'majority communalism" is thus opposed to the spirit of democracy.

Comment

Who told that there is 'communalism of the majority' in India? In India what is taking place is the Brahmincal tyranny or the tyranny by the higher castes. This small minority of less than ten percent of the population, style themselves as Aryans and call others as 'mlechas'. These small minority groups calling themselves to be superior to the sixty percent Dalits, play communalism against them and the thirty percent non-Hindus. The minority communalism plays tricks upon the Dalit majority and non-Hindus. Democracy cannot be the rule of, by and for the small minority, but it should be the rule of the people, by the people and for the people. Hindutvavadis practise deception over the majority by making themselves as rulers.

Statement: P.165

"Duty to be Vigilant"

"The Hindu always has been showing due respect to all other beliefs. He does not look upon diversity in worship as something incompatible with national unity. He opposes at times the attitude of adopting separatist policy in material life under the pretext of laying maximum emphasis on religion and also the demands for special privileges which cause injury to national life. In fact it is his natural and paramount duty to exercise vigilance always and steadfastly oppose all such tendencies."

Comment

In a democratic country Hindu, or for that matter followers of any other religion, are not charged with a duty to oppose the attitude of

adopting separatist policy in material life. It is not the duty of the Hindu, but the duty of the government to exercise vigilance over religious or non-religious groups raising demands for special privileges which cause injury to national life.

In fact anybody knows that the groups which demands special privileges over others is Aryan Hindus. Brahmins demand special privileges on Kshatriyas, Vaisyas, Shudras, outcastes and the non-Hindus. Each caste people demand special privileges over other castes between themselves and also ever the outcastes and non-Hindus. The vast majority of Indians are mere slaves of the higher castes. The Aryan Hindus do not allow the real Indian even to breathe freely.

Even after fifty years of independence of India, the original Indians do not enjoy a free life. The high castes dictate their rules. The Aryans suppress and exploit the low castes and non-Hindus. In many cases, the low castes are murdered and the higher caste police and government officials do not take cognizance of the cruelty. Nobody dares to lodge a complaint to the police or judiciary, because the police, government servants including the judicial officers belong to the Aryan Hindus, and they do not think that it is a crime to kill a low caste or outcaste. Even if a complaint is lodged, the police do not take any action. No FIR is prepared. Instead they will threaten the complainant. Recently many atrocities have been committed against Chritstians in different states. But how many cases have been filed or action taken? From the level of the Prime Minster, National Human Rights commission, to the lowest police, all these atrocities are painted as common incidents. But all these atrocities are committed by well organised goondas, the Hindutvavadis. That is how the subsidiaries of Sangh Parivar including the Prime Minister and Home Minister keep vigilance over the low-castes, outcastes and non-Hindus.

Statement: P.166

Communalism : Seven types

1. *The non-Hindus.*
2. *Neo-Buddhists and Sikhs.*
3. *Groups like Dravida Kazhagam and Dravida Munnetta Kazhagam. They speed hatred, enmity and Vigilance against the rest of the society.*
4. *People who rouse controversies in the name of 'touchabilty' and 'untouchability', Brahmin and non-Brahmin' and fan hatred, enmity, selfishness, and demands for special privileges.*
5. *The communalism of linguistic groups, who indulge in spreading aversions, rivalry and hatred against linguistic neighbours.*
6. *Narrow provincial feelings and of adopting unhealthy attitude towards people from other provinces, South and North, Punjabi and Non -Punjabi, Marathi versus Kannada, Gujarati versus Marathi, Bengal, Bihar-Orrissa differences are of this type.*
7. *Differences of caste, creed, language etc. and fans mutual hatred to achieve electoral ends. This is the most dangerous type rampant all over the country, of which many political parties, including the party in power, are guilty. So long as this the political type of communalism exists, it is well-nigh impossible to eradicate any other form of communalism . If only this seventh type of communalism is eschewed, then shall we find it less difficult to deal with the other forms.*

Comment

The above is a good teaching to be learnt by the Sangh Parivar as a whole. Every wing of the Sangh Parivar is indulging only in commu-

nalism. When Advani or Vajpayee is conducting a rath-yathra, they are indulging in political communalism. When V.H.P. asserts that they will go ahead with the construction of Rama-temple replacing the Babri Mazjid, it is political communalism. When the ruling party or government keeps mum over the statement, it is communalism. When the Congress keeps mum about the project of the Sangh Parivar, it is political communalism. When the divisions of Sangh Parivar are training and keeping separate armies of their own and the authorities keep mum about it, it is political communalism. If the government takes no action when the Christian institutions are attacked and innocent priests, evangelists and nuns are persecuted and murdered, it is political communalism. As the writer says if this type of communalism is not stopped forthwith it may end as serious disaster for the nation.

Statement: P. 167

"Conflicts are generated due to mutual rivalry in converging greater secular gains."

Comment

The services which Christians have been doing in this country were not with any desire for secular gains. They have only been spending and working for the welfare of the nation as a whole by raising the standard of life of the poorest sections and also the growth and development of Indian Society in every sphere of activity.

Statement: P. 167

"Under the religious domain of Christianity, there are several nation-states in America founded in narrow economic interests."

Comment

Politically America is not a Christian country. America is democratic nation. In spite of political differences, in spite of India's relation

with Russia, the main opponent of America, the Americans were giving food, medicine, cloth and many things to the people of India. Is it a narrow economic interest to extend all sorts of helps to India in the floods, earth quake etc.? Neither America nor any other Christian country in Europe or any other part of the world has any intention of subjugating or annexing India with any of these states. When any Christian country is helping India economically it is out of its selfless love for humanity. Their humanitarian deeds should not be interpreted as narrow economic interest. The deeds of the saintly Mother Teresa of Calcutta is interpreted by the mean Hindutvavadis as Adharma. It is a pity that the hatred for Christ and Christianity has blinded the greedy, selfish Hindutuvavadis. They cannot distinguish Dharma from Adharma.

Statement: P. 168

"Dharma is the eternal law of life which gives arrangement for all times and is all embracing."

Comment

Unfortunately Hindutvavadis have no idea about Dharma. The greatest rule of Dharma is to consider your neighbour as you consider yourself. Love your neighbour as yourself. Give your love, honour, respect to everyone around you. Do unto others as you would like others do unto you. If you have food, cloth, abode and other facilities of life, and if your neighbour does not have these things, Dharma dictates that you should provide him what he cannot provide for himself. But the Aryans and Arya Dharma says that the people do not deserve any helps, for the destitutes are suffering the curse in this present life because of their evil deeds in their past lives. This is the most adharmic invention which the Aryan deceivers have coined to cheat the common man. The national integration is only a dream in India just because the Aryans have devised different Dharmas for different castes, outcastes and non-Hindus.

Statement: P. 168

"Regardless of caste and creed, every individual should be taught that it is the supreme duty of all the people of Bharat to proclaim the truth of the Hindu Nationhood of Bharat and to make it strong, prosperous, virile and sovereign. Intense devotion to this Nation should be roused in one and all."

Comment

The writer wants to disregard caste and creed. Can the Aryan Hindus disregard caste and creed? They who are vociferously dictating many terms to Outcastes, Dalits and non-Hindus, should abolish the caste system, the practice of hundreds of gods and so on. When he says that everyone should proclaim Hindu Nationhood, it means that the ten percent Aryan Hindus of India will continue their caste system and creed and the ninety percent majority should throw away their faith, creed and culture.

Why to proclaim the Hindu Nationhood of Bharat? Why not the Dalit nationhood of Bharat or Muslim or Christian nationhood of Bharat? If a ten percent people can proclaim their nationhood, why not people having more percentage proclaim their nationhood of Bharat? The virility of India is not the virility of any particular group of Indians, but the virility of the people as a whole.

Statement: P. 168

"While respecting and protecting the religions of non-Hindus, arrangements should be made to impart samskars to them of love and respect for the tradition, history, life-attitudes, ideals and values of this nation and to identify their aspirations with those of the nation."

Comment

To whom is the writer preaching? The ten percent Aryan Hindus

should impart their samskars to non-Hindus? The writer himself says that their samskar is love and respect for the tradition, history, life-attitudes, ideals and values of the nation and aspirations. All these they have, but no love to the citizens of India. Who will teach them that their first love should be to the nation, i.e. the people of India? Without love to the people, the proclamation of love to the nation is mere lip service to cheat the readers.

Statement: P.169

"In secular life all citizens are equal; this principle should be strictly adhered to. We must try a complete halt to forming groups based on caste, creed etc. and demanding exclusive rights and principles in services, financial aids, admission in educational institutions and all such other fields. To talk and think in terms of "minorities" and "communities" should be totally put an end to.

Comment

First thing should be done first. And the last thing, i.e. question of "minorities" and "communities" will automatically vanish. If all citizens are considered equally free to exercise equal citizenship rights in secular and religious field, the question of organising communal and other groups will automatically cease. Who are organising groups? The followers of the writer, the Sangh Parivar. The groups under the Sangh Parivar have organised groups, militant, ever ready to strike. No other nation in the world will allow militant groups to flourish in the country. The Government will immediately ban such groups. Just as the Naxels are banned, the militant groups like, R.S.S., Siva Sena, Bajarang Dal, V.H.P. etc. should be banned. But what is the government of B.J.P. under Vajpayee doing? Today's Indian Government is a Government of Sangh Parivar, by Sangh Parivar and for Snagh Parivar. Is there democracy in Bharat? Democracy is only in name. Very soon it is going to be the Aryan Hinducracy. Then the desire of the writer

that the Dalits, Outcastes and non-Hindus should not form groups, will be fulfilled.

Statement: P. 169

"Stop Aggressive practices"

The present policy of the government towards the attacks and transgressions, such as destruction of places of worship and breaking of idols, cow slaughter, illegal construction of peer graves, dargah, makbara, cross, in private or public places, stopping religious processions etc. under unjust pretexts, rioting, threatening etc. is detrimental to integration.."

Comment

The branches of Sangh parivar such as B.J.P. and R.S.S. are committing all sorts of atrocities, erecting temples on public properties, installing idols in the middle of public roads, erasing churches, desecrating and destroying cemeteries, attacking, threatening ill-treating and killing innocent priests, evangelists, nuns, social workers, and so on. All these must be stopped forthwith.

Statement: P. 170

"It is by exploitation of poverty, illiteracy and ignorance, offering of inducements and by deceptive tactics that people are converted... This unjust activity should be prohibited. It is a duty we have to discharge towards protecting our brothren in ignorance and poverty."

Comment

What a foolish thinking ! Does this mean that thousands of people who embraced Hinduism in Western countries, were under poverty, illiteracy and ignorance? No. It is their personal choice. If exploitation of poverty, illiteracy and ignorance are the cause of conversion, what should

be done to stop conversion? Average intelligent man would say that poverty, illiteracy and ignorance of the people should be eradicated. This is the reply of the right-thinking people. But the Aryan Hindus want the poor people to remain in their poverty, illiteracy and ignorance. So they want to stop conversion. Why on earth should you prevent anybody helping the poor? Will conversion make an Indian a non-Indian? Will conversion make a person a traitor?

Statement: P.171

"Pt.Nehru had this in his mind when he said that it is necessary to assimilate Muslims and Christians into Hindu society in the same manner as invaders like Shakas and Hunas were assimilated in the past. In that speech, Pt.. Nehru has given the right direction for achieving national unity and for unifying the various creeds of worship and instilling in them a common point of devotion".

Comment

The Shakas and Hunas were a people who had only physical superiority over the Indians. Once they got settled in India, they had no philosophical stand at all. These war groups achieved their end by becoming important citizens in India. Since they did not have any philosophy of their own, they had nothing to rely upon. Their name faded and now their children may be living as Kshatriyas, Vaisyas or Shudras. Even if a research is made, we may not be able to distinguish them from others.

The Muslims came as conquerors. They conquered and struck victory over Indians. They established their empires and ruled many parts of India. They had not only the physical power but also their own philosophy in contradiction with the Hindu philosophy. They were defeated not by the Hindus, but by the Britishers. They were defeated only politically, but not philosophically. If somebody wants to change their philosophy, they should be defeated philosophically and not politically.

Sit with them, chat with them and convince them that you have got a higher philosophy, better philosophy than the Islamic creed. Here the main controversy will be polytheism and monotheism.

The Dalits and other illiterate people including the Shakas and Hunas accepted the godhead of Brahmins without questioning. But how can a Muslim accept the innumerable creatures and the Brahmins as his God? Hinduism is foolishness for a Muslim. If a unity is to be brought about between the Aryan Hindu and the Muslims either the Brahmins should throw away their foolishness, or the Muslims should become fools. As long as both are adamant on their faiths, no religious unity can be achieved. The best way for the political unity is to keep apart religion from politics. Hindus and Muslims together can live as patriots in India, if religion is kept away from politics.

Christianity was not imported into India by Britishers. Britishers were pro-Brahmins in their politics. Had they been pro-Christian, at least eighty percent of the people would have been converted to Christianity. They put their faith in Brahmins for stabilising their rule in this land. At the same time Christian missionaries worked here and there. Wherever they worked, they were able to shed light for the people. The Britishers not only did not support the missionaries but they discouraged the missionary works.

There were Christians in India even in the first century. They for the past two thousand years lived as hundred percent Indians without creating any discord in the Indian society. They lived in full freedom, because they were original Indians who had got converted in their faith. Previously they used to worship the nature powers, sun and moon and the innumerable gods projected by the Brahmins When they came to the knowledge that the nature is not god or gods, but there is an Omnipotent, Omniscient, Omnipresent God who is the Creator of the universe and all that are therein, they turned their hearts to accept this truth and threw away the millions of gods to accept the only true God. How

can a Christian who got the taste of truth go back to worship the things that have no godhead in them? In spite of a better under standing of God and acceptance of Jesus Christ as the revelation of the True God to mankind, they have not formed any hatred for their motherland. They live in true patriotism to this day. How can a Christian, who has seen Christ go back to worship the innumerable gods falsely projected by the Brahmins? There is no political rivalry between Hindus and Christians. By being Christians, they prove themselves as better citizens of India than the Aryan Hindus. Aryan Hindus stand to serve themselves, while Christians serve the motherland and treat her children as their own brothers and sisters. Can an Aryan Hindu love or serve a Dalit or Adivasi?

Unlike the Shakas and Hunas, the Muslims and Christians hold a better philosophy of life and better religious truth. Pt. Nehru cannot strike a victory over them. The Brahmins cannot put out the light shining in this country which ultimately will eradicate the darkness of ignorance that is in the heart of the Brahmins and the Aryan Hindus.

What the Hindutvavadis are craving for is not a national or political integration. What they clamour for is a religious integration. That means the people outside the former castes should live as slaves of the upper castes. Muslims, Christians and all outcastes should accept and keep mum under the Brahminical supremacy and caste-tyranny

Statement: P. 172

"The Sangh has no place, therefore, for any hatred or opposition towards any particular caste, creed or party."

Comment

The Sangh's attitude has become plain to all the people of India. In words they are sheep, but in deeds they are wolves. The Sangh Parivar speaks of toleration of Muslims and leads Karsevaks to destroy Babri Mazjid. They invite every Dalit and non-Hindus to join Hinduism and at

the same time build separate temples for those who undergo Suddhi programme. There are only four castes now in Hinduism. Now another caste is formed below Shudras to accommodate new converts to Hinduism. The Hindu faith cannot absorb outsiders into the Hindu fold. There is no entry into Hinduism for outsiders by way of conversion or by Suddhi. There is no place for love, co-operation or unity in Hinduism and under the Sangh.

Statement: P. 172

"It is clear that this aim of Sangh is the same as re-establishing the integrated feeling of oneness of the nation which has been flowering down since time immemorial."

Comment

Is there any integrated feeling of oneness among the Sangh Parivar? If they desire for an integrated feeling among the people of India, let them start with an integrated feeling between Brahmins and Kshatriyas, and then between all the castes. **How can a militant group belonging to mutually fighting caste-ridden religion think of bringing an integrated feeling of oneness among the people of India.** The people of India have the feeling of oneness politically. In religious faith, they will remain different. Let them be so.

Statement: P. 188

"But what is the real and ulterior motive of Christians in pouring crores of rupees in all these activities?"

Comment

The Christians in India have no ulterior motive. The writer says that their love and compassion for humanity are not spontaneous. The writer denounces the general opinion of the people that Christian people are specially deputed by the Almighty to uplift humanity. He says their words

like 'service' and 'human salvation' are with ulterior motive. He says that Christians run schools, colleges, hospitals, and orphanages with ulterior motive.The only 'ulterior motive' is to obey the resurrected Lord Jesus who said, "Love thy neighbour as thyself."

The great Indian leaders like Lok Manya Tilak, Vivekananda, Nehru, Mahatma Gandhi, Sardar Patel, Dr. Radhakrishnan, M.S. Golwalkar, Dr. Ambedkar, K.R. Narayanan, Vajpayee and so on would not have risen up, had it not been for the education they received in Christian schools. Millions of patients, lepers and orphans find solace in Christian institutions. The Hindutvavadis are so hard-hearted that they can only kill the godly men who serve the poor, the suffering.

The Christians have learnt the story of the 'Good samaritan' from Jesus Christ. No Hindu god has ever told anything like that. They are all well armed and they know only to kick and kill. If a caste Hindu sees an out-caste dying of thirst stretching his hand towards a pond or a well, he will jump upto him to cut off his hand. But if a Christian sees a poor man dying, he will go to him, give him water, food or medicine. If necessary he will take him to hospital and pay from his pocket. That is the difference between an Aryan Hindu and a Christian.

The Indian masses are so illiterate that they do not know the difference between the great God and the common dog. He is taught that every thing is god. So he confuses between dog and God. He says to himself that everything is God. That means dog is God and God is dog. To God he gives only the weight of a dog. Even rats, cats, chameleons are gods. He worships every mean creature.

The writer accuses Christians that all the humanitarian services are done for prozelytisation or conversion. After the earthquake in Bhuj, Christian countries helped the victims by money and material. The Swayamsevaks and other members of the Sangh Parivar made themselves masters in the field. They took loads of foodstuff, clothes

and medicines from Christians for distribution. But if a Christian was to receive anything from that, the Christian should say Ram Ram. Why millions of pounds and Dollars were spent by Christians? Did they ask anything in return? Was there any ulterior nature in the help rendered by them?

Yes. Christians have one motive in their actions. And that is that the standard of life of the downtrodden section of India should be raised to that of the level of the high caste Aryan. Then only the glory of Bharat will shine among all the nations. To love the poor is Christian. It is patriotism.

Statement: P. 188

"There is a case of a village where, in the last census, the Christian missionaries got the whole population entered as Christians.... The poor Hindu villages embraced Christianity."

Comment

The above is not a real story. It is concocted only. No village will embrace Christianity simply because they have been recorded so in the census.

On the contrary, millions of non-Hindus are recorded as Hindus in the census records. Nobody outside the four castes are Hindus. Why on earth are the names of Outcastes, Adivasis, Backward classes, Tribals, Buddhists, Jains and now Sikhs are included as Hindus in the census records? This was done by the Brahmin crooks during the time of Britishers. Whoever did not come under any particular religions was counted as a Hindu.

Statement P. 189

"Many leading Christian missionaries have often declared unequivocally that their one single aim is to make this country 'a province of the kingdom of Christ."

Comment

The writer represents a community of people who lack understanding. No missionary will say that Bharat should be made a province of Kingdom of Christ.

Every Christian every day prays, Let thy kingdom ..... The kingdom of Christ is not the earthly kingdom. Christ is not adding kingdoms to his kingdom. At present Christ does not have even a single kingdom upon the surface of the earth. The European and American kingdoms, even Rome, are not a part of the kingdom of God.

Before his crucifixion, Jesus said to Pilate, "My kingdom is not of this world: if my kingdom were of this world, then would my servants fight, that I should not be delivered to the Jews: but now is my kingdom not from hence."

The Hindutvavadis have no brain to understand that the missionaries are not talking of a kingdom of this world. But it is their desire that any and everyone should become a member of the everlasting kingdom of Christ, which is not earthly but heavenly. Let the Hindutvavadis understand that the missionaries and evangelists are not working to win over and attach an earthly kingdom. So the Hindutvavadis need not fear that Christians are working for an earthly kingdom.

In Kota, Rajasthan, Dr. M.A. Thomas was honoured by Government of India by conferring him Padmasree in consideration of his humanitarian work of orphanages. This he does not to take over Rajasthan from the Government of India and to attach it to Rome or some other Christian country. He is doing this great service according to the teachings he received from Christ to help and serve the poor. But the senseless Hindutvavadis think that he is working against Bharat. To a child of God, Bharat or for that matter this whole earth is worthless, for all this is going to perish. There is no everlasting kingdom in this earth. But Christ

will establish a kingdom which will last for ever. The Aryan Hindus are this worldly and Christians are other-worldly. Aryan Hindus cannot suffer Christians because they do not see the 'world to come'.

It is the desire of a child of God that all the people of India should accept Jesus Christ as their personal saviour and thus become members of the eternal kingdom He is going to establish. Not only the people of Bharat, but also all the people of the world belonging to different tribes, tongues and colour should become children of God. This kingdom of God is yet to come.

Statement: P.189

"On the contrary, without negating their mode of worship, our great teachers tried to make it more sublime by fortifying it with an all-comprehensive philosophy, to include in them, noble and chaste qualities of head and heart and make them better devotees in their own form of worship. That was real dharma."

Comment

The writer says that our great teachers, instead of correcting the mistake, fortified their own form of worship. And he says that is real dharma.

On the contrary, the Christian missionaries sacrificed even their life for correcting the heinous form of worships and religious practices. In many parts of the world men, women and children were sacrificed to propitiate gods. The missionaries taught the people that by doing so they are propitiating not God but devils. The Aryan Hindu techniques do not know God and Devil. So they do not know what is right and what is wrong. Without the knowledge of right and wrong, how can anybody correct others. The Christian missionaries taught the ignorant that killing is bad, it is sin to commit murder. They taught Hindu leaders like Raja Ram Mohan Roy that Sati is an evil practice. The great Hindu

teachers objected to the abolition of the practice of Sati. They said Sati is dharma. **If a husband dies, his wife should jump into his funeral pyre. On the contrary, if a wife dies, the husband need not jump into her funeral pyre. This is Hindu dharma. In this sense, the missionaries and Christians are adharmis.** But the Christian adharmis are thousand times more noble and chaste in their head and heart than the Hindu dharmis.

Statement: P.190

"I pointed out to him sure passages in that book which said that to attain God it is enough if one prays twice a day and attends the church on Sundays and that during the rest of the time there is no harm in indulging in any kind of physical pleasures and enjoyments. These words are in fact, totally approved to the sublime teachings of that seer, Jesus Christ."

Comment

I cannot believe the statement of the writer that an Archbishop has written a book like that. If any Archbishop has written anything like that he has nothing to do with Christ and Christianity. Even if all the twenty four hours is spent in prayer nobody is going to enter the kingdom of God, unless one is 'born again' (Change of heart).

Statement: P. 190

"Even St. Paul, the great disciple of Christ, has said, as quoted by Lokmanya Tilak in his Gita Rahasya, "How can it be a sin if by uttering falsehood I add to your (God's) Glory?" No wonder the present Christian missionaries have made full use of that statement to further their nefarious designs. Truly has it been said, "There was but one true Christian, and he died on the cross!"

Comment

How can Lokmanya Tilak make such a false statement? The writer

instead of simply believing Lokmanya Tilak should have searched the Bible to see whether Paul has made such a statement. Paul knew well that he does not have to add to the Glory of God, and that too by telling lies. Nobody need to nor can anybody add to the Glory of God. God is glorious in Himself. People can simply praise his name.

It is too silly, absurd and childish on the part of the writer to state that Christian missionaries have made full use of that statement to further their nefarious designs. What are the nefarious designs of Christian missionaries? They are not secretly doing anything against any religions or political groups. They help mankind, help the poor, conduct schools, colleges, hospitals, run orphanages, run leprosy hospitals, etc. openly. The Indian nationals are most happy about the services which Christian community is doing for the upper castes and poor miserables alike.

Nefarious activities are done by the Aryan Hindus. They secretly train armies, collect weapons and ammunitions, secretly erect or place and consecrate idols inside Masjids and Churches and then claim them as their property. The Christians openly speak that there is only one true God and that the idols are no gods. There is nothing nefarious about it.

The statement, "There was but one true Christian, and he died on the cross" is very correct. No man can attain the holiness, pureness, blamelessness, untaintedness, love, compassion, forgiveness, long suffering and so on that was revealed in Christ. Neither Peter nor Paul and for that matter no man upon the surface of the earth could attain a position anywhere near Christ. No man ever attained equality with Christ. "For in Him (Christ) dwelleth all the fullness of the Godhead bodily." (Colossians 2:9). Christians are admonished to become Christ-like in this life. Though many strive to became true Christians, nobody reaches the level of the head (Christ).

Then what about followers of Rama and Krishna? We can see thousands of Ramas and Krishnas living not only in India, but also all

over the world. Rama rejected his wife Sita more than once. At last he ordered to kill her. There are millions of Ramas living in the present world. They marry and divorce many times. Many murder their wives. Dharasingh who burnt alive Staines and his two sons is hailed as the current incarnation of Rama. There are many, many Krishnas also living. not only in India but almost all over the world. Sanyasis have popularised Ras Leela. Gopas and Gopikas conduct worship in the same way they did in Mathura. It is true that there are millions of Ramas, Krishnas, Sivas and other gods in the world. But not even a single man achieves the level of Jesus Christ. So one can say that there was only one true Christian and that he was crucified. However, there are millions of true Christians who strive to conform to Christ.

Statement: P. 190

"Their activities are not merely irreligious, they are also anti-national. Once I asked a Christian missionary why they abused our sacred scriptures, gods and goddesses. He said frankly, "Our aim is to knockout the faith from the heart of the Hindu. When his faith is shattered, his nationalism is also destroyed. A void will be created in his mind. Then it becomes easy for us to fill that void with Christianity."

Comment

I believe that no Christian missionary will make a statement as quoted above. Christian missionaries need not abuse Hindu sacred scriptures. Just go through the Puranas. Every character described in the puranas, the fight for pride, hunting of women, homosexuality of gods and so on are there. What Hindutvavadis should do is that instead of rewriting the history, they should rewrite the puranas which are full of abominable, detestable, villainous, atrocious deeds of the so called gods. Everybody ought to know what is truth and what is false, what is right and what is wrong. Sad enough, the Hindu Scriptures fail to show the people the right path.

Statement: P.190

"Some years ago, the Madhya Pradesh Government appointed a committee to report the activities of these Christian missionaries. The Chairman of the committee Shri. Niyogi, was a highly respectable retired High Court Judge, not belonging to any group or party. The committee members toured the whole of Madhya Pradesh and met the converted Christians, missionaries and other people. They also visited many Churches. On the basis of all these personal investigations, the committee prepared a lengthy report.

The substance of that report is: "All the philanthropic acts of the missionaries are simply a mask for carrying on proselytising activities, sometimes by intimidating and sometimes by tempting the simple folk. At the root of the activities is their ambition to carve out a separate Christian state for themselves on the strength of their numbers. They are spending crores of rupees for that single purpose."

Comment

The above finding is a foolhardy one. If crores of rupees are spent for the purpose of carving out a separate state for Christians there would have been a Christian state by this time. If money is spent for the sake of conversion, millions can be converted in no time. No philonthorpic act is required for getting the people changed to Christianity or to any other religion or party. With money everything is possible. To make India a Christian country, no preaching or teaching is required . Employ money prudently with the idea of converting the people. Any religion can do it. Christians can be converted by paying money. If Christians are spending crores and not getting converts, it means that they are not spending with a view to convert people. That means they spend really out of philanthropy and that their acts are not a mask for carrying on proselytisation.

Probably the report was made first and later on to support the report the Committee travelled to collect findings. They never got any evidence of conversion by philanthropic acts.

Then comes the question of intimidation. If intimidation is a process of conversion, Christians must have tried this during the time of Britishers in India. And the result would have been fantastic. Almost all the Brahmins would have become Christians if the Britishers tried to convert. In fact the missionaries got discouragement only from the political masters. The report is baseless.

Statements on pages 191-194

The statements made from page 191 to 194 are bogus, untrue, deceptive deceitful and misleading.

The writer says that the Nagas are fighting for a Padrestan, State of Padiris or Christian priests. Instead of finding fault with the Padiris, one should look into the special circumstances which gave birth to a Nagaland.

Why was there a cry for Khalistan for Punjabis? Was it due to the work of Christian missionaries? Now Uttaranjal, Chattisgarh and Jharkhand have become states. Who was pioneering for the formation of these states? Was it the result of the activities of Christian missionaries?

The writer sings what is not written when he says that during the agitation against communist Govt. in Kerala, the Christian Mission went to the extent of saying, "Kerala shall be ruled either by the catholic or by the communist. And we (mission) want to rule."

Then he says that some Christian fathers in Europe made a detailed plan to start centres of Christian influence all along our coast and across the country through Vindhyas and Satpuras. This was to make southern peninsula Christian-dominated. Then the whole of the Himalayan belt was to be captured in the second sweep.

Another lie the writer has written is that an agreement was reached between Christian missions and the Muslim League to join together and partition this country, the whole of the Gangetic plain between Punjab and Manipur going to the Muslims and the Peninsula and Himalayas to the Christians.

Then the writer says that an All-India conference of Christians called upon Christians to pledge themselves to establish a Christian Empire in Bharat.

Then he says, "Wherever the Christians have gone, they have proved to be not 'blood-givers' but 'blood suckers'. Christians have annihilated the natives of America, Australia and Africa. How Christian missionaries carried sword and fire in Goa and elsewhere.

The writer says that St. Xavier got happy seeing the converts trampling upon their former gods and goddesses, razing their temples and insulting their parents who remained Hindus. He also states that in Kerala many temples including temples of Ayyappa at Sabarimala were desecrated and idols broken. He states that Christian fanatics smashed Vivekananda Memorial Tablet on Vivekananda Rock at Kanyakumari.

All these stories he has cooked up to generate hatred towards Christians in the minds of Hindus. Now that Britishers have gone away, Aryans want to pick up supremacy in this country. This can be achieved only if seeds of hatred are sown in the minds of innocent people. His writings are solely to create enmity for Christians in the minds of others.

The writer tries to heap up and load all the causes of atrocities in the past upon the head of Christian missionaries who persuaded Kauravas and Pandavas to fight. All the wars fought between Devas and Asuras were prompted by Christian missionaries. It is a pity that he saw only malefaction of Christian missionaries. What was Africa? The people had a beastly life, hunted and ate each other. Missionaries stepped into Africa and converted the man-eaters into human beings. Why does the

writer not pronounce one word of thanks and namaskar at least to David Livingstone. It is because of the Christian missionaries that the saffronised sanyasis visit Africa fearlessly and after visiting, they can return alive. How many Christian missionaries have sacrificed their lives to convert the African beastly generation! Now in India, missionaries are readily sacrificing their lives to liberate the Satan-bound Brahmins and other castes from their dark beliefs and traditions. Missionaries are the light of the world. Darkness cannot restrain the light. The light shines in darkness and darkness cannot comprehend it.

Statement: P. 195

After the British quit this land and we became free to shape our future national set-up, the discussion of various theories and 'isms' has become a live issue for us."

Comment

"We became free'. Who are these 'we'? Not the masses of India. Only the high echelons of society became free. The common man, the poor Indian is worse than what he was under the British rule. Over and above the religious Brahmins, a new race of political Brahmins have risen up. Both type of Brahmins aggrandize themselves and aggravate the penury and sufferings of the miserables. The religious Brahmins organise militants under the pet names like R.S.S., B.J.P., Siva Sena V.H.P. Bajrangdal and so on. The political Brahmins detach and sever themselves from the main parties to form separate parties under the self-seeking leaders, who are not concerned about the welfare and upliftment of the poor folk. How many different parties have arisen out of Indian National Congress!

Statement P. 195

"Nowhere do we find the appeal to higher sentiments like patriotism character and knowledge; nor is there any stress on cultural, intellectual and moral development. It is only in such imbe-

cile and despairing minds that the seeds of communism strike root".

Comment

Communism is equally detestable as the Aryan tyranny. Once the communist dragon tightens grip, it becomes impossible for the victims to escape. In spite of that, many cut their fetters and got freedom from communist U.S.S.R. But the Chinese dragon is capable of tightening its chains. All struggles for freedom by the Chinese youths have been shattered by the communist regime. Different is the case with the Aryans. They appear very sober, as pure as dove, chanting vedas and mantras they squeeze their prey. Here, the Aryan is chanting the words "Patriotism, character, and knowledge". Also he speaks of cultural, intellectual and moral developments. Have these words any meaning to an average Indian? An average Indian lives the life of an animal. He need not be patriotic, for even if he does not know what is patriotism what can he do against the mighty upper castes and classes? He has only an animal character, and it is to go as led by the string holder. Knowledge is prohibited to an average Indian. Aryans have decreed that Indians should remain illiterate. If Indians become literate, they may turn against the invaders. Without literacy what culture, what intellectual and moral development?

Statement: P-196

"How can Christianity solve a problem which came up as a reaction due to its own deficiencies? Those who think Christianity alone can save this "heathen country" should pause and ponder why Russia, the most orthodox country of Christendom, disowned Christ."

Comment

The writer himself has given the correct answer to the question-why Russia became Communist. It is because the most orthodox country of Christendom disowned Christ. A nation can appear as Christian,

but the priesthood and therefore the people have disowned Christ. Russia under the Tsar regime was a nominal Christian country without Christ. As the people had left Christ and his teachings, a people trodden down under the foot of corrupt priesthood, sought shelter in Communism. Had the people followed the Christian teaching, no power on earth could have brought the people under Communism. Russia became Communist because of the tyranny of the autocrat, the Tsar.

The European countries and the U.S.A. are also guilty of disowning Christ. Why did these countries not become communist? Just because they had already shattered the power of the corrupt priesthood and had accepted democracy. India can be saved only if the power of the corrupt priesthood is shattered. The priesthood, the Brahmins, claiming themselves as devas, brand all others as mlechas, as degraded beings to keep up their superiority. Communism has not grown in India, not because Hinduism is satisfactory, but because the average Indian is kept so weak that he cannot even think of his liberty. The dumbfounded creatures, how can they think of liberty!

Statement P. 196

"In our own country, is it not significant that the province where the Christian population and influence is the greatest, Kerala, is also the biggest communist pocket? If the westerns believe that by pouring men and money to convert Hindus to Christianity they will save this country from communism , they are only labouring under a suicidal deception. Because, the spread of Christianity, shatters the ancient nationalism of the people, and communism takes root only where faith is shattered. That has been the major psychological factor in the growth of communism".

Comment

The writer is very confused in his thinking. Kerala is not a communist state. In Kerala, there is no Russian type or Chinese type of com-

munism. In the true sense there is no real communism in Kerala. The main reason why communism does not flourish in Kerala is the presence of a soundly rooted Christianity in this state. The Kerala Communists are believers in God; in whichever religion, they worship God. The weaknesses of Aryan Hinduism was the nourisher of Communism in Kerala. The Communists eradicated the fear for petty gods, evil spirits, Brahmanical curse, fate, dharma (adharma), karma (caste duty), casteism, untouchability, serfdom etc. from the mind of the common people. They filled the ideas of self-respect, equality, fraternity, liberty in the minds of the Dalits and backward classes. The Christians had failed in their duty towards these sections. They had copied the caste Hindus in their dealings with the low classes. The Communists filled the gap which Christians left. Such an Indian communism turned out to be a blessing for the people of Kerala. In Kerala Communism is one among the political parties. People of Kerala choose either Communists or Congress turn by turn, for there is not a third party to rule the state under democracy. The communism of Kerala is democratic communism, unlike the rigid, autocratic, tyrannical communism of Russia or China.

The writer is mistaken when he says that the biggest communist pocket in India is Kerala. **What about Bengal? Bengal is the land of Rishi Rabindranath Tagore, Sri Ramakrishna Paramahamsa, Benkim Chandra Chatterjee, Narendra, who is known as Vivekananda, Mahakali, Saraswathi and so on. Is it because of Christian missionaries that Bengal became communist?** No man with a little bit of common sense, thinking capacity and understanding can get along with Aryan supremacy. If Gujarathis and Marathis do cry out and yell for Hinduism, it is out of their greediness and selfishness. It is to keep up the Brahminical superiority and to rob the lower castes, and outcastes, Dalits and backward classes. If U.P., M.P., Bihar, Orissa etc. get along with Aryan hegemony, it is because of the abject proverty and illiteracy of the common mass, who are chained under caste leader-

ship and dominances . The majority, the common mass, are kept dumb. The Aryans want to keep the poor Indians under suppression by keeping them in poverty and ignorance. If missionaries give the livelihood and literacy, the Aryans count the missionaries as their enemy. If an Australian doctor gives medicine, food and accommodation to lepers discarded by the Aryans, they think that he is waging a war against Aryanism. The Aryans want the lepers to live and die as lepers. The beggars should live and die as beggars. The illiterate should live and die as illiterate. The Aryans believe that to help them out is Adharma. This is the obdurate obscurantism of the Aryans. Either they should change or they should get out of this country. Then only the Indian citizen can breath the air of freedom.

The writer accuses Christianity that is shatters the ancient faith and nationalism of the people. What is the ancient faith and nationalism of Indians taught by the Aryans? The Aryans have divided between themselves. No intercaste relationship. Each caste is distinct from the other. No mingling, no intercaste marriage, no inter-dining, no other relation in between. If a Brahmin boy loves a girl of another caste, the boy and girl cannot escape the fury of the castes. They will have to run for their life. More often than not, they will be killed. Even the members of the family of the low caste and the caste members will be killed. Even the Dalits have learnt this horrible tradition.

Statement: P.197

"The writer says that when science developed, it blasted the Christian concept of time, space, life and the world. Europe lost its faith in religion but gained a new faith in science."

"Later, he says, the scientists began to confess their ignorance of the universe. The old faiths died and no new faiths were in sight. It was in such a situation that faith in Communism developed."

Comment

The current events that take place in the world prove the veracity of the Bible. The Scientists and Hindutvavadis should admit their ignorance.

Statement P. 198

"In the first place, our leaders say that they will achieve socialism by the ballot-box and not by the bullet as was done in Russia and China..... they (people of Russia and China) had to be cowed down by bullet..... In India, if the leader says, "Vote for socialism", the people will vote for it. If tomorrow they found that having voted for socialism their independence is gone, and as individuals they have become only dead parts of a machine, they will take it as an ordinance of fate and submit to it.

Comment

The writer has correctly assessed the nature of Indian people. They are mute beings, unhesitatingly following the leaders for all these years. That is how the Aryans have taught them. Their leaders lead them blindly to poverty, illiteracy, ill-health and hero worship. That is how Hinduism could persist so long in India. Now a new leadership asks them to vote for socialism. As the writer says, the advice of the new leaders cannot make much difference in the life of the people. The political Brahmins, cheats, dictate to whom they should give their votes. A whole village votes for the same candidate for whom the village sarpanch asks them to vote. During the independence war days, a question was commonly asked and discussed everywhere, "Do the people of India have the power to exercise their democratic rights?" The answer is that even today they have not the power to exercise their free will. They are like the parts of a machine doing its work for which it was set. The people of India were formerly set to serve the castes. Even after getting the fran-

chise, they remain the dead part of a machine. This is because they don't have their own means of livelihood, food, clothe, shelter, education and other facilities in life. And they are used to obey their leaders without questioning from the village level. Hence, freedom of India has not given the people of India freedom. This country belongs to political leaders and religious leaders.

Statement: P. 199

"The moral is, for innocent docile people, a little persuasion does the work of a bullet."

Comment

Actually this was the policy Brahmin tyrants practised upon the innocent, docile people of India. They advised that the poor plight of the low castes and outcastes was due to their karma, fate, written by God on their skull even before their birth. So they have to subject themselves to their fate. Don't curse the Brahmins. Any attempt to revolt against Brahmins, even a whisper of curse from their lips against Brahmins, will bring great punishment from God. They will have to suffer as low creatures for as many thousand years as the number of hairs on the body of a cow. Such a little propaganda, a little persuasion by Brahmins kept the docile people of India, the Shudras and outcastes, under slavery of the upper castes.

Statement P. 200

"Further, socialism is not a product of this soil. It is not in our blood and tradition. It has absolutely nothing to do with the traditions and ideal of thousands of years of our national life."

Comment

The readers ought to know that the people of India have marched forward a long distance towards modernism, casting away almost all the

traditions and the evil practices of the religion and culture of the Old India. Our forefathers used wooden sandals, under-ties (kaupina), sacred thread and tufts on their head . Not even a single member of the Sangh Parivar will return to those traditions and old ideals. Even the writer himself is well clad. Mahatma Gandhi used a towel instead of the under-tie. He did not keep a tuft. Instead he used to have a British cut of his hair. The shorts and bunyans used by the R.S.S. are not part of time-old Indian national life. It is Christian. Even the cooking vessels and cooking apparatus, the vessels, the glasses, the light in the house are all modern. The people have left most of the old traditions, customs, culture and items of national life for new. The ideals of thousands of years of our national life such as caste distinction, Brahmin worship, caste superiority, caste-duty, (kula dharma) etc. have given way to new ideals such as 'equality, fraternity, liberty.' The new Indian generation do not want to compartmentalise themselves into many religious groups, but they want to live as one people, one group with equal rights, opportunities in life, as one single nation 'Bharat.' **No Arsh Bharat, Dalit Bharat, Islam Bharat, Christian Bharat etc.** But all the people of India, irrespective of the difference in religions, traditions, languages, food habits and so on live as one nation. The people of India believe in unity of the nation as a whole. There is no question of dividing India into Aryan and non-Aryan. We do not want the anti-human, so-called Arsh Bharat.

Statement: P. 205

"The founder of our organisation used to put forth the aim of the Sangh in a small pithy sentence: We have to organise and make our Hindu society so powerful that no power on earth will dare cast an evil eye upon it. To fulfil this is the foremost duty that has devolved upon all the children of this great society."

Comment

The heading of this chapter is "The path to glory". Whose glory?

The glory of Bharat? No. The only aim is the glory of Hindu society. And what is this Hindu society? It is the ten percent conquerors of India, divided and subdivided into ever conflicting castes and sub-castes. How does the writer dare to say that the duty of the children of Indian society is to raise the glory of the Aryans - the Brahmins and their vassals?

The glory of India cannot be heightened by raising the glory of Hindus, but by raising the standard of Indian people - the sixty percent Dalits, backward classes and tribals. Anybody who says that the glory of India will increase if the Aryan Hindu of India will become powerful, he is wrong. When they were powerful, the billions of Indian people were in the mire of poverty, illiteracy, starvation and spiritual, physical and political slavery. Today out of more than hundred and three crores of Indians, more than seventy percent are illiterate. Fifty percent are unemployed without any means of livelihood. More than thirty percent do not own an inch of land. They do not own a place to lay their heads. Twenty percent of Indian people are below the poverty line. Our national foreign debt is Rupees six lakhs billion, our public sector industrial organisations make a loss of eighty thousand crores of rupees yearly. Out of around thousand industrial establishments in the public sector, thirty percent are lying closed. Officers and labourers are paid from the treasury. In about thousand institutions political group leaders are directors. Most of them are illiterate having no knowledge about industry.

In these circumstances, Murali Manohar Joshi has found the best means to raise the glory of India. Under his instruction, Hari Gautam, the chairman under Ministry of Education has ordered twenty three universities to start astrology as a subject for graduate and post graduate studies. By saffronising education and by spreading astrology, Sanskrit and Yoga, is India going to get out of bankruptcy?

Is it by demolishing Masjids, Churches, Gurudwaras, Buddhaviharas etc. that Sangh Parivar is going to increase the glory of India? Have the

Mughals not contributed anything in the growth of India? Have the Christians not contributed anything for the growth of India? Are you not ashamed to say that you are world master in every field of knowledge and action? **What contribution has been made by the descendant of a Rama, a Krishna and a Sankaracharya in the growth of the modern India?** Have they not hid the vedas from the people? Can the vedas, Sanskrit and astrology raise the per capita income of the common man? Can they convert the wretched two-legged animals to manhood? Are the Ramas, Krishnas and Sankaracharya philanthropists? Have they any touch of altruism, brotherly love, humanitarianism, kind heartedness, charity or generocity? Do they ever donate anything to the cause of the poor? Are they benevolent and arms-giving? No. They do not know to give. **They knew only to take.** A saffron mind cannot give. Saffrons want everything for themselves. Job? All jobs to us. To increase the glory of Aryan Hindus, they must be at the helm of affairs. They should occupy all the seats in the ministries, in the government offices, in the public sector and private owned trade and industries. All these glories they have inherited. It is their birth right. Sangh Parivar's aim is the glory of Aryan, not the glory of Bharat.

Statement - P. 206

"Our Shastras declare that man is born with three kinds of debts - deva-rina, pitru-rina and rishi-rina. The life is given to us by the grace of gods. And hence we have to discharge that debt, deva-rina. We are born in the lineage of our ancestors to whom we have to express our gratitude, i.e. pitru-rina. And since we inherit the legacy of learning and culture bequeathed by our ancients, the duty of discharging rishi-rina devolves upon us."

Comment

The writer says that an Aryan Hindu has only three debts - debts to devas (gods), to pitru or parents and to rishis. Has anybody seen the devas? No. Jesus Christ asks, "If you cannot love your neighbour whom

you can see, how can you love the unseen God? The haves in the society owe a rina or debt to the have-nots. To care and serve the poor man and consider him as your own brother is the primary duty of any man. But the Aryan Hindus have no idea of this most important duty and hence the downfall of this nation.

Statement P. 207

The foreign visitors have given account of ancient India in these words, "The people here are happy and contented. There are no destitutes, no beggars. The people leave their houses unlocked for years when they go out on pilgrimage, without a trace of fear of theft. There are very few cases of moral aberration, they are all highly virtuous, generous and reliable in dealings. They are a virile and powerful race, wealthy and wise...."

Comment

This was the picture of ancient India, before the entry of Aryans with racism, division, attacks, acrimony, wars, fighting, subjugation and so on. The calm, sublime, unitary life of Indians ended by the warring Aryans.

Statement P.207

"The absolutely rustless, shining, 2000 year old pillar Ashoka Stambha, stands to this day as an unbeaten challenge in metallurgy.

Comment

India has many people talented in diverse fields of art and architecture. But they won't be given opportunity to exhibit their talents.

The writer narrates an anecdote in our old books of an Indian physician who opened the skull of a Roman king and cured a strange brain disease. What is the use? If such efficiency was there, the knowledge and expertise should have been imparted to many others so that

the same should have continued till date and many would have been benefitted from such expertise.

Statement P. 210

"The facts as revealed by history are that Alexander could not withstand the shattering blows of even our small hill-chieftains and had to run for his life. Even then, he could not escape the deadly arrow of one of our heroes. ... Mortally wounded, and not willing to risk a retreat by the same route, he resorted to another route. But he could proceed only upto Iran where he finally entered his grave. Another Greek invasion by Selecus was also effectively smashed by Chandragupta the great Emperor of Magadha.

Comment

There is another story also about Alexander's entry into grave. Alexander sent spies to survey the land. Two of them saw a small platoon of twenty Indian soldiers carrying bow and arrows. The spies hid themselves to escape from the mighty soldiers . One of the soldiers of the troupe felt nature call and he stood on the way-side to piss. Immediately one after the other all members of the troupe stopped to urinate by the way side. The spies were bewilded on seeing the unity of the Indian warriers even in such a simple matter. They reported to Alexander that the Indian soldiers are so united and therefore undefeatable. No power on earth can strike victory over such a united front. Hearing this , Alexander began to tremble and ran for life. On the way he saw a grave and entered therein. This was the sad end of Alexander. And the name and fame of Indian soldiers spread throughout the world. Thereafter no foreigners dared to stare an eye against the mighty Indian Nation.

Statement P. 213

"The Verdict of History is therefore too clear to be mistaken. It was the absence of national consciousness, of the feeling of being the organic limbs of a single entity with the resultant mutual hatred, discord, jealousy and internecine quarrels to gain selfish ends

that has eaten into the vitals of our nation over the last thousand years.

It was not the Muslims or the English who were our enemies but we ourselves."

Comment

This is the correct view.

Statement: P. 216

"Once a prominent leader of Andhra had publicity declared that if a separate Andhra state was not formed, he would take the aid of Russia to achieve it. A prominent Sikh leader once threatened that if Punjabi Subha was not carved out then all the Sikhs would turn communists. With the Chinese armies poised on our frontiers, such a statement could convey only one meaning, and that is, an invitation and assurance to the aggressor of help from inside, in exchange for his help in carving out a separate state. Some years ago that leader was hobnobbing with Pakistan in a bid to get help from that country. Can we say that the race of Jayachandras, and Mansinghs has disappeared from this land?"

Comment

The writer himself answers this question. The one who wanted an Andhra State and the one who wanted a Punjabi Suba, both were not Muslims or Pakitanis. Then the writer narrates a story *"Once in Nagpur there was a conference of some persons calling themselves non-Brahmins. I was surprised to see Muslim and Christian speakers also addressing the conference. When I enquired of this from one of the organisers of the conference, he said, :Well, they are also non-Brahmins!" How strange that they could not recognize the simple fact that the Hindus, whatever their denominations of caste or sect, formed a single society and the Muslims and Christians be-*

longed to an alien and often hostile camp!"

Statement: P. 218

"But it is also equally true that there had always been an irresistible tendency among the prominent rulers of the land to bring the whole country under one administrative unit. The Rajasuya and Aswamedha Yajnas had but one chief motive and that was to bring the whole Bharat under the supreme political authority of a single Chakravarti. The performer of the Yajnas had no selfish motives such as exploitation or suppression of the people in other kingdoms. He would only demand the allegiance of the smaller principalities to one supreme central authority. For the rest, he would leave them in peace as before."

Comment

The Rajasuya or Aswamedha yajnas in the past were only in some portions of India.

Never in the history of India, was there a single nation by the name India or Bharat.

Statement: P. 218

"That the framers of our present Constitution also were not firmly rooted in the conviction of a single homogeneous nationhood is evident from the federal structure of our constitution. Our country is now described as a Union of States."

Comment

The Constitution of India does not say that Bharat is a single homogenous nation. How can the Aryans and mlechas be homogenous. Indians are heterogenous, colourwise, language wise and in many ways. No Aryan can convert India into a homogenous nation. National integration does not mean sameness of all people. It means only unity in

spite of dissimilarities or differences between people to people in many matters.

Statement: P.221

"How is this (national unity) to be done? Can't be done by merely establishing new industries or by economic planning. No."

Comment

Can the saffronising and subjugating the mlechas achieve national unity? No. The Aryans must come down to the level of 'mlechas'.

Statement: P.222 & 223

"One cannot help remembering the propaganda the British Bureaucrat has made about this country and the people. He has emphatically been telling all the world to bear in mind that this is not one country but a continent like what modern Europe is an extensive piece of land comprising many countries, many peoples, many nationalities all with their distinct racial, cultural and linguistic features. He has been warning all to be undeceived about the complexion of the people here, upholding that they are not one people at all in the sense of the unity of the people of England or France or Germany, but a conglomeration of numerous peoples having less in common with one another and having more differences with one another than even the peoples inhabiting the various countries of Europe, that ethnologically they are all of different racial stock; historically they have come to migrate into this land from some unknown home to take up their habitation here; politically they have been successive raiders and invaders ultimately settling down in the fertile territory and as a result, bearing towards one another inherent hostility latterly become latent and less prone to manifest itself in the shape of open violence; linguistically strangers to one another, culturally of different standards and religiously

so far divided as to be always ready to fly at each other's throat.

The differences especially emphasized, and to a great extent fanned by them, were between the Hindus and the Muslims, the 'Dravidas' and the 'Aryans', the various sects such as the Jains and the Sikhs, as against the rest of the Hindus, between the various castes each against the rest, more especially between the Brahmin and the non-Brahmin. They never tired of telling the world, and particularly to our own people, that it was their providential existence in the country as the soverign power which had held all these forces of dissension in check and prevented the country from continuing to be a gruesome scene of violence, bloodshed, incessant, intermittent, internecine war insecurity to life, honour and property, that they had supplied a stable government, just, merciful and progressive, and that it was only because of them, and during their continuance in power, that the sense of common motherland, patriotism and of a common nationality was being gradually forged out of this bewildering mass of heterogeneous, and often incompatible peoples.

Comment

Any unprejudiced, impartial evaluator will agree that the above description of India upto the time of getting independence was fair and proper.

In spite of all the differences and divisions described above, the freedom frighters were able to infuse into the people a sense of political unity and nationalism. It was the united effort of the people of this land which brought freedom to India.

But once the Britishers left India, the selfish, greedy Aryans began to play their cards.They seek to establish their old glory of ruling and subjugating all non-Aryans. They took their Vedas, Sanskrit , names of Rama and Ayodhya, astrology and so on only to subdue the low -castes,

Shudras, Outcastes, Backward class and Tribals. They started fighting with the Muslims and Christians. They have also turned against Dravidians, trying rule them by dividing the Tamil people. Under saffron rule, violence, bloodshed, insecurity to life and property have become the rule. **Is our nation frittering so soon?**

Statement: P. 224

"The first and most cruel blow to the professions of 'One country, one nation' was dealt by the acceptance of the unhappy partition of our mother -Bharat bhumi. It meant an acknowledgement that the Muslims formed a distinct and antagonistic, national community which had been tied down to live in this land with the Hindu Nation and which was for itself a distinct state by vivisection of the country in which they had originally come as invaders and where they had been trying to settle down as conquerors."

Comment

The 'One Country, One Nation' denoted Bharat and not a Hindu Nation. In Bharat both Hindus and Muslims can live as one people. The Muslims, the Sikh, The Budhist, the Jains, the Christian the Dalits, outcastes, backward classes,tribals and so on cannot live in a Hindu nation. The Dravidians cannot live in an Aryan nation. The Tamilians and other states having Dravidian languages cannot live in a nation in which Sanskrit is the national language. But all the Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs, Budhist, Jains, Christians, Dalits, Outcastes, Backward classes and Tribals can live with equal honour and glory in Bharat nation.

So, the whole crises fell upon India from the concept of a Hindu Nation. If the Aryans were honest and bold like the Muslims, they should have demanded an Aryavarta, a Hindu nation for themselves, just as the Muslims unequivocally demanded a nation for themselves. They did so only because the Aryans demanded a Hindu Rashtra consisting of all the states in India.

Statement: P.226

"Why all this happening? Why are all the professions of unity of motherland and of the nation torn to shreds?"

Comment

There is no thought of separatism in the minds of the Indian people. The danger of separatist tendencies are coming only from the Aryan Hindus.

Statement: P.227

"Towards this end the most important and effective step will be to bury deep for good all talk of a federal structure of our country's constitution, to sweep away the existence of all 'autonomous' or semi-autonomous' states' within the one state, viz. Bharat and proclaim 'One Country', One State, One Legislature, One Executive', with no trace of fragmentational, regional, sectarian, linguistic or other types of pride being given a scope for playing havoc without integrated harmony. Let the Constitution be re-examined and redrafted, so as to establish this Unitary form of Government and thus effectively disprove the mischievous propaganda indulged in by the British and so unwittingly imbibed by the present leaders, about our being just a juxtaposition of so many distinct 'ethnic groups' or 'nationalities' happening to live side by side and grouped together by the accident of geographical contiguity and one uniform supreme foreign domination."

Comment

Is it not foolish to say that all Indians are of one ethnic group? Are the Aryans and mlechass of the same ethnic group?

The writer wants all the different states of India to be demolished and to make on unitary state India. Who is at present ruling India? It is not one Central Government that is ruling the whole nation? If it is not so

why the Parliament makes legislations which are binding upon all the states? Is India not one nation at present? Everything in India is going on very well. The democracy and secular nature of our Constitution is applauded everywhere in the world.

Why the writer is particular to change the Constitution of India into a Unitary one? The motive is ulterior. Under the present Consitution, no single party can accrue the entire powers of the nation. If there is only one Government, if there is only be one ruler, he can concentrate all powers. Thus the Aryan hegemony can be imposed upon all sections of the people of India. **If Acharya is put at the apex of the nation, ah! the glory of Indian nation will spread throughout the earth and heaven. He will win over all the three worlds - the heaven the earth and the Pathala (hell).** If one Acharya is to rule this country, eighty percent of the people will be slaves to the ten percent Aryans and they will be crushed under their Vedic rules, as was in the past. The freedom loving Indians will not allow this dishonest trick, fraud and deception of the Aryans.

Statement: P. 228

"With one sweep all talk of fragmenting the country will have been silenced, the rising tide of disunity, distrust and hostility put down, and conditions for a harmonious evolution of one homogneous People, One Nation, will have been established."

Comment

The above statement is absurd. Can anybody bring about a harmonious evolution of Indian people as one homogeneous people, one nation? India is already one nation. But people are heterogeneous. In spite of many differences, they have united as one nation. Everything is going on well with democracy, apart from the dangerous disturbance created by the Hindutvadis. Will anybody change the climatic conditions of India? Can anyone make all the Indians Aryan? Will anyone change

the colour and tongue of the people?

If the unitary form of government is adopted for India, the apex body to rule the country should be headed by the mlecha and in the governing body under him sixty percent members should belong to Dalits, Outcastes, Backward Classes and Tribals, ten percent numbers should be from Aryan Hindus and the rest of the thirty percent should be from non-Hindus. The Governance of India should not be surrendered to any Aryan Acharya. The caste duty of acharyas is to prepare the followers to achieve deliverance from the cycle of birth and death. Let them concentrate upon their duty.

Statement P.229

"An alert and organised nation alone can check internal corrosions and subversions, repel aggressions and maintain its integrity and freedom in tact."

Comment

The writer is very correct in his above statement. Once India got independence, it was the duty of the Government of India to identify the internal enemies. If any group forms and trains an army within the country, apart from the national defence forces and the police force, it should be recognised as an internal enemy.

It does not mean that groups of people should not form any associations. They should. In Kerala, we have the N.S.S. the Nair Service Society which is an organisation of the Nair Community. There is S.N.D.P., an association of Ezhavas, an untouchable group of people. There are other similar organisations or groups, but they are not militant. Similarly, let the Brahmins have their organisation, say Brahmana Samaj, the Kshatriya Samaj, Dravida Samaj, etc. But none of them should be allowed to organise armed training to their followers. No party or organisation should be allowed to have their own fighting force.

It will be most appropriate for the Government of India to give thirty days notice to all organisations having a war tendency to disperse. If they do not heed, they should be dealt with as if they are alien enemies.

Even if it may turn to be a civil war, it is better to fight out to put down the internal enemies. Then only we can say that there is a government in India.

If some parties are let loose to demolish Masjids, Mandirs, Churches or Gurudwaras, if some militant groups are free to commit atrocities against other groups, how can we say that there is a government in India? When VHP or R.S.S. says that they don't want to wait for the decision of the Supreme Court over the disputed Babri Mazjid and that they will proceed with the construction of of the Rama Temple in March 2002, what should the common man think? **Is there a government of India?**

If the Sangh Parivar considers that it can fight and suppress the citizens of India, it will remain only a dream. Hitherto only the Muslims have retorted tit for tat in a small way. But if the Sangh Parivar continues to harass and attack others, what guarantee is there that, the oppressed classes will not rise up to repay the atrocities in the same coin? To continue the atrocities is to invite trouble for themselves. Peaceful means is better than war for all.

Statement P.230

"This was in fact, the way he (Dr. Hedgevar) approached every social problem. Once, while he was in Bombay, he was invited to visit an orphanage. After taking doctorji round the institution the organisers there requested him to offer his suggestion with regard to their plans of expansion. Dictor replied, well, instead of expanding such orphanages, would it not be better to see that there is no orphans at all in our society!"

Comment

The Hindutvavadis have misunderstood doctorji and they are outrightly shouting against shelter homes and orphanages. They do not spare even mother Theresa. What he said was that the society should be reorganised, re-arranged in such a fashion that no orphans will be taking birth in the society. That means all the families in India will have a minimum per capita income to sustain themselves without leaving their offsprings in some orphanage. Instead of solving this social problem, the Sangh Parivar has given priority commit atrocities.Why did they not take up the question of redressing the poverty in this land ? Why did they not think of taking away lands from holders of vast arable lands to distribute among the landless. Why did they not think of distributing some capital amount to the penniless people and help them to become self dependent?

Instead they are barking at orphanages. They must understand that orphans are not produced in the orphanages. It is a heinous society which produces orphans. Orphenages only collect them. They are wellcared, educated and brought up as responsible citizens, who can look after themselves. Many of them excel others in life. They come as orphans and go as independent, responsible citizens. Thus, orphanages are most necessary as long as the society is not healthy. It is the duty of the government to give topmost priority to this problem. As long as the society produces orphans, the existence of orphanages is a blessing.

Then, the writer goes on to say that Vishnu takes his food only after he feeds all creatures. However, this is not true with regard to India. Hundreds are dying of hunger in India. In Orissa, the government asks the people to eat mango kernels if they don't have other edibles. How long can one sustain eating mango kernels.. Then ,they die. If Vishnu does not feed these poor, it is the duty of the haves, the government to feed the people. **The Swayam Sevaks are literally Swayam Sevaks,**

meaning people of self service. Instead of serving themselves they should extend their service to the poor and the needy. What is the use of boasting that some of our forefathers had even taken a vow of feeding the ants before taking their food?

Statement P.233

"Our duty, therefore, to make our society united, organised and mighty is as much before us today as at any time before. It is our dharma to see that our society, our great mother, is made powerful, great and happy."

Comment

To make our mother powerful, great and happy, the way is not fighting against the low castes, outcastes and other backward classes, against Muslims, Christians and other non-Aryans, but by the unity and feeling of oneness of all. Our society is compposed of all these different people and different people should be willing to stand as one nation.

Statement: P.235

The result is that Congress, having nothing positive to fulfil has rapidly drifted and degenerated from all the ideals it professed during its struggle against the British. The principles of truth, non-violence, character, Patriotism have all vanished into thin air. Although the motto remains the same, its content has totally disappeared.

Comment

It is true that the Congress has lost its original qualities which the leaders of freedom struggle had kept alive. Still it took fifty years for the Congress to fall down. In comparison take the case of the R.S.S., B.J.P Government. Even within two years of their rule, they have proved that these parties and their leaders have no principles, no truth, no character, no patriotism and they believe only in violence. Corruption and nepotism are the rules of the day. During the time of Narasimha Rao, he had

to pay only four M.Ps to support his government. But Vajpayeeji is luring how many M.Ps. to support his ministry . He has to please all the M.Ps. of all the Twenty four parties if his government has to carry on.

Statement. P.237

"Our way of life, our method of education, our mode of behaviour, our way of dress, our way of building houses, towns and cites and all such elements of our national ethos have undergone such an awful change that we do not stop for a moment to consider whether this abject imitation of others is not a humiliation of our national pride, a sure sign of losing our national identity and drifting into intellectual slavery."

Comment

There is no use wailing over the disappearance of the old ways of Indian life. Not only in India, but everywhere in the world life style goes on changing. Old Rishis and their way of thinking and living cannot stand any longer. Hinduisation or Aryanisation of India is impossible, unnecessary. It is retardation and retrogression. Increase of knowledge, and growth of science and technology are for universal benefits and people all over the world share the benefits of new inventions. The Government of India did not think it humilitating when they purchased big war planes from Russia or Bofors rifles from Europe. The BJP Government did not think it humiliating when it used the atom bomb invented by the Jews. **The Hindutvavadis ought to know that India is only a speck in the conglomeration of nations.**

Statement: P. 238

"The writer criticises our National Flag. He asks, :Then had we no flag of our own? Had we no national emblem at all these thousands of years? Undoubtedly we had."

Comment

The writer should have also explained how the Indian flag of old

looks like. Neither present generation nor the old knows anything about an old Indian National Flag.

Statement: P.238

Theodore Shay in his 'The legacy of the Lokmanya' says "Strangely absent from the preamble is reference to the concepts like Swaraj, Dharmarajya and the integration of the purpose of the state with the purpose of life. In other words, there is no reflection of Indian precepts or political philosophy in the Indian Constitution.

Comments

The preamble of the Constitution of India has laid down that India is a Sovereign, Socialist, Secular Democracy Republic. Is this not the concept of Swaraj? Is it not Dharma to secure justice, liberty and equality to every individual in the nation?

What Hindutvavadis call Dharma is their supremacy over Shudras, Dalits and non Hindus which is nothing but Adharma.

The writer scorns the words democratic socialism. His mind may be thinking of words like Brahman, Puja Vedas, Nishkama Karma, Karma, Dharma, Swadharma, Guru Puja, Sanskrit, Devas, Devis Jyotirshatra etc. to be included in the Constitution.

Statement P. 239

"It is only a positive and dynamic build-up of an organization of the type of the Sangh, capable of embracing all of our people in a loving and eternal brotherhood and making them intensely conscious of their national destiny that can effectively check the present role of selfishness, dissensions and vulgar imitaitions born out of drifting."

Comment

Can the Sangh organize the whole of Indian Society in a loving and

eternal brotherhood? Can the Brahmins be persuaded to accept the Dalits as their brothers and share equal status with all the Shudras and people below them? First establish the brotherhood. A common national feeling can be generated only thereafter.

Statement P. 249

"The work of the Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh has been started with the one aim of building up an organized and consolidated life of our Hindu People."

Comment

There is no wrong in having an organization to consolidate and improve the life of the Hindu people.

But the Hindus ought to know that ninety percent of Indians are non-Aryans, non -Hindus, mlechas. What Sanatana Dharma to a Hindu is but Sanatana Adharma to the mlechas. So while reviving the Sanatana Hindu Dharma, please make it a point to leave out the mlechas. Just as the Muslims have created a Pakistan, the Sanatana Hindus may also concentrate themselves in some portion of India, say Gujarat or Mahrashtra and collect all the Aryans from among the mlechas in the mlecha lands to settle in the Hindu Rashtra.

Statement P.250 - 251

"However, as things are, the persons at the helm of affairs seem unable to muster courage to opt for a unitary state. Then if the present federal structure has to continue, it is essential that definite principles must be formulated by all and they must be stuck to."

Comment

The Unitary form of government and legislature is not suitable for India, because of the wide and varied diversities present from region to region. Only the common problems like defense, finance, foreign affairs

etc. can be handled by the Central Government. Fields like education, industry etc. has to be dealt with by local units and should be under the state government.

If a unitary form of government takes over everything, they will have to pass different laws for separate regions, which is practically impossible. Moreover, the caste people are holding the helm of affairs. Even under the Congress government the laws are formulated according to the interests of the higher castes and the execution part is also in the hands of the caste people. For example, many cases of atrocities against Delits go unreported, for the police who belong to the castes refuse to prepare F.I.Rs. of the incidents. The caste people go scot-free which encourages them to commit more crimes. Under the unitary form of government, there is every chance to fear the upper castes tighten their grip over lower castes, outcastes and non-Hindus.

Statement P.253

"Can there be still lower Depths?

The painful experience that we have come across during the famine relief measures in several parts of the country at different times is a glaring example of the low depths of character to which we have sunk. Whatever help the Government or the other agencies mobilise for the sake of the famine stricken people, does not always reach them. The 'middle man swallow up a substantial part. Last year, much help came from foreign countries also for the refugees from east Bengal in the form of drugs, woolen rugs and blankets. But most of them did not reach the refugees at all. They were sold in the markets of Calcutta. However, the authorities have not tried to catch hold of those who had indulged in such nefarious business and had enriched themselves at the cost of their suffering brethren. If a probe had been undertaken, probably some of the secrets of our corrupt political life would have come to light. To

what a low condition have we degraded ourselves, that when some are dying for want of food, others should be fattening themselves at their cost. With such a corrupt people no nation can ever hope to rise. This is the great challenge, the challenge of 'crisis' of character.

Comment

This time, during the quake in Gujarat, the middle men were members of Sangh Parivar, especially V.H.P. They took in their custody the stricken areas and did not allow any other groups to enter the area. There must have been the ulterior motive of robbing the wealth of the prey of the quake. The people who lost their life were rich with gold, silver, coins and even jewels. Instead of attending to the dying and the bruised they were busy in gathering and accumulating valuables from the spoils.

Towards relief millions of dollars flowed from every quarter, especially from Christian countries. They also sent food materials, medicines, clothes etc. Even local Christian groups after groups reached the spot with food, medicine, clothes etc. But the middlemen who assumed themselves as the authority, did not allow anybody to directly contribute their help to the victims. The middle men collected everything and did as they liked, without any sense of propriety. They refused food and water to those who were reluctant to pronounce 'Ram Ram'. Now that the flow of aids has stopped the redeemers are not to be seen in the field. Nobody knows what has happened to the money and materials that were sent to the victims of the earth quake. Millionaires were reduced to paupers in no time, and now they are looking for pity from some quarter or other. **No Saiees, no Maiees are seen on the scene.**

Had the rescue work been handed over to the Christian missionaries, hundreds of nuns, evangelists and priests would have performed the relief works to the comfort and consolation of the victims. In the Hindu blood there is no tinge of love, kindness, mercy, compassion or sym-

pathy. This is the case with Aryan Hindus. Especially the upper castes cannot serve anybody. They know only to demand service from others. **A Hindu Rashtra with such self serving Swayam Sevaks will be a curse, a calamity, catastrophe for India.**

Statement P.254

"Manifest the Inherent

As the present conditions stand, it is clear that it is only the Hindu who feels that this country is his adored Motherland. This feeling is in his blood. For generations, he has been worshipping the dust of this sacred soil. Whatever auspicious work he takes in hand, he starts with Bhoomi Pooja. The ingrained devotion in us has to be made alive once again and the entire Hindu people made to feel that they are the children of the same soil and as such, are brothers to one another.

Comment

Patriotism is not Bhoomi Pooja. Earth is not a goddess to be worshipped.

Statement : P.255

"When there was war on the issue of Bangladesh, our Prime Minister - socialist, secular etc. as she calls herself, and others also like to call her, - could not but say that we have a glorious heritage of five thousand years and that we are not going to be brow beaten by anyone. I was particularly happy that the Prime Minister voiced the sentiments propagated by the R.S.S. all these years, as if she were also one of the members!"

Comment

Such a feeling is not the singular, quality of the R.S.S. The writer is mistaken that patriotism is the monopoly of R.S.S. No. Every Indian is patriotic, loves Mother India as motherland, but not as a goddess, be-

cause there is no such goddess either in heaven or on earth. We as Indians are proud of our country whatever weakness she has.

Statement P.255

This idea that the Hindu people are the Rashtra here is a historical truth. To deny truth is not going to do any good in this world.

Comment

Vaikom Mohammed Bashir, a renowned Malayalam writer has drawn a character. This fellow used to repeat in his conversation every now and then, "Nte uppoppaikku oru ana ontarnu", meaning my grand father had an elephant. He was claiming that his grandfather was very rich. But he is almost a beggar now.

The claim that the Hindu people as a Rashtra is something like the claim of the beggar. Bharat earned freedom from Britishers and not from Hindu Rashtra. At the time of fighting for independence, there was no Hindu Rashtra. It is the people of India and not Hindu Rashtra that gained the freedom. Those who earned the freedom formed Bharat, a sovereign, socialist, secular democracy republic. What is the use of digging up old things. If old things are dug-up, only a rotten figure of ugly Brahminical tyranny will be brought to light.

The Christians in India are honourable citizens of India at par with Brahmins in their pedigree and more ancient than the Aryan Hindus in India. The Dalits and Adivasis of India are older Indian citizens than the Aryan Hindus. This is the truth. Thousands of years have elapsed after the influx of Brahmins into India. But there is not even a sign of their integrating with the original Indians. There is no hope of such an integration, but atleast politically let all the people of India feel as one political unit under the secular democracy.

Forgetting what they are, who they are, the R.S.S. has started shouting against Muslims and Christians. It seems they have changed their policies and are resorting to a war- path which will be detrimental

to our nation. It is for the secular forces to stand united and keep the secularity of this nation.

Statement P. 258

"Srikrishna tells Arjuna : I am the power of destruction, come to slay these men here. Even without thee all the warriers, standing arrayed in hostile ranks, shall be destroyed."

Comment

What Sri Krishna said was true. He came to slay the men in Kurukshetra. Killing was his pleasure and purpose. But he made Arjuna to believe that only Kauravas will be killed. Openly Krishna says to Arjuna that only his enemies will be killed, but latently he had told that he would kill everyone there. He made Arjuna his instrument to carry out his hidden agenda. Arjuna agreed to fight and in the war every soldier of both the parties were exterminated.

Wars are taking place everywhere in the world. But Bharat believed in and stood for non-violence. If stood for the principle of non violence, how far the glory of India would have risen up! See Switzerland stands unaffected by the commotions that take place all around.

Statement P. 271

Strength is virtue, Weakness is sin

Whatever the external condition, it is the weak who suffer. No amount of external adjustments or juxtapositions will be able to save a nation inherently weak. To remain weak is the most heinous sin in this world, as that would destroy oneself and also incite feelings of violence in others. Our forefathers have said that physical survival is part of the highest religion and for physical survivals strength is the only basis. It is said of Viswamithra that once during an acute famine he did not get any food for days together. One day

he saw the rotting leg of a dead dog lying in a Chandala's house. Viswamithra snatched it and got ready to eat it by first making an offering to God. The Chandala exclaimed, "Oh, sage how is it you are eating a dog's leg?" Viswamithra replied, yes, I must first live and be strong enough in order to do penance and good deeds in the world."

Comment

On analysing the above statement, some truths which are hidden to the world will be revealed. At least 50% of Indian population are Chandalas? Who made them Chandalas? It is the caste people who grabbed everything for themselves without sharing anything with the weak sections of the society and made the weak sections Chandalas. They captured and seized the whole land from the non militant Dravidians, snatched all their wealth, drove them away from habitable areas, banned them against agricultural products and even drinking water. Naturally in order to sustain their life they had to depend upon grass, leaves, roots of plants and living creatures like frog, fishes, chameleon, lizard, shellfish, dead animals including dogs.

Nobody is born as a Brahmin or as a Chandala. It is the circumstances, surroundings, environment and amenities which makes a man refined or unrefined. Keeping all the wealth and power for themselves and leaving nothing for the aboriginals, the Aryan Hindus became caste people and non Aryans became mlechas and chandalas. Non availability of food made a savant like Viswamitra a chandala and made him to eat the rotten flesh of a dog. What about Vajpayee, Advani, Ashok Singhal, Bal Thackeray, Sudarsan , Murali Manohar Joshi, Shushama Swaraj, Uma Bharathi, Satya Sai Baba, Amritanandamayi? If nothing else is available will they not eat rotten human flesh? In essence, they are all chandalas. But since they enjoy wealth they eat better food. Amritanandamayi in her childhood was a chandala. Now she is elated to the high pedestal of a goddess only

due to her wealth.

Is it not a daily sight in our streets, where children and beggars search the drums kept for left over? Suppose somebody offers them food and shelter will they throw their hands in search for food in the waste tubs? **God created them as human beings and human society has made them vagabonds and chandalas.**

It is true that to remain weak would destroy oneself and also incite feelings of violence in others. When a strong man sees a weak man, the strong gets a feeling to attack and suppress the weak. That is how when a Hindutvavadi sees a Christian gospel worker or priest, a temptation to attack arises in him. If the Padiri carries a gun with him, the Hindutvavadi will think twice before uttering a word against him. Also when a few assemble into a group, the group gets extra ordinary feeling of power and they are tempted to show off their strength upon the weak, unarmed. That is how masjid and churches are erased and all sorts of atrocities are comitted. If these groups face a formidable enemy they will hasten to retreat. This type of strength is nothing but animal strength. Wild buffaloes are very strong. No wolf can stand before it. But a few wolves join together and surround the buffalo and attack from every side. The wolves succeed in felling the buffalo. Even weaker sections can defeat strong enemies. All these are about physical strength.

But there are greater strength, moral strength, spiritual strength and the like. Aryan Hindus know only about physical strength. Even their gods walk around wearing arms. They are always afraid of attack from any quarter and they are always prepared to meet the enemy. Out of their fear complex, they see an enemy in everybody else. They know nothing about moral and spiritual strength. That is why they say "Strength (physical) is virtue, Weakness (physical) is sin." This is an erroneous teaching ethically. What ethics? The Aryans have no ethics. Their law is the law of the jungle. Whoever is physically strong can rule over others. That is why the lion is ruling over all the other animals. Characterwise

morally, the deer, the sheep and the cow are thousand times greater. But the cruel lion has no concern or regard for the fine qualities of these physically weak animals.

But in a human society it is not the physical strength that counts. Those who depend upon the physical strength are beasts. Ethically, morally and spiritually they are only dwarfs. To be strong ethically morally virtually, it is not essential that one should be physically weak. A physically strong man can have all the above qualities. In Jesus Christ we see such a perfect man. He was physically strong, had a large multitude as his followers, had super natural powers, had power over death and life, but he did not use his power to kill the enemies. When his followers took up arms he asked them to stop and told, "Whoever takes sword, will fall by it." Is it strength or weakness to forgive an enemy? **If Christians are not taking weapons against the villainous, wicked, atrocious adversaries, it is not because they are weak, but because they are more strong in ethics, morality and in spirit.**

Statement P. 272

"All these we gulp down saying that we are devotees of 'peace'! It is said in the Mahabharata that a person who goes on swallowing insults is neither, a male nor female.

He alone is a man who does not brook or forgive insults. One who remains cold and tolerant in the face of insults is neither a male nor a female.

Comment

The Vedas and Puranas depict the philosophy of unrefined people. When man lived a beastly life, the rule was 'tit for tat' . "An eye for an eye and tooth for a tooth" was the subhuman law of the jungle men. The standard of the teaching of the Hindu Vedas is that of the law of the jungle, unrefined, crude, rude and uncultured.

The writer confuses forgiveness as weakness. That is what his rude philosophy has taught him. Man has to grow from his animal standard to higher mental level. The law of the jungle acclaims and applauds physical strength, whereas more refined people commend mental strength, a strength not to retaliate, but to forgive. Forgiveness is not weakness but it is strength. A cultured man's law is to be good and ready to forgive.

The Hindu philosophy does not have the precept of forgiveness. The writer quotes Mahabharata, "He alone is a man who does not brook or forgive insults. One who remains cold and tolerant in the face of insults is neither a male nor a female." This being the philosophy, the writer of Puranas which are fictitious stories, have depicted Hindu gods and heroes always carrying arms. To them to take revenge is manliness. One who forgives, according to them, is a eunuch. He is neither a man nor a women.

Jesus Christ taught, that there are three kinds of eunuchs. He said, "For there are some eunuchs, which were so born from their mother's womb; and there are some eunuchs, which were made eunuchs of men; and there be eunuchs, which have made themselves eunuchs for the kingdom of heaven's sake. He that is able to receive it, let him receive it (Mathew 19:12).

The first two groups are physically eunuchs. But the third group of people are manly, but they count themselves as eunuchs for the sake of the kingdom of heaven.

Jesus taught, "And when you stand praying, forgive, if you have anything against any that your Father also which is in heaven may forgive you your trespasses. But if you do not forgive neither will your father which is in heaven forgive your trespasses" (Mark 11:25-26).

Unfortunately the Aryan Hindu have no father in heaven. They themselves are gods. They say that there are gods in heaven only to dupe the common mass and frighten them. And the gods they present to the

people are worse than human in character. These gods are selfish, immoral, assuming quarrelsome, arrogant and fighting. Their life and deeds are not exemplary. "Forgive and you shall be forgiven" is a sublime rule for mankind to follow.

Many people commit a mistake when they think that Jesus Christ suffered crucifixion because he was overpowered by the Roman Soldiers. This is not correct. When the soldiers fell up on him and his followers, he wanted to save his disciples. "Judas then, having received a band of men and officers from the Chief Priest and Pharisees, came there with lanterns and torches and weapons. Jesus therefore, knowing all this that should come upon him, went forward and said to them, whom seek ye? They answered him, "Jesus of Nazareth". Jesus said to them, 'I am he'. As soon as he said to them, I am he, they went backward and fell to the ground. Simon Peter having a sword, drew it and smote the high priest's servant, and cut off his right ear. Jesus said to Peter, "Put up thy sword into the sheath: The cup which my Father has given me shall I not drink it." (John 18:3-11). To the men he said, if you are seeking me let them go away. His disciples departed from there. If Jesus wanted to destroy the enemies he could have asked for a few legions of angles to protect him or even by a word of his mouth he could have finished off all of them. But he did not do so. At the time of his death, lying on the cross he prayed, "Father, forgive them; for they know not what they do" (Luke 23:34).

Was Jesus a coward ? Was he weak? No. He being the Almighty God, the King of Kings and Lord of Lords, having millions and millions of angels to serve him, still he suffered as a poor, weak man. This is the ideal, the example, he has left for mankind to follow. **If Christians are not reacting and returning atrocities in the same coin, it is not because they are cowards or they are weak.** They know that the number of Aryan Hindus are meagre, and also that it is not the number and physical strength which counts. "For what is your life? It's is even as

a vapour that appears for a little time, and then vanish away" (James 4:14). "My life is a breath" (Job 7:7). "For all flesh is like grass and all the glory of man like the flower of grass. The grass withereth and its flower falleth away, but the word of the Lord endureth for ever" (1Peter 1:24-25).

The Bible teaches that the man's life is vanity. It is futile to accumulate wealth, for he is not going to live upon this earth for ever. The span of life of man is seventy or at the best eighty. Why should he accrue wealth at the expense of others? **Love thy neighbour as long as you live and help him as long as he needs help. Don't say that he is a low caste or an outcaste and then hate him.**

Don't boast that the Hindu Rashtra is going to be mighty and going to conquer the whole world. History teaches us that all Rashtras which had boasted of their glory ended in misery. Learn lessons from Babylon, Egypt, Syria, Persia, Greek, Rome, Shakas, Hunas, Turks, Mughals, Great Britain, Russia, Japan and so on. See that ten or fifteen people were able to smash the powers of World Trade Centre, Pentagon and so on. The modern time is not of Rama and Ravana or Kauravas and Pandavas. The need of this age is love, co-operation and respect for each other.

Then, the writer goes on to narrate the story of Rama as Maryada Purushotham and Krishna of Kurukshetra. The readers are requested to ponder over the sad end of both Rama and Krishna. The entire life of Sita was to suffer atrocities and the hands of Rama. In the end Rama ordered Lakshmana to murder Sita. Sita was lost. Then his maryada broke his relations with Laxman who also left him. At last disappointed in life he drowned himself in river Sarayu. What was the end of Krishna? His advice resulted in the Kurukshetra war. He had promised Arjuna victory over the enemies. But at the end of the war we see that both the Kauravas as well as the Pandavas were completely wiped out. The disappointed Krishna took Tapasya, standing on his head and holding

his legs upward. A hunter's arrow killed him. Their quality of fearlessness and heroism did not establish righteousness in the world. Rama and Krishna were against peace, against non-retaliation. But their policy ended in defeat and utter destruction.

Statement: P.275

"The sacrificial goat which is peacefully led to the altar of sacrifice is infact, the very embodiment of non retaliation! Without the slightest murmer it puts its head under the knife of the butcher. The butcher never feels for a moment that he should not kill such an innocent, nonviolent creature. At the same time no one dares even to think of offering a tiger in sacrifices. It is only the weak, docile, non-violent goat that is pitched upon for sacrifices".

There is a saying, ' It is not the horse, not the elephant, and never never the tiger but the goat that is offered in sacrifice. Even gods destroy the weak."

Such is the fruit of the attitude of 'non-retaliation'! Of course, we should not indulge in unprovoked violence. At the same time, we should also not allow others to do violence to us. Allowing violence to be done to oneself is also violence and therefore adharma. Once a great Jain Sadhu explaining the significance of ahimsa said, "If you are faced with brute force bent upon destroying and you do nothing to protect yourself in the name of ahimsa, then you will have only encouraged the evil power to indulge in violence. You thus become an abettor in the crime and an abettor is as much guilty of the crime as the actual perpetrator." He added, ' Intension, and not the physical act, is the only criterion to decide whether the act is in the nature of himsa or ahimsa."

The writer continues.

1) Tukaram defined compassion "Compassion is protection of all living beings and destruction of the wicked elements."

2) *Budha advised a military officer, who when faced enemy attack resigned his job and came to Budha to receive deeksha to avoid commiting violence against the enemies, "Merely because you have come away, the enemies are not going to give up the aggression. They are bound to indulge in killing and ravaging. If you forsake your duty of protecting the innocents under your charge, the sin of all that violence will visit upon your head. Protection of the good and righteous is verily a duty enjoined by Dharma. No sin will attach to you while doing this duty. So go back and carry out your assignments." That was how Budha interpreted the true meaning of ahimsa.*

3) *Gandhiji's ahimsa. When Muslims attacked, the Hindus of Allahabad began to flee. Gandhiji said, "My non-violence is not of the cowards, it is of the brave. Instead of running away in such a cowardly fashion, it would be far better for you to fight, to kill or get killed."*

4) *Sri Krishna - To talk always of compromise and not to use force even when there is no other way out to undo injustice and insults is sheer cowardice and imbecility.*

The writer concludes (P.277), "And the hard reality is that the world, as it is constituted today understands, but one language - the language of strength. It is on the unshakable foundation of immense strength alone that the nation rises and maintains itself in a glorious conditiion".

Comment

The writer describes "even gods destroy the weak," as if violence is protecting and perpectuating the strong. Violence may give an unreal appearance of victory as if the victorious are going to continue their status, eternally. Where are the terrorists, aggressors, conquorers, Emperors, comitters of atrocities of old? Every aggressor fell after a show

of might. That means it is vain to achieve victory by employing violence.

I have my doubts about what the writer says about Budha and Gandhi. What he says about Krishna is right. We all know that Krishna's life was utter failure. All the great warriers and conquorers perished similarly. The use of force cannot eternalise peace. The real peace can be established only by non violence, ahimsa. But does non-violence bring peace to all people? Peace is not a social affair. Peace is personal. The faith, the thought, the attitude of a man brings peace or agitation in his mind.

The Bible teaches, "Seek peace and pursue it" (1 Peter 3:11). "The work of righteousness shall be peace" (Isaiah 32:17). "There is no peace to the wicked" (Isaiah 57:21). "Have peace one with another" (Mark 9:50)

The greatest source of peace is to make peace with God. Man should get peace from the God of Peace. God is the author of peace (1 Corinthians 14:33) and one can get the peace of God which passeth all understanding (Philipians 4:7) from God. One should let the peace of God rule in his heart (Collosians 3:15)

Tukaram, Buddha, Mahatma Gandhi, Krishna and so on could not reveal to the world the way of peace. The writer says that all of them recommend violence for the protection of peace. Jesus is the only Guru who taught the truth that violence cannot generate peace. Only peace can bring peace. He sacrificed his life to teach the world this truth. He could have met the Roman soldiers with might. But he wanted to show the world the path of peace and abnegated himself to be crucified by the people. Even on the cross he lamented and prayed God to forgive the enemies. He suffered death and became victorious over death. Anybody who wants peace, has to approach Jesus Christ. He is the Prince of Peace. Why terrorism? Why war? Because those who perpetrate violence see only the present world. They think that

whatever they see in this world will remain for ever. But the truth is just the other way round. All that we see are vanishing. Even the life of man is transient. Any man has to leave this world in a short time. Thereafter, he has to meet eternity. So man should not try to accumulate wealth and power in this world. He should earn for eternity in this life. If this truth is appreciated by individuals, all factions, quarrels, wars terrorism and Jihad will disappear. After Jihad, you are going to meet the Almighty God of all. You will not escape from His wrath, if you create problems for his children while in this earth. It is appointed for all men once to die and thereafter the judgement by God. If anybody teaches atrocities on members of other religion or Jihad, he is from the other god, Satan.

Statement P. 279

God's promise is ," For the protection of the saint, for the destruction of the wicked, and for establishment of Dharma, I am born again and again." Hedgewar, the founder of R.S.S. has commented, "I on the other hand, implore Almighty not to take birth just now." If adharma is to be destroyed God will have to destroy everyone. First let there be some Sadhus and let them pray to save them and destroy the evil doers."

Comment

The Bible says, all have sinned and come short of the glory of God. That means everybody is a sinner. So if God comes to destroy the sinners and to save the saints, no one will be left surviving the wrath of God. Hedgewar is agreeing with the Bible. But when he says protection of saint and destruction of the sinners, he is far away from the Bible truth. God loves saints and sinners alike. He does not want the destruction of the sinners. He sent his only begotten Son to the world to save the sinners. God asks the sinners to repent about their sins, leave the sinful ways and to come to the path of righteousness.

"For thou, Lord, art good, and ready to forgive; and plenteous in mercy unto all them that call upon thee" (Psalm 86:5). "For God so loved the world, that he gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever, believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life" (John 3:16). Geetha and Hindutva seek to destroy the sinners. Bible seeks to save the sinners. Geetha and Hindutva is concerned only about this earthly life. But the Bible is more concerned about the eternal life hereafter. Geetha and Hindutva has no concept of forgiveness. They stand for retaliation and destruction of whom they consider as their enemies. The Bible does not see anybody as an enemy, but all have turned away from God. The Bible promises forgiveness to sinners, provided they repent and confess their sins and set right their path.

Statement: P.279

"So, let us become sadhus first, which means leading a life of effort and sacrifice in the cause of society, and then call the Almighty. Then only will he save us and destroy the evil doers."

Comment

The writer thinks that by becoming a Sadhu, by leading a life of effort and sacrifice in the cause of society, one will become a saint. And he thinks that if such saints will call upon God, He will come to destroy the evil doers. This is his mistaken notion about Almighty God. No amount of social service can make a man a saint. A saint is one with no sins in him.

A sinner can become saint by the cleansing of the heart. "Let us cleanse ourselves from all filthiness of the flesh and spirit, perfecting holiness in the fear of God" (2 corinthians 7:1). The heart can be cleansed only by repentance. The forerunner of Jesus, John the Baptist, preached repentance. Jesus continued the preaching. Jesus said, "I tell you, except you repent, you shall likewise perish." (Luke 13:3-5). By repentance one can get remission of sins. A saint is the one who get remission

of sins, whose sins are forgiven. This is the good news, gospel, which Bible gives to the world. It is the will of God that repentance and remission of sins shall be preached in his name among all nations. This is what the evangelists, missionaries, are doing throughout the world. But the Hindutvadis having no idea of sin, not knowing any way to escape from the sin and wrath of God, they think they are doing great service to the nation by putting hindrance on the way of preaching gospel. Let us pray that their inner eyes may open to see and accept the truth.

Are the priests, evangelists, nuns and Christians evil-doers to hunt them? Such attacks are from the darkness of ignorance. The power of darkness, Satan, has blinded their eyes and prevent them from seeing the truth.

Statement P. 283

"Martyr, Great but not ideal.

Except our Bharatiya culture, all others have adored and idealised martyrdom and have looked upon such martyrs as their heroes. Why do they call Jesus Christ a great soul? Because he was a martyr and offered his life in sacrifice. But in our Bharatiya tradition, this type of immolation is not considered as the highest ideal. There is no doubt that such men who embrace martyrdom are great heroes and their philosophy too is pre-eminently manly. They are far above the average man who meekly submit to fate and remain in fear and inaction. All the same, such persons are not held up as ideals in our society. We have not looked upon their martyrdom as the highest point of greatness to which man should aspire. For, after all, they failed in achieving their ideal, and failure implies some fatal flaw in them."

Comment

The writer says that martyrs are not first-rate, but only second - rate, higher than the ordinary third rate people. According to Bharatiya

tradition, the sacrifice of Jesus Christ is not considered as the highest ideal. On the other hand, if Jesus had united the Jews , gave them arms training, gave them weapons, fought the Romans and subjugated Rome and many other countries, massacreing millions of innocent people and established a great empire, Bhartiya tradition would have acknowledged him as a first rate man. It is not Bharatiya tradition but only the Aryan tradition. Sri Rama and Sri Krishna, both Aryans massacred millions of people and they are rated to the first rank. Jesus who never shed even a drop of blood of others, but willingly shed even his last drop of blood for the forgiveness of sins of those who believe in his sacrifice, is counted down. Then why do the Hindutvadis not worship the great conquerers like, the Roman gods, Babylon's Nebuchadnessar, Pharao of Egypt, Alaxander Of Greece, Hilter of Germany, Winston Churchil of England, Stalin of Russia, Mao of China and so on? Is there anybody in India who can be compared to Saddam Hussain of Iraq or Osama Bin Ladan of Saudi Arabia? Prophet Mohammed need to be worshiped more than Rama and Krishna for out of nothing he has created a formidable force capable of threatening not only India, but the whole world.

Hindutvadis should learn to distinguish between physical and mental strength, between worldly and spiritual strength, between black and white, between lie and truth.

Statement: P. 284

"This is the case with all those great men who are taken to be 'incarnations' in our national tradition. Take for example, the story of 'Ten incarnations". Whenever our society was gripped by dangers and disasters, and plunged in a stupor and was only bewailing taking the name of God, there arose a gigantic personality who with his spirit of boundless sacrifice, penance, intelligence and power of action, subdued all adversaries and became a symbol of victory. Whatever the forms assumed - a fish or a boar or a student in the guise of

a mendicant - they succeeded in achieving their holy mission.

Comment

All the ten incarnations are mere stories. As a matter-of-fact study about the ten incarnations is available in the book, 'Geetha and Bible'.

All the ten incarnations were to rescue the Aryans, the gods as against Asuras, the non -Aryans. The Dravidians, the original inhabitants were overpowered and pushed to the south or to the jungles. None of the avataras fought the Shakas, the Hunas,the Greeks, the Mughals or the Europeans who won over the Indians. To speak the greatness of ten incarnations is a part of the fictitious stories.

Statement P. 285

The all renouncing yogis and the heroes who die fighting on the battlefield-both of them pierce the solar orbit and attain spiritual beatitude."

Comment

There is no difference between the Aryan teaching and the Islamic teaching. In the field of terrorism and atrocities both are alike. Aryans say that the heroes who die on the battle field go to heaven. Same is the teaching of Islam. Those who die in Jihad will be directly taken to heaven where they will be supplied with women, wine, and even young boys for commiting homosexual acts. Indra's heaven are full of beauties like Menaka, Rembha, Tilotama and so on.

A war is between two sides. Valiant men of both sides die on the battle field. In the Hindu heaven valient men of both the sides are admitted. After Kurukshetra war, when the Pancha Pandavas entered heaven, they saw the Kauravas already seated there. But in Islamic heaven, only Muslim heroes will be admitted. The heroes of the enemies are not admitted. They will be thrown into hell, perhaps.

According to the Bible, the physical valour does not make anybody eligible for heaven. It is the spiritual cleanliness which gives admission to heaven. Those who are pure in heart will inherit the kingdom of God. Those with impure heart cannot even see the kingdom of God. Jesus taught, "Verily, verily, I say unto you, except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God" (John 3:3). "That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is born of the spirit is spirit" (John 3:6). By repentance a man dies to sin. By baptism in the water, he gets buried along with Jesus Christ. By coming out of the water, he partakes in the likenss of the resurrection of Jesus Christ. This is the death, burial and resurrection of a believer. The resurrected man is a sinless new creature. Now he has to walk in the newness of life, not in his sinful old ways, but in the serene path of righteousness. Those who succeed to walk in the path of righteousness will live eternally in the kingdom of God. Others - the great heroes- valiant and mighty conquorers will be thrown into hell fire, everlasting fire.

Statement P. 285

As the desire, so the result. If the will to win is Supreme, victory ensures, and for the one who desires only death, death is sure to come."

Comment

The writer denounces the Martyrdom of Rajputs. He says that they went to the battle field with a desire to die and not to win and hence they died. If they went to win, they would have won. He says that the Rajput martyrdom embodies a wrong and suicidal aspiration.

We all know that the Rajputs never desired to die. They preferred death to defeat. Mere desire to win will not bring victory. After all, everyone has to die.

Statement P.287

"The Philosophy of 'Will to win."

This has been our philosophy - the philosophy of victory of the

forces of rightousness over the forces of evil-preached and practised over milliennia. Even today, the demoniac forces of evil are strutting about the world stage, armed with world destructive weapons and threatening the very future of humanity. It is only the strength of our philosophy which steels our will to win that we can inspire mankind to face this new challenge of adharma." It is a pity that even so many incarnations could not establish Dharma hitherto.

Comment

The writer cries that the world destructive weapons are in the hands of the demoniac. Such weapons would be weapons for Dharma if they were in the hands of the Aryan Hindus. Weapons in the hands of non-Aryans are adharma. All destruction and protection should be done by the Aryan Hindus. This is the 'frog in the well' theory.

Then the writer goes on to vainglorious self - praising statements. He says, verily man's real purushatva, manliness, lies in establishing his superiority over the rakshasa. The communist and non -communist world powers are rakshasas. The Aryan Hindus are going to overcome all these rakshasas. They are going to achieve the ultimate victory in the path of dharmasthapana, the establishing of righteousness. The only difficulty is that they do not know how to distinguish Dharma from Adharma.

Statement: P. 293

"If we are really serious about mobilising our financial resources there are other and healthy ways open to us. The first thing is, now that Emergency is declared, dissolve the state assemblies for the time being. That would save crores of rupees every year."

Comment

The democracy is irritating to the Hindutwavadis. They want Aryan fascism in India. The suggestion to dissolve the state assemblies is not with a motive to save finance, but just to crush the democracy. If state

assemblies are dissolved and all powers are concentrated at the centre and if at the head of the centre, some Acharya is seated, the long dreamt Hindu Fascism will get established in India. Thus Aryan hegemony can be established over all Indians. This is just a crooked thinking. The rule of an Acharya can be worse than the rule of a Hitler or Stalin.

Statement - P. 307

I am a child of this great Hindu nation. For generations, my great forefathers have striven to make this the greatest and a noblest nation - an ideal nation of ideal men -on the face of the earth. I , too, will live and strive for the same goal. This is the natural impulse that we have inherited. We feel it in our blood. If this natural sublime urge is roused then our people will be able to rise above their selfish pulls and manifest chaste and national character in their day-to-day life."

Comment

The above statement needs some corrections. It should read somewhat as follows.

I am a child of the newly formed Bharat nation. I have started to breathe the air of freedom only after 1947. From the time of the Aryan invasion, my forefathers were under slavery. Since they divided the nation into Aryans and non -Aryans, castes and outcastes, touchables and untouchables, and into several subcastes, there never was any unity in India. The country was divided into hundreds of petty kingdoms ever quarrelling and fighting in between themselves. So the country fell an easy prey to foreign invaders. Now an atmosphere of freedom has come over the whole of India. But the selfish Aryans are trying to divide the country into many factions by imposing Hindutva. They play religion and try to divide the nation into rival groups. Let not religions divide our nation. Let not racism divide our nation. Let not the plentitude and penury, literacy and illiteracy, divide our nation. Let not the diversities

that exist in our nation divide her into antagonistic factions. Let the people overcome all the differences and feel themselves as one. Selfishness, envy and antagonism are our inherent qualities. The impulse of tyranny and subjugation of the weak brothers is what we have inherited. Spite and separation are in our blood. We pray for a renewed spirit of oneness in every Indian. Let every Indian feel and fix in his mind that despite all the diversities, he is an Indian. Let this be our national character, which alone will lead this nation into a glorious future.

Let not the Hindutvavadis to sow the seeds of division, hatred and enmity in our nation. Grant them wisdom and goodwill to see all people alike. Lead our nation to glorious future, an example of unity, co-operation and mutual love between people of different races, castes, colours, religions, and social status, which may lead the whole world to live amicably in spite of differences.

Statement P. 307

'All our valiant freedom fighters in the past and in modern times were inspired with the livng vision of Hindu Rashtra. That was the only effective rallying cry to rouse our masses to action from one corner of the country to the other. And whenever that vision was blurred or lost sight of the people too relapsed into inactivity and servility."

Comment

This statement is a typical example of the writer's bogus boasting. Ever in the history of the world,was there a single war in which the whole of India faced an outside enemy? The first of its kind was the war against Chinese occupation. To face that situation, the whole nation stood as one. It was not the unity of the Hindu Rashtra, but it was the unity of the Democratice Republic of India, fully a secular nation. If it were the action of a Hindu Rashtra, the armies of Siva Sena, B.J.P.,

R.S.S, V.H.P., Baj rang Dal etc. should have marched forward to re-capture the areas occupied by the Chinese.

Statement P. 308

"It is only when the people are inspired with this age-old national vision that it is possible to make them rise to heights of selflessness, sacrifice and heroism and to forge them into a single living national entity from one end of the land to the other and build up an unassailable national strength. And when we do that, we will be able to humble not one but ten Chinas."

Comment

Because of Hindutva, never in the annals of history, has India stood as one nation against any foreign enemy. If Hidutvavada is abandoned and the cry of Hindu Rashtra is given up, all Indians without any difference , can stand united as one and become a formidable strength to any foreign aggressor.

Statement P. 309

"It is some solace that , though late, they have realised the truth that strength alone count in this world of conflict and that the world understands only the language of strength. Let us pray to God that their present realisation will not be short lived."

Comment

It is true that the world understands only the language of strength. But is strength everything? No country is safe in the world. Terrorism has became the way of the world. Recently in India, Mahatma Gandhi, Indira Gandhi, Rajiv Gandhi, Phoolan Devi, Graham Staines, many Dalits, Priests, Evengelists, nuns were killed by terrorists. How many are killed in Kashmir? In the west Asia, Palestinians want to erase Iaraelites and use terrorism for that. In India, Hindutvavadis want to finish off Muslims and Christians. So they unleash terrorism. The Sangh Parivar, B.J.P. ,

R.S.S., Siva Sena, V.H.P. , Bharanga Dal and so on are terrorists. The naxels are terrorists. Any militant organisation other than the national defence force is terrorist. In the recent world, terrorists are stronger than the established governments. What havoc a few terrorists could make against the might of the U.S.A. So mere strength does not count much. Then what is it that counts? A people who depend upon the Almighty God are more safe than the people who depend upon their own strength.

Statement P. 314

'So also is the case of nations when 'blessed' with foreign aggression. It is here that the Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh comes upto play the role of creating the necessary will and competence in our people to turn this difficulty into an opportunity. The Sangh has been straining every nerve and fibre to rouse and mobilise Jana-Sakthi by imparting life giving ideals, qualities and character required for a powerful, positive and perennial national life. We are confident that every Swayam sevak of our organisation will be found in the forefront when the hour of people's action and sacrifce comes. At the same time, we do not want to come forward as a group because in times of war there is no necessity of any priestshood of any group or party. It would also be highly improper to parade one's sacrifices and sufferings. Because to offer one's all, even his dearest possessions, at the altar of motherland is the first and foremost duty of evey son of this soil. And it is that life spirit that we have been inculcating in the people through the Sangh since its very inception."

Comment

The above statement is very, very attractive. But these are only in words, not in deeds. The member of Swayamsevak Sangh had enough opportunity to show their patriotism. The Siachin area occupied by China is also the seat of Lord Siva of Kailasa. Siva Sena and R.S.S. do not

deserve pardon for their inactivity in releasing Siva from the occupied region. They should prove their patriotism and piety for their god by their valour. Similarly, the Kargil war would not have taken place, if the patriots took necessary steps to prevent the situation. Hundreds of Pakistani Muslims risk their life by entering into the Indian land ready to sacrifice their life for their country. **But none of the cowardly Hindutvavadi patriots are willing to go to that area.** In Kashmir hundreds of Pandits are suffering at the hands of the Muslim terrorists. But the so-called patriots, ready to lay their life for the nation, do not dare to go to Kashmir to help their brethren. When money and materials were flowing into Bhuj after the earth quake, the patriots were busy pocketing the aids. When the flow of money stopped, they left the place with their booty. Though these spineless scared cats shudder to face the aggressors, they are actively engaged in erasing churches, murdering saintly evangelists, missionaries, nuns and thus try to show off their valour. They are terrorists against the unarmed and imbeciles not against powerful enemies.

Statement: P. 317

"Our valiant jawans have given the lie direct to that mischievous propaganda and proved that every son of the soil inherits the blood of those peerless ancestors. They have projected before the world the real mighty image of Bharat Mata with her millions of arms raised to strike down evil forces on the face of the earth."

Comment

The writer extols the Indian Army for having won the victory against the aggressive Pakistan. Our Jawans crushed scores of Patton Tanks, crossed the cease fire line aiming Lahore and struck great victory. The writer and the Hindutvadis should note that the victory was brought in by the Indian Army, which consists of all sections of Indian people. Indian Army is not consisting of Hindutvavadis. It is not manned

by members of Sangh Parivar. It is manned by Indians- the Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs, Christian, Outcastes, Dalits and all sections of Indian People. Herein is projected the mighty image of Bharat Mata with her millions of arms. That means Bharat Mata is none other than the people of India, who consist of Hindu, Muslim, Sikh, Christian and all others. What we should bear in mind is that Bharat mata is not the property of Hindus and patriotism is not the monopoly of Aryan Hindus. In the battle against China and Pakistan, members of all sections of Indian population sacrificed their lives. None of them sacrificed his life for Hindu Rashtra, but they laid their lives for their motherland, Bharat Mata.

Statement P. 322 - 326

The writer argues for a full fledged war against Pakistan and annexation of all parts of this sub-continent.

Statement P. 327

The writer argues that India should produce atom bombs.

Comment:

The people of Bharat have no desire to annex any more territory.

Statement P. 356

'I have a suspicion that some foreign hand is behind this systematic and subtle propaganda'.

Comment

There is no need of any propaganda. The Harijans need not be told that they are suffering. It is their daily experience. The only remedy is to remove the cause for their sufferings. The time-old outlook of Hindutva should change. The Harijans are looked upon as two -legged animals by the caste Hindus.

It is not the British or any other foreign power that divided the Indian community into castes and outcastes. It is not the foreigners who

asked the Hindus to elevate Brahmins to godhead and bestow upon them authority and special privileges as against the other castes. It is not any foreign power that asked the caste people to illtreat the outcastes and mete them out an animal treatment. Untouchability was started and practised not by any foreigners. Instead of trying to find fault with foreigners, why not conduct a self - examination, find out one's own fault and take remedial measures!

Statement P. 357

"There are persons who are in real bad circumstances in all sections of society. There is no caste which is without its own poor, the needy and the destitute. It would, therefore, be proper that privileges should be based on the economic condiditons of the people . That will ease out matters and the heart rending among others that the so called Harijans alone are enjoying privileges will also be removed."

Comment

It is true that there are persons very poor in all societies. They all deserve help. But the case of the Harijans is different. They are a people who have been suffering under the yoke of Hinutva from time immemorial. They deserve special consideration and especial treatment. Take the case of Brahmins. What is the percentage of poor among them? What is the percentage of the people below poverty line among the caste Hindus? At least ninety percent of the Harijans are below poverty line and that is why they should deserve special consideration and treatment.

The poor among Brahmins are few and they can be helped by the Brahmins themselves. No help from the government is required for that. So also the case with the other castes. But in case of Harijans, who can help them? The rich among them are not that much rich to help their brethren. Hence the whole burden falls upon the government and the society as a whole. There is no jusitce in crying that Harijan alone are enjoying privileges. The government has to step in just because the caste people are not fulfilling their duty towards the Harijans.

Statement P. 358

"Working for the economic and political betterment of those who had been relegated to the background and bringing them upto stand shoulder to shoulder with all the rest of our people is a Herculean task. But this in itself is not enough; for, such equality may be brought about without shedding the feelings of separateness. What we should desire and strive for, is not merely economic and political equality - we want a real change, a complete integration. This change is beyond the power of politics, of governmental plans. It is impossible to achieve it by the clever manipulation of political parties dealing in patch work in the name of integration."

Comment

If a complete integration of the people of India is to be brought about, it can be achieved only by the Indianisation of the Aryans in India. As long as they hold on to their Vedas, national integration is impossible in India. Even Bhagavad Gita should be corrected. The portions which divide human beings into several castes and sub castes should be deleted. The verses which speak of Brahminical superiority should be removed. The philosphy of the three 'gunas' Satva, Rajo and Tamo - should be discarded. The whole humanity should be considered as one and the same.

The writer says that it is the Britishers who set non - Brahmins against Brahmins. Is the hatred for Brahmin the creation of foreigners? No. The seeds of hatred is in Brahminism itself. When Brahmins claim that they are a special breed, distinct from all other human beigs, that they are the nearest to God, that they are superior to others, that all the property of God belongs to them, that all others should serve them, naturally those who are subjected to these claims hate the suzerainty of Brahmins. Then, Brahmins allow certain privileges to the castes one below the other. This causes hatred between castes. What to say of the

outcastes! They hated all the outcastes. In the previous days, the people did not dare to give vent to their feelings. Now the people dare to express their feelings boldly. The hatred for Hindus is not continued by any outsiders, but it is inherent in the religious set up of India.

The writer suggests that by conducting bhajans, keertans, festivals, recital of stories from Ramayana and Mahabharata the cleavage can be bridged. Such patchwork will not remedy the situation. The root causes must be dealt with and removed so that a permanent unity, oneness, can be achieved.

Statement: P.361-362

"See how the foreign missionaries are working in these areas. What a tremendous amount of effort and perseverance they display! What an amount of trouble they cheerfully undergo! They come from far-off land go and settle in the deep jungles. They live in their small houses just like the local people. They mix with them, learn their languages and become one with the local habits and customs. They behave with sweetness and sympathy. Can we not take a leaf out of their experience and do something?"

Comment

No. We Indians will not do it, because our vedas do not contain the most important mantra, the mantra of love. Read the whole vedas. You will not find the word 'love'. The missionaries come here not to conquer India, but to fulfil their duty towards humanity. Out of Christian love, they suffer any type of difficulties, forsaking all comforts of their life, to raise their brothers who suffer in poverty and misery. Our Indian philosophy does not know the true meaning of the word 'sacrifice'. To a Hindu, 'sacrifice' means to give something to the gods. The real sacrifice is to foresake one's belongings, one's comfort, in order to mitigate the grievance of others. The concept of the Hindu philosophy of sacrifice should change from god-orientation to human welfare. Service to

man is service to God. In no other way can man serve God and propitiate Him. Instead of wasting time and money for conducting sacrifices spend your money and energy in ameliorting the misery of other people.

Statement: P. 362

'The Hindus should not remain ignorant of the potency of numbers. They should all without fail, register themselves with the census. Further all Hindus, to whatever sect, caste, clan or tribe they may belong, must put down their community as 'Hindu' only. Our brothren in far Eastern region - they may be Naga, Khasi, Jayantia, Mikir, Mizo etc.,. - all should designate their community as Hindu only, whatever the differences in their ways of dress, language, food and local customs. The basic truth about our single social entity should always be borne in mind."

Comment

Playing with census was practised by high-castes from very long time. Whoever did not come under the columns laid down in the census sheets were put under the column 'Hindu'. Thus the number of Hindus swelled with non-Hindus. In fact, there are not more than ten percent Hindus in India. Really, the Hindus are the people belonging to the four castes. Outcastes and tribals are not at all Hindus. The caste scribes during the British regime played tricks and managed to swell the number of Hindus by adding the Dalits and Tribals under Hindus. In the latest census, even the Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, and Parsis are counted as Hindus. According to census , there are no Sikhs in India, no Buddhists, no Jains, no Parsis in India. All have been converted to Hinduism. What a wonderful trick! In an easy stroke Hindutuva has washed away the Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains and Parsis! The poor people. They do not even know that Sikhism, Buddhism, Jainism and Parsi faith have been swept away from India! This is one of the feats of Hindutuva magic played from the very beginning

Statement: P. 377

"And this is also what Gandhiji has foreseen for the future of our Dharma . He says, "Hinduism is a relentless pursuit after Truth, and if today it has become moribund, inactive, irresponsive to growth, it is because we are fatigued, and as soon as the fatigue is over, Hinduism will burst forth upon the world with a brilliance perhaps unknown before."

Comment

Gandhiji and the writer agree that Hinduism is in a pursuit after truth. Hinduism has not seen the truth. That means Hindus are still in darkness and they want to see the truth. They continue their prayer.

Asato ma sat gamaya	Lead me from unreal to real
Tmaso ma jyotir gamaya	Lead me from darkness to light
Mrityor ma amritam gamaya	Lead me from death to life.

They should understand that their search for truth is in vain. Nobody can search and find out God. All efforts to find out God is in vain. That is why in spite of all tapasyas, the rishis and sanyasins could never find God. If they claim that they have seen God, what they have seen is the other god.

However God has revealed Himself to mankind. "That was the true light, which lighteth every man that cometh into the world. He was in the world, and the world was made by him, and the world knew him not. He came into his own and his own received him not. But as many as received him, to them gave he power to become the sons of God, even to them that believe on his name" (John 1:9-12).

"For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who suppress the truth in unrighteousness. Because what may be known of God is manifest in them, because God has shown it to them. For since the creation of the

world His invisible attributes are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even His eternal power and Godhead, so that they are without excuse. Because, although they knew God, they did not glorify Him as God, nor were thankful, but became futile in their thoughts and their foolish hearts were darkened, professing to be wise they became fools, and changed the glory of the incorruptible God into an image made like corruptible man and birds and four-footed animals and creeping things. Therefore God also gave them up to uncleaness, in the lusts of their hearts, to dishonour their bodies among themselves, who exchanged the truth of God for the lie, and worshipped and served the creature rather than the Creator, who is blessed forever. *Amen.*

For this reason God gave them up to vile passions. For even their women exchanged the natural use for what is against nature. Likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust for one another, men with men committing what is shameful, and receiving in themselves the penalty of their error which was due. And even as they did not like to retain God in their knowledge, God gave them over to a debased mind, to do those things which are not fitting.

Being filled with all unrighteousness, sexual immorality, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness, full of envy, murder, strife, deceit, evil-mindedness; they are whisperers, backbiters, haters of God, violent, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents, undiscerning, untrustworthy, unloving, unforgiving, unmerciful; who, knowing the righteous judgement of God, that those who practice such things are deserving of death, not only do the same but also approve of those who practice them" (Romans 1:18-32).

The Hindutuavadis are still searching for the Truth. But they do not listen to the proclamation of Jesus Christ saying, "I am the way, the truth and the life" (John 14:6). God revealed himself to mankind in the person of Jesus Christ. "In him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily" (Colossians 2:9).

Mankind need not waste their energy any more in search of Truth. To Hindutuvavadis , the name Jesus Christ is obnoxious, nauseating, sickening. They want only Rama and Krishna who wield weapons for destruction. They cannot love the Almighty God who is love. Jesus said that he is the Good Shepherd who is ready to lay his life for his sheep. He loves saints and sinners alike. He stands ready to forgive the sins of any who will repent and turn away from his sinful ways. He has warned the people of the earth that a day has been appointed to judge the sinners. Being a just God, he will have to revenge the wrongdoers. "It is appointed for man once to die and thereafter the judgement" (Hebrew 9:27).

I would advise every Hindu to stop the vain exercise of searching God. Instead search the scriptures. The Bible contains the the words of eternal life.

To be away from Jesus Christ is to be away from the eternal life. To be away from Jesus is to be away from the light. To be away from the true light is to be in darkness, under the clutches of Satan, the power of darkness. And darkness leads to eternal damnation. So, the wise should turn themselves to the Light, the Life and the Truth.

Statement - P. 392 - 393

The first thing that strikes the eye in our method is its day-to-day programme. There is an open playground. Under a saffron flag groups of youths and boys are absorbed in variety of Bharatiya games. Resounding shouts of joyous enthusiasm fill the air. The sight of the daring young men pressing forward with the cry Kabadi, Kabaddi' on their lips thrills the heart. The leader's whistle or order has a magical effect on them; there is instant perfect order and silence. Then exercises follow-wielding the lathi, Suryanamaskar, marching, etc. The spirit of collective effort and spontaneous discipline pervades every programme. Then they sit down and sing in chorus songs charged with patriotism.

Discussions follow. They delve deep into the problems affecting the national life. And finally, they stand in rows before the flag and recite the prayer:

(Many salutation to Thee, O loving methoerland!) whose echoes fill the air and stir the soul. "Bharat Mata Ki jai' uttered in utmost earnest furnishes the finishing and inspiring touch to the entire programme.

Throughout the length and breadth of Hindustan, not only in towns and cities but in far-off hamlets, hills and dales, these inspiring scenes and soul-stirring songs greet us regularly and punctually at the time of sunrise, sunset or at night every day. We call it "Shakha'.

The real spirit of our work will be understood when one comes in contact with the Shakha. Once a dignitary came to preside over one of our functions at Nagpur. He frankly confessed, "I was highly sceptical about the work of the Sangh all these years. I used to confront its workers with a thousand and one questions. But today, having seen the Sangh myself, all my doubts and suspicions have vanished." Practically every place that has a Shakha has a similar story to tell.

The reason for this is simple. The Shakha is the living practice of principles and not a bundle of dry preachings. The picture of earnest and devoted men, young and old, engaged in the daily sadhana gives an eloquent, though silent, message of the work which no spoken or written word can ever adequately convey.

Comment

There is nothing spiritual or godly about the training that is imparted in the sakhas. The training has no humanitarian aspect at all. The training in the sakhas is training for conducting Jihad. The training in the Sakhas

is how to wield weapons to cut away the heads of the neighbours. Hindutva which accalims their religion as the best, should have thought of imparting philanthropic, charitable, altruistic, public oriented teachings in the Sakhas.

I would recommend the R.S.S. leaders to teach the Sermon on the Mount in the Sakhas. "Blessed are the poor in spirit, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven. Blessed are those who mourn, for they shall be conforted. Blessed are the meek, for they shall inherit the earth. Blessed are those who hunger and thirst for righteousness, for they shall be filled. Blessed are the merciful for they shall see God. Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall see God. Blessed are the peacemakers, for they shall be called sons of God. Blessed are those who are persecuted for righteousness' sake, for theirs is the kingdom of heaven. Blessed are you when they revile and persecute you, and say all kinds of evil against falsely for My sake. Rejoice and be exceedingly glad, for great is your reward in heaven, for so they persecuted the prophets who were before you." I would say that Mathew chapters, 5,6, and 7 contain the best, incomparable doctrines the world has ever received . If these are taught in the Sakhas, the face of India will change. There will be mutual love, co-operation and goodwill among the people. The cleft between the castes and casteless will disappear. The disparity between the haves and have-nots will vanish. The envy and spite between the members of different faiths will fade away. There will be no more Hindu-Muslim riot, no more atrocities against Christians. There will be peace and plenitude in our nation. The Sakhas must continue the training, but with different, motto. It should mould our youths to live a life for the national well -being.

In this world full of turmoil the present training in the Sakhas can only add fuel to the fire. And we know that fire cannot extinguish fire.

Statement P. 395

"Once a prominent religious leader asked me, "Which is the text you follow?" I replied, If we confine ourselves to the word of a book, then we will be no better than Muslims and Christians whose religions stand on a book. And so our devotion is to the ideal and to nothing less as nothing else."

Comment

The Muslims and Christians stand on their books. But Hinduism has no book to stand upon. The vedas and puranas are self-contradictory. A Hindu can be a theist or an atheist. He can be a monothiest or polytheist. He can be an advaitist or dvaitist. He can be a Vaishanvite or Sivaite. He can himself assume that he is god. Hinduism is anything to anyone. It is an 'As you like it religion'. Is it a religion at all? **The devotion of Hindutvavadis is only to power, power against co-citizens, power against Dalits, Dravidians, Tribals and orginal inhabitants.** They have no fear of God, love of mankind or high priciples in life. So no need for any book.

Statement P. 396

"Crucible of National Reorganisation

It is in the sanctifying presence of the Bhagawa Dhwaj that the day to-day activities of Sakha are carried on. All sections of our people gather there. Forgetting all superficial distinctions of language, province, caste, community, party or sect, they gather as children of a common motherland and play in her sacred dust. They pray to the motherland in deep veneration. They resolve to lay down their lives for her glory. As they play and sing, a feeling of oneness brings them together. As they perform exercises together and march together, their hearts begin to throb in unison."

Comment

The devotion of the members of the sangh is to Bhagawa Dhwaj and motherland. Both of them are lifeless. One is a flag and the other is a portion of the earth. There is no goddess in the form of Mother India in existence. **The swayamsevaks pray to motherland which is only a foolish act. One should worship not the created, but the Creator.** The land of India is not created by a goddess. It is created by the Creator who has created the earth, heaven and all that is visible and invisible to human eye. It is foolishness to worship anything other than the Almighty God. So there is nobody to hear or answer the Sangh's prayer. However, such a rehearsal is best suiting to a militant group who can practise terrorism on others.

Statement P. 397

"Raksha Bandhan (Shrawana poornima) reminds us that we are children of a common motherland. We tie Rakhi a symbol of fraternity on this day".

Comment

The practice of tying Rakhi had started something like this. In the olden days, women were a precious item. Women used to be stolen away, captured and taken away and many a times wars were fought for women. In those days when life was not secure for women and an attack was imminent, to give some courage to the women folk, those near and dear reposed their confidence in men and rakhi was tied on their hands. It was a promise to the women that the man will stand to rescue the girl.

This practice is no more required in the modern disciplined society. The safety of the woman folk, nay of all citizens, is the duty of the government. The police force is maintained to keep the safety of the citizens.

Statement: P. 397

"Makar Sankramon , which marks the transition in nature from 'darkness to light', holds for us the message to emerge from the darkness of selfishness to the light of national consciousness."

Comment

Makar Samkramon marks the transition in nature from 'darkness to light' for **North India** . At the same time it puts South India from light to darkness. Not only that, the transition from darkness to light lasts only for six months. The darkness comes again. So there is nothing to be happy about this natural phenomenon. **But the darkness in the hearts of the Hindutuvavadis should turn and light should shine in their hearts for ever. That day will be the blessed day.**

Statement : P. 403

"Then, where is the need for a separate organisation, such as yours (i.e., such as R.S.S.) in our country and for the day today training that you are carrying on!

But there (in Europe, America etc.) everyone is a born patriot. There is no need even to mention that particular person is a patriot just as we do not add the prefix 'man' while referring to an individual. That he is a man is taken for granted."

Comment

The writer goes on to say, " But in our country we often hear the word 'patriot' as a special word of the tribute applied to certain persons." Why this is so? Patriot means a person inspired by love of one's country. In Europe, America etc., the country belongs to all the citizens. In India, the land belongs to the Brahmins, say the castes. Status and power in society is graded. The Brahmins command the devotion of the lower castes. In such circumstances, how can the lower castes have any

devotion to the country? The outcastes are mere slaves of the caste people. They are treated as two-legged animals. What do the animals know about the country. What kind of love can they have for the country? Patriotism implies the feeling 'My country'. The Shudras and Outcastes do not have the 'my-feeling' about India and hence the question of patriotism does not arise in their life.

Statement P. 404

Once in 1937, in a province having the Congress ministry, police firing was ordered to supress a political agitation. A gentleman wrote to the congress president (Pt. Jawharlal Nehru) asking how a Government run by Congress committed to non- violence could resort to firing. The Congress president replied , "Our policy of non-violence is applicable only towards British and not towards our people." And that gentleman published the correspondence in papers."

Comment

But what is Sangh Parivar today? Do they have any faith in non-violence? Pt. Nehru out of necessity practised non-violence at least against Britishers. Here in India, Sangh Parivar has turned out to be a militant group. They want to annihilate Muslims and Christians in India. Are all Muslims fanatic and terrorists ? Are all the Muslims standing for the Jihad? Just as the majority of Hindus are not militant, so also majority of Muslims are peace-loving people. Indian Christians have no political ambition and there is neither militancy nor terrorism practised by them. It is only a minority of Hindus and Muslims who create problem for this great nation. As long as Swayamsevaks will lead this nation, the situation will continue. The various parties allied with N.D.A. should know this. **The government should be carried on not by swayamsevaks, but by Janasevaks.**

Statement P. 404

"It is to root out the basic malady that the Sangh has evolved

the method of day-to-day training, the day-to-day inculcation of qualities such as spirit of sacrifice, discipline and national devotion that go to build a resurgent and unified national life.

Comment

It is absurd, ludicrous, to state that the Sangh is training the members to inculcate in them a spirit of sacrifice. Ofcourse in Hinduism human sacrifice, 'narabali' was a common practice. Even today here and there people perform "Narabali' for unearthing hidden treasure, for satru samhara (eliminating the enemies) and other such hideous motives. The Sangh is training the members to conduct such 'narabalis' of Dalits, other Backward Classes, Muslims, Christians and non-Hindus. The Sangh is training its members to resurge the old practice of terrorism and atrocity on lower sections and non-Hindus. A noble organisation ought not to train its members to practise sacrifice but to perform self-sacrifice. That means they should give training to forgo their comforts for the sake of others. Forgetting and forgiving, they should try to love the enemies and serve them to create a glorious Bharat.

Statement P. 405

"Therefore we say, let us come together in Sakha daily and regularly. It is common experience that if a particular idea is repeated at a fixed hour regularly it goes deep into our being and becomes an inseparable part of our character. Hence the untiring stress on regularity and punctuality in the Sangh."

Comment

Dr. Goebbels told, "Repeat a lie hundred times, and it becomes truth." This is what Hitler practised to convert Germans. Same is the method R.S.S. is following to convert people into Hindu Fascism. When youngsters are asked to assemble in Sakhas everyday at a particular time to repeat the idea that Muslims and Christians are not Indians and

they should be exterminated from India, these ideas go deep into the hearts of the swayamsevaks and they become convinced that it is their born duty to do away with Muslims and Christians from India. The ideas of Hindu Rashtra and "India for Hindus' are imprinted deeply in the hearts of the swayamsevaks. Such ideals have coverted many innocent people as Godses and Dhara Singhs who under the darkness of ignorance think that they are born to kill off Muslims, Christians, the Dalits and backward classes. When hundreds of them get together it is easy for them to fell a Babari Masjid and other places of worship and to commit all sorts of atrocities. After burning alive the victims, the culprits get a self-satisfaction that they have fulfilled their duty. Others come up to regard them as modern Ramas and Krishnas. The Fascists of Germany did not feel even a prick in their conscience when they suffocated to death six houndred thousand Jews in gas chambers. The Sakhas take pride in inculcating such ignominious qualities in their members. Is it not shameful to hear Indian Prime Minister say that he is a Swayamsevak? Not only the Prime Minister, but also all the people in governmental authority should know that they ought to be jenasevaks and not Swayamsevaks. **Swayamseva is selfishness whereas jenseva is noble.**

Statement - P. 405

"That is the nature of the mind. Habit is formed by the regular repetition of an idea in thought, speech and action. Here regularity counts much. Irregularity destroys the formation of good character."

Comment

What is good character? Is there any teaching against idolatory, witchcraft, sorcery, hatred, rivalry, murders, spiritism, revelry, polytheism, sacrifices to petty gods, caste differences, persecution of outcastes and non-Hindus, drunkeness and consumption of narcotics? Are the noble ideas of love, peace, long-suffering, gentleness meekness, ser-

vice, kindness, forgiveness, and so on taught in the Sakhas? What type of people are the Sakhas producing? Are they not self-elating boasting, hard-hearted, cruel, brutish, full of hatred, quarrelsome people? What good can they do to the society? How do they react to the ideas, "Love your neighbour as yourself" or "Do unto others as you would like others do unto you". Have they any idea of fraternity? Can they count the whole human society as one, despite several dissimilarities? Even the all-renouncing yogis are taking up arms against innocent, god-fearing people! On page 408 the writer quotes, 'Rashtra devo Bhava', be a devotee to nation-god. What a foolishness! Is Rashtra a Deva? Common sense should teach us that Rashtra is not a god at all. The Sakhas should first of all try to seek out the true God. Once you find the living God, the character building, patriotism and all the rest will be added unto you. Once a man gets in contact with the living God, his personality changes. He becomes a useful citizen with all the noble qualities.

Statement P. 414

"They (swayamsevaks) are trained in the spirit of the saying of Tukaram: 'Now I exist only for the service of others'

Comment

Every swayamsevak ought to think and see who are there 'others'. Are they Brahmins only or Hindus only? Is your duty only to the members of your religion?

Statement: P. 422

The Technique that succeeds.

1) "I explained to him the simple programme of our sakha and said, "Kabaddi sums up our whole training."

Comment:

Kabaddi of Sangh Parivar is not an innocent game. It is the training group for comitting murder. Those who play are asked to suppose that

the opponent is a Muslim or Christian. He should be overpowered and killed to please the goddess of murder, Kali. Shout Kali, Kali and finish off the enemy. This training is similar to the training which Muslims impart to their youth by the name Jihad. Both the training of the Hindus as well as the Muslims are devilish. One is to propitiate goddess Kali and the other is to propitiate Allah who will reward the murderers with women and wine."

Statement: P. 422-423

2. *Guru. We, in sangh, have therefore chosen a symbol which would at once reflect the highest and the noblest in our national heritage. And that is the sacred Bhagawad Dhwaj, Yajna-sacrifice, symbolished. The presiding deity of yajna is fire. Flames represents the fire and the sacred Bhagawa flag is the symbol of the orange-coloured sacrificial flames.*

Comment

Do Yajna or sacrifice means shedding and offering blood to the deity. It involves propitation of god or goddess by offering the blood of the enemies. Who are the enemies? Muslims and Christians.

Statement P. 423

"We are the devotees of Shraddha-faith-and not of supertition. We are devotees of knowledge and not of ignorance. Our seers and sages did serve penance to get rid of ignorance and to attain the light of true knowledge. Darkness represents ignorance and the sun represents the light of knowledge. In our ancient literature the sun-Suryanarayana-is described as sitting in a chariot drawn by seven horses. And before he arrives on the sky, the saffron - coloured flag fluttering from his chariot appears on the eastern horizon in shining colours. It is symbolic of the saffron hue of the eastern effulgence at the sunrise, dispelling darkness and heralding the coming of daylight. That flag of Bhagawan Suryanarayana is the

flag of Bhagawan - God-Himself. That term later on became Bhagawa Dhwaj."

Comment

The writer says that the Aryan Hindus are a people of faith and not superstition, a people of knowledge and not ignorance. Thereafter what he narrates is all superstition only. Is it not superstition to believe that the sun is a god-Suryanarayana - and he sits in a chariot drawn by seven horses? Is sun a god, a living being? In Hindu scripture, the earth is stated to be flat. If it is true, when the sun goes to the west in the evening, how does he reach the east by the morning to resume his journey from east to west? To believe that sun-god sits in a chariot carried by seven horses is nothing but superstition. It is true that our savants and seers did severe penance to see God. But they failed in their attempt. Doing penance, tapasya, is not the way to find God.

Statement - P. 423

Thus "Bhagwa" has been the symbol of the highest principles and practices evolved over ages in this sacred land. Now, what is the attitude that we cherish while worshiping such a Guru? Offering flowers, sandal paste, waving lights form only the externals. The true import of worship, however, lies in trying to assimilate in our life the qualities symbolised by the Guru, Thus to become more and more identified with the Guru would be the real worship. There is an old command which says that he who worships Siva should become Shiva himself - Shivo Bhutva Shivam Yajet."

Comment

The Sangh has not looked upon any particular individual as Guru. The qualities of a Guru is that the Guru is placed on a pedestal equal to God. Such a Guru cannot be found among men. Therefore, the sangh has chosen a symbol as Guru which is Bhagawa Dhwaj. That means

Bhagwa Dhwaj is the Guru of the sangh.

The presiding deity of Yajna is fire. The flame of fire represents the fire and the sacred Bhagwa Flag is the symbol of the orange-coloured sacrificial flames. The flag of Bhagawan Suryanarayana is the flag of Bhagawan-God-Himself. That term is Bhagwa Dhwaj.

Since the flame represents the fire and the flag carries the colour of the fire representing fire, the Bhagawa Dhwaj must be representing Fire-god. Thus, the Guru of the Sangh should be Fire-god. But then the writer says that Bhagawad Dwaj is the flag of Suryanarayana, the sun-god. Firegod and sun-god are two different gods. Doubt remains as to which god is the guru of swayamsevaks - Fire-god or Sun - god.

To a man of common sense, neither fire nor sun is God. God created the sun, moon, stars, earth, fire, air, water and so on. A created thing cannot be God. Only the Omnipotent, Omniscient, Omnipresent God is the real God. The Sangh has selected false gods as its Guru.

Statement P. 423

"The highest stage of human development is represented by the fourth and the final ashrama - the sanyasa - which demands a spirit of total renunciation and service. The Sanyasi has to tread unflinchingly on the fiery path of self-sacrifice. And a constant reminder of his sacrificial life, the Sanyasi wears the Bhagwa.

Comment

We have seen that neither fire nor sun is God. So Bhagawa Dhwaj represents only false gods. When sanyasis wear Bhagwa, they are only false sanyasis. That is evident from their life and actions too. Why should a sanyasi who has renounced all worldy things carry a trident? The present day Indian Sanyasis are short-tempered, easily provoked and ever ready to fight. This is no renunciation. A person who carries a weapon is a person with criminal intention. He is a criminal. We can look upon our sanyasis only as criminals.

Statement P. 423

"Thus 'Bhagwa' has been the symbol of the highest principles and practices evolved over ages in the sacred land."

Comment

If a lesson is taken from fire, the fire deals with everyone alike. Fire does not distingush man from man. It burns in the kitchen not only of Brahmins but also of Chandalas. Fire treats Brahmin and Chandala alike. It treats Hindu, Muslim, Sikh, Christian, Dalits backward classes and all alike. Similarly, the sun has also no partiality, no nepotism. God created the sun to shine upon everybody equally. It does its function most satisfactorily.

Jesus taught, "They love greetings in the market places and to be called by men, Rabbi, Rabbi. But be not ye called Rabbi; for one is your Master (guru), even Christ, and all ye are brethren." For man there should only be one Guru and that Guru is Almighty God.

Statement - P. 432

"We look upon our nation as our Chosen Deity. Our dedication, our offering of all that we have, should be made in a spirit of worshipping the Nation-God. Then how can we ask for anything in return?"

Comment

Can anyone chose his deity? In a toy-shop, many toys are kept. It is for the buyer to choose from among them a toy of his liking. Are we to select a god or goddess from among a large stock of gods and godesses? True God is our creator. We belong to him. We have no choice, but to belong to him. So also, we cannot create a god. The writer introduces a newly created god, the Nation-God. This is a false god. All the gods of Hinduism are false gods, for they are created by human beings.

The National-God is no real God. It is only a supposition. It is futile to worship a supposition. The True God is Spirit. And, true worshippers should worship God in spirit.

Statement P. 432

"These days even worship of God is carried on by many in the same fashion. They pray 'putran dehi' 'dhanam dehi'-, Oh God! give me sons, give me wealth - and so on. If there is a demand for something, then it is not worship. It is carrying on mere business. Worship is for the sake of worship, for the very joy of it. If at all we pray for anything, it is for greater capacity and greater worthiness to worship, greater strength to pursue the path of service and sacrifice.

Comment

This is a study which should be driven deep into the hearts of the people.

Statement P. 437

"The feelings of a worker with the true spirit of service will be like those of a son while serving his ailing mother. We are all chidlren of our society and whatever service we do should be imbued with that pure and sublime spirit."

Comment

This is great lesson which the swayamsevaks should get imprinted in their mind. But what is happening in India? After a fellow-being is targetted and finished off, the so called patriots are chalking out plans to tear the next prey. Is this the spirit of service? Is this patriotism?

Statement P. 439

"Our educated young men cannot even bear the atmosphere of our own villages, what to speak of humanity! Now there is a cry,

Go back to villages". But who listens to that? When they see the stark naked humanity in the villages, all their talk gets frozen. Once a young man went to take up the work of village upliftment. He was accustomed to gingelly oil, but people there were habituated to linseed oil. He could not simply bear the smell of that oil. It appeared as if that horrible small pervaded the whole atmosphere! He could not eat, he could not sleep. He could not stay there even for a day and he hastened back."

Comment

That is because the gentleman had not the spirit of service in him. **Spirit of service is a product of love. Without love, service is impossible.**

Statement P. 441

"Must we substitute tube-light for the sun because the sun is old, indeed very old, and tube-light a recent device to dispel darkness?

Comment

The writer says that we must inculcate "feelings of intense love, pride and adoration for our national life in its manifold aspects - its religion, history, heritage, philosophy of life, aspiration, points of faith and honour." He complains that people think that all that is old is bad. His complaint is baseless. Nobody thinks that all that is old is bad. But is it not foolish to think that all that is old is gold? There are many old things very good which should be adhered to. At the same time there are many old things which should be replaced by modern things. Take the example of tube-light. Can the tube-light replace the sunlight? No. But wherever sunlight is not available or not adequate, tube-lights can dispel the darkness. We should not foresake tube-light simply because it is new, because it is not old. There are so many aspects in our religion, philosophy of life, aspiration, points of faith, national outlook and so on which are very old and they must be replaced with or supplemented

with new ideas and ideals. Addiction to the old is mental slavery. A statement need not be correct just because it has been pronounced by a great rishi, for example the laws of Manu. Such pronouncements which need correction should be corrected without hesitation, without fear. The world has progressed only by rejecting many old things and replacing them by new thinking and new things. A static society cannot progress. Discard or remove the undesireable old thoughts and things and adopt new thoughts and things.

Statement P. 444

"Similarly if the life-centre of Bharatiya life is kept outside Bharat, if cannot survive. If anybody cherishes extra territorial loyalties, we call him a traitor. Would it not then be a greater disloyalty to our country if a person derives inspiration from extra-territorial ideals?

Comment

If anybody cherishes extra-territorial layalties, he is definitely a traitor. But the case of ideas are not so. Ideas have no territorial limit. Ideas and ideals should be adopted for the betterment of our society. Ninety percent of our progress is due to such adoption. Take the case of steam engine. It is not Bharatiya. Instead of bullock cart, we are employing motor cars and trains. There is no treason about it. Democracy is not Bharatiya. It is far better than monarchy. The ideas of equality, fraternity and liberty are not Bharatiya. But these words have given a new life to Indian society. Freedom of conscience, freedom of thought, freedom of speech, religious freedom and so on are not Bharatiya. But these ideas remove the stagnancy of Indian society. These are ideas of universal nature which is good for every nation in the world. To reject these ideas is due to fear and inferiority complex. All that is good should be accepted and assimilated. We should not say that equality is a good idea because it is American or European. Once the idea is assimilated, it is

indigenous, not foreign. Do we refuse to use oil saying that it is Muslim, imported from Arab countries? By the use of oil, do we become Muslim? Bhagawad Gita contains many valuable teachings. The Bible and the Koran also contain very valuable teachings. It is foolish to shun the one for the other. All the great works should be studied and the universal truths should be assimilated. By studying Bible or by becoming a Christian one need not become a vassal of the Pope. Politically, let the Pope remain a Roman and a Christian in India remain an Indian.

The communist ideas were formed not by Russians, but by a Jew by the name Karl Marx. Israel who are Jews have not accepted communism. Their form of government is democracy. But Russia, an orthodox Christian country and China, a Buddhist country, accepted communism. Had these communist countries followed the Marxian line of thinking and put it into practice, perhaps the utopian society which Marx dreamt would have come into existence. But human weaknesses, the lust of the flesh, the lust of the eyes and the pride of life - made it impossible to put into practice the real communism. Away from God, communism turned into most cruel, inhuman autocracy.

Both Russia and China are communists. But politically Russians and Chinese are entirely two. China is independent of Russian communism. The Chinese are very patriotic and they strictly adhere to their nationality as Chinese. There are communits in India. Very often they forget that they are Indians and slant towards Russia and China. One should not betray the interest of his motherland. One may adopt the ideas from anywhere, but when putting into practice, it should be according to the taste of the local citizens.

Statement :P. 445

"There are some eminent personalities in our country, who write and speak highly of our culture and philosophy and proclaim that we can lead the world on that basis. But ironically enough it is

they who clamour for the retention of English and for the adoption of all foreign systems and customs."

Comment

With the knowledge of English one can go almost anywhere in the world and get on. But a man who knows only Sanskrit or Hindi cannot have access to many places. English being more common in almost all parts of the world, to drop that language out will be disadvantageous to us. It was only English language which sowed the seed of independence in the minds of our people. In winning the independence, English language and English ideas have played remarkable part. It is the English system of government which bound India as one nation. The democratic form of govenment is a boon which English has given to us. By boycotting English language, dress, commodities, military equipment, medicines etc. we will only be losers. If English medicines are boycotted in favour of Arya vaidya, millions of poor people will die. Why are our Acharyas, ministers and elites flying to America for treatment? It is only because the English system of treatment is efficacious than Indian. Why swayamsevaks use shorts when playing kabaddi? Half pants are English, but we use it because it is more suitable. Just because they use half-pants their devotion to the motherland does not dwindle.

The writer wants to confine our games to Kabaddi. Kabaddi is not our national game. Chess is our national game, the game played between Pandavas and Kaurawas. Why do they not prefer chess. It improves the intellectual capacity of people. The Hindutvavadis are making use of kabaddi as an easy instrument to spread animosity towards other religionists.

There are many many games. Let the people play chess, football, volley ball, tennis, cricket, kabaddi or anything else. The games and sports can instill the feeling of oneness in the participants.

Statement P- 446

"Our forefathers were great, commanding respect of the world,..... the very term Arya which they applied to themselves conveyed culture and character. They had proudly declared:

"The Arya shall never be a slave.

Let men all over the world learn their lessons in life sitting at the feet of the first born of this land."

Comment

This is a typical boasting of the Aryans. The Aryans are a selfish, proud, arrogant lot. Whether is he in India, Germany or Israel, it makes no difference.

One thing is very, very clear. The R.S.S. is not an organisation of Indian People. It is an organisation which stands for the first-born of this land. It has no concern about even the Shudras. For that matter all the members of Sangh Parivar such as B.J.P., Siva sena, V.H.P., Bajrangdal are all Aryan-oriented.

Statement P. 452

"He (Hindu missionary) must be able to look upon the entire society as a living manifestation of divinity and see in each individual the same spark of divinity irrespective of his external appearence.

Comment

If this is done by the Aryan Hindu all the differences between the castes and the outcastes, the Brahmins and the non-Brahmins, Aryans and non-Aryans, the rich and the poor, the learned and the illiterate will be wiped out. A Brahmin and a chandala will sit together and eat and chat. An Aryan and a mlecha will have marital relationship. The entire

Indian society will feel oneness. The Aryan separation from Indians will vanish and the entire Indian society will become one.

"But can the Ethiopean change his skin, or the leopard his spots?" (Jeremiah 13:23). Can Aryans come down to be equal with a mlecha? Ninety percent of Indian population are mlechas. Will the Aryans agree that the same spark of divinity is present within both the Aryans and non-Aryans?

If this is so, the caste people should be willing to share their property, money and other means and materials with the have-nots. They should come down from their high positions and fill the vacancies with the low classes. The word Aryanisation should be deleted from vocabulary and instead the word 'humanisation' should be used. The feeling that non-Hindus are traitors should be eradicated. Every Indian should be regarded as a patriot. If this is done, India will progress to glory in no time. Let us pray and hope that the Brahmins will change their attitude and the other castes their behaviour so that there will be a real wholesome national integration.

Statement P. 459

'Man by his efforts can become God'

Comment

This is a wrong and false philosophy. If any man becomes god, there will be two gods. If thousand men become god, there will be thousand gods. If all the people of the earth become gods, there will be six hundred million gods. No man can ever become God. God is the creator and man is the created. The created cannot become the creator. In the whole history of man, nobody has hitherto become God and nobody will become God in future also.

Statement : P. 460

"It is necessary for the worker to sit in solitude daily in the morning and nights and probe his mind. With a discerning intel-

lect he must find out whether any unworthy thought had entered his mind. If so, he should resolve to throw them out and become purer from the next day. He should detach his mind from unholy association and make it immersed in thoughts concerning the chosen mission of his life. It is possible that he succumbs to the same failings on the next day also. But he need not despair. He should continue the daily self searching and assertion of his holy resolve. He will, in course of time, find that his mind has become less prone to evil propensities and more attuned to the noble impulses.

Comment

This is a very valuable suggestion. I would say that not only the swayamsevaks but every man and woman of our country should make it a practice to conduct a daily probe of their mind.

Sit in a solitary place, in front of Jesus Christ, the searcher of hearts. Nothing is hidden from his eyes. He knows everything in our heart. One cannot hide anything from him.

Jesus Christ was a perfect man. One can see his imperfection, if he sits before Jesus Christ. He is holy righteous, good, faithful, true, just, guileless, sinless, spotless, innocent, harmless, meek, lowly in heart, merciful, patient, long-suffering, compassionate, benevolent, loving, self-denying, humble forgiving, perfectly sinless, saintly and self-sacrificing. When one sits at his feet, his weaknesses become evident.

Jesus has the authority to for give sins. "If we confess our sins, he is faithful and just to forgive us our sins, and to cleanse us from all unrighteouness" (1 John 1:9). This is how we build up a sinless holy personality.

It is a wrong teaching to say that man can become God. God is love. If we love one another, we can say that God lives in us (1 John 4:12). If all the people of India begin to love one another, care for each

others needs, help mutually, respect one another, then Bharat will change as a heaven upon earth. All the world will look upon Bharat for leadeship. Let the leadeship of the Sangh Parivar take up this case.

Suppose a man after assaulting his neighbour sits alone and search his heart, he will not feel guilty of assaulting the other. If he sits before Rama or Krishna, then also he will not see any fault in him for both Rama and Krishna have attacked and even killed others. But if he sits at the feet of Christ, he will feel guilty of his curel deeds. Jesus Christ is the touch-stone, who can reveal your iniquities. And if you confess your iniquities to Jesus Christ, he is good enough to forgive your sins. That is how you lead a saintly life upon this earth. Let all my countrymen humble themselves before this truth and get converted as saints to lead a glorious life upon this earth and thereafter to reach the glorious eternal abode. Repentance and receiving forgiveness is the sure way to God. Conducting yoga, tapasya, penance is futile.

Today this earth is hellish. But this hell can be converted into heaven if the character of Jesus Christ is imbued by men. The Bible says, "Let this mind be in you, which was also in Christ Jesus, who being in the form of God, Equal with God, made himself of no reputation, and took upon him the form of a servant, and was made in the likeness of men, and being found in fashion as a man, he humbled himself and became obedient unto death, even the death of the cross." No Rama, no Krishna, no Buddha, no Gandhi had suffered anything like the sufferings of Christ. Hence, he stands above all the saints and the kings of the earth. He is the King of Kings and Lord of Lords. Every knee shall bend and every head shall bow down and every tongue shall confess that Jesus Christ is the Lord. It will be wonderful if all the swayamsevaks, nay all the people of India, will sit at his feet and imbibe all the virtues from him.

The teaching of Sangh Parivar is only for establishing a hegemony of Aryans over others in this ephemeral earth. The earthly glories vanish in no time. "Let us hear the conclusion of the whole matter. Fear God,

and keep his commandments: for this is the whole duty of man. For God shall bring every work into judgement, with every secret thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil" (Ecclesiastes 12:13-14).

Jesus taught, "For what if a man profiteth, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul?" (Mathew 16:28; Mark 8:36). What is the use of gaining the whole of India by shedding innocent blood of others and thus lose your own soul? Better to suffer in this world and to reach the eternal bliss.

Statement P. 492

"Follow your own religion. But there is one substatial philosophy which does not belong exclusively to the Hindu or to the Muslim. Call it whatever you like. It says that there is one Single Power, One single existence which is Truth, which is bliss. It is the creator, sustainer and destroyer. All our conceptions of God are only our own limited conceptions of that ultimate reality. So that bed-rock of Ultimate Reality can join us all together. It does not belong to any one religion. On this account everyone can accept this as common basis. Religion is only a way of worship. This basic faith is not a mere way of worship. This is a philosophical understanding of the universe. The God of Islam, Christanity and Hinduism is thus same and we are all His devotees. As a sufi you should accept this as a reasonable basis."

Comment

The writer has put the matter in the right way. The Ultimate Reality cannot be more than one. So there cannot be more than one God. True God is Omnipotent, Omniscient, and Omnipresent. He is the Creator and Sustainer of the universe, visible and invisible to human eyes.

Statement P. 492

Q: We know that both Hindus and Muslims have a vast amount

of goodwill for each other. In spite of this, occasional frictions of varying magnitudes do occur. What steps, in your opinion, should be taken to minimise or altogether stop these?

A: One of the causes of these frictions is the cow. I do not know why the Muslims should go on harping upon their so-called right to slaughter the cow. They need not. As a matter of fact, it is not their religious injunction. That was only a way of spiting the Hindu in the old days. Why should it continue now ?

Can we not share each others festivals? Our most popular festival which brings various strata of society together is the Holi suppose in this Holi festival a Muslim is sprinkled with a little coloured water, do you think that the injunctions of the Koran are violated? Why not regard it as a social affair? The Hindus have been taking part in various Muslim festivals such as the Urs in Ajmeer. But suppose we ask Muslims to come and take part in satyanarayana Pooja, what will happen? Once, the DMK people took to Rameswaram a Muslim Minister. He was accorded all the conceivable honours by the temple authorities. But when the tirtha and prasada were given to him, he threw them away! Why should he do so? Suppose he had taken the prasada, would if have violated his religion? We have to learn to adopt an attitude of respect for one another.

We must respect, not merely tolerate, all other faiths ours is not sahishnutavad, but sammanavad.

Comment

There is no scriptural prohibition on meat-eating in Hindu vedas. I cannot see any reason for Hindutvavadis to cry for ban on cow-slaughter other than to provoke Muslims. Or is it lack of scriptural knowledge?

In the book "Secular India and Hindutva" by Adv. M.G. Mathew there is chapter on non-vegetarianism practised by Aryans and their rishis in ancient times. I would request the readers to go through the Fifth chaper of Manu Smriti. You will see that vedas prescribe flesh of cow not only for Brahmins, but also for devas. What is Aswamedha? Is it not killing of horse for eating? Our Sri Rama and Sri Krishna were non-vegetarians. They were gopalas (cow rearers) and hunters. All kings and nobles were hunters. Festivals were held often where animals, the best among them cows, were slaughtred for eating. Upto the time of the great flood, people were vegeterian. After the flood, they began to prey upon animals. During the time of Buddha, the animals were brutally slaughtered. It was he who initiated a new religion of Ahimsa. To defeat his religion Hindus themselves adopted vegetarianism, mostly Brahmins only. Muslims came to India only centuries after Buddha. So cow-slaugter was not introduced by Muslims in this holyland. Centuries before the Muslims, Indians relished go-mamsa, flesh of cows. Instead of spending national income on astrology and occultism, ask the scholars to discover and expose the many practices of our life during the time of the sages and savants. Once we get convinced that they used to eat gomamsa, the hatred towards Muslims will dwindle to that extent.

Manu has prohibitted eating camel's flesh. Thousands of Brahmins eat meat and they are happy. If anybody gets nausea at the thought of eating the cow let him not eat it. How many pitched battles have been faught over the question of cow-slaugther, ending in the slaughter of many human beings. Instead of declaring a ban on cow slaughter, those who want it, should educate and get a mental change of the cow-eaters.

Regarding partaking in the festivals, I for one like all the Hindu festivals. I see nothing religious about the festivals. No festival is intended to please the gods. After all the devas in the temples are no gods. Such gods are only sankalpas (fiction). The festivals are only social get together. The drumming, the dances, story telling, the dramas,

the jubilant crowd, the fire-works and other sights are attractive.

Muslims, Christians and other religions have specific presecription as to how to worship God.These may differ in essence from a pooja conducted to propitiate Satyanarayana. Prasada is the remnant of food material offered, dedicated to some god in whom the Muslims, Christians and others may not have faith. Hence prasada for a Hindu may not be prasada for a Muslim, Christian or others. If a little colour falls on a Muslim or a Christian, they are not going to be judged by god and thrown into hell. But the problem is the faith behind the colour. The food, the colour and so on offered to deity is not acceptable to the people of other faiths. If this is understood correctly, the misunderstanding between religious groups will fade away and all sections of the people will be able to mingle in the religious festivals of all groups.

Suppose a Brahmin friend is asked to eat and drink the flesh and blood of Christ. Even after knowing that they are only bread and wine, will he consume the same? In spite of it, he can come to the church and take part in the function of Holy communion. Let us mingle in the festivals, but not in the sacraments.

Statement P.494

Q: Much has been said about "Indianisation and a lot of confusion has arisen over it. Could you please tell me how to remove the confusion?

A: "Indianisation' was the slogan given by Jana Sangh. Why should there be such confusion? 'Indianisation does not mean making all people Hindus.

Comment

"Indianisation' should not mean 'Hinduisation'. But that is what the Hindutvavadis do not understand. They want only Hindus in India.

Startment - P. 494

"Let us realise and and believe that we are all children of this soil coming from the same stocks that our great forefathers were one, and that our aspirations are also one. This is all, I beleive, the meaning of 'Indianisation.'

Comment

It is true that are all the children of this soil. But are we coming from the same stock? Do Aryans and Dravidians come from the same stock? Do the Aryans and mlechas come from the same stock? It is pity that the Aryans though the earlist to come into this land have not yet been Indianised. They think that they are a seperate, superior group and they cannot mingle with the locals. There is no meaning in Hinduisation of non-Aryans. Non-Aryans are original Indians. What remains is the Indianisation of Aryans.

Statement P. 494

Q: Even after all these years as an independent nation communal tension in India has not abated. What is your diagnosis?

A: The main reason for Hindu-Muslim tension is that the Indain Muslim is yet to identify himself fully with India, its people and its culture. Let the Muslim feel and say that this is his country and these are his people, and problem will cease. It is a matter of changing his psychology.

Comment

At present the Muslims believe that this country belongs to all people residing here. Hindus, Muslims, Sikhs, Christians, Parsis, Outcastes, Harijans, Dalits, Tribals, Backward Classes are inheritors of this land. Both the Muslims and Hindus should refain from making any special claim on this land. The matter should be settled and the axe should be buried once and for all time stating that India belongs to Indians irre-

spective of religion, race, caste, tongue, colour, or culture.

When a Masjid is erased and others are targetted when chruches are burnt and Christians are hunted, do these not reveal the intention of Hindutvavadis? Then why do they find fault with Muslims regarding communal riots?

Statement - P. 494

Q: Obviously, you want the ascendancy of the Hindus. Do you want to demand amendment of the Constitution for the purpose?

A: There is no question of the ascendancy of any one. What we want is a healthy society. Ours is a Constitution which gives equal rights to all and there is no need to amend the Constitution. The Hindu is born secular. He accepts the truth that there are different paths to God realisation."

Comment

The present Constitution of India guarantees equal citizenship rights to all citizens irrespective of their caste, creed, colour, language and social status. But the Hindutvavadis are seeking to crush this Constitution to replace it with a unitary form of government to concentrate the power in the hand of the Hindutvavadis and to bring back the time-old Brahminal tyranny in India . The situtation will be trajic if the democratic parties do not join together to foil the ulterior motives of the Hindutvavadis.

Statement -P. 495

Q: Why do you always talk of Hindus? Why not Indian? Why don't you include Muslims in your work?

A: During the freedom struggle our leaders tried to win over Muslims even when Maulana Mohammed Ali said that the worst

Muslim was better than the best Hindus including Mahatma Ganhi. In the process the Hindu became very much a 'Hindustani'. But did the Muslims respond ? Did he also become a 'Hindustani'? No!

Almost all parties are all the time encouraging the Muslims to maintain their separate identity just because they want their block vote. Is that the way to make Hindustanis out of them ? It is obvious that the attitude of the Hindus has to be set right first, before we attempt setting right the Muslims. Therefore, I am concerned with Hindus, and not Muslims."

Comment

What is meant by the writer by saying that Muslims should become Hindustanis? Will a man become Hindustani only if he worships the idols, becomes a vegetarian, say Ram Ram, put tilak on the forehead an so on? To become a Hindustani, should he fall at the feet of sanyasis? Should he wash the lingas of sanyasis and drink the water? Should he worship the cow? Should he do Bhoomi Pooja and Ayudha pooja? Should he eat prasada? Should he undergo a Guru and chant mantra? Should he worship Bhagawa Dhwaj? Should he worship the man-made goddess, Bharat Mata? And so on. If this is what is meant by Hindustani, sorry to say that not only Muslims but also the non-Hindus cannot do all these things.

The writer complains that Muslims have a separate identity. Do not Hindus have their own identity? Do not Brahmins have a separate identity, distinct from the other castes? Do not the Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains, Parsis, Ambedkarites, Christians, Dalits, Harijans and so on have their own separate identity? Nobody has to make Indians Hindustanis. Everyone born in this nation are by birth Hindustanis. It is too much to say that only Hindus are Hindustanis. It is a grave mistake which the Sangh Parivar is making. And this is the root cause of every trouble in India. Otherwise, the whole nation consists of peace-loving people. It is the

Sangh Pariwar who trains and builds up terrorists in India. India Government banned SIMI. Why did it not ban R.S.S., V.H.P., Siva Sena, Bhajrandal etc.? Why SIMI took shape? Is it not because of Sangh Pariwar? An impartial government should have banned all these organisations together. We should not have self-organised armies. We want only the Indian Defence Force and the Police force in the different states. Stop the process of Hinduisation and try to get indianised. The problem will be solved. Instead of setting right Muslims and non-Hindus, try to set right the Aryans.

Statement - P. 495

Q: Surely, the Muslims have become part of our life?

A: I am not questioning it. But why should they behave as they are doing? As a matter of fact, in no other country in the world, where Islam has spread, the earlier dress, the language, the way of living etc. of those countries have changed. In Iran, Turkey and other countries their original dress, language, view of life, etc., have remained the same. But in our country everything, even thinking also, is changed.

If this change was not there, there would have been no Muslim Problem at all. It has cut them off from the main national current of life.

Comment

The writer ought to know that Muslims are different from Hindus. Christians are different from Hindus. Hindus should not expect Muslims to wear saffron clothes and use sandal paste on their forehead and smear ashes of cowdung on their body. Muslim women in some parts of India wear Burkha. In Indian climatic conditions Burkha is not a suitable dress. It is a dress required in the sandy, stormy, desert climate. But if the Muslims think it a religious duty, let them do it. The modern Muslim

women do not use it. In Kerala there was no use of Burkha. But after the Hinduisation madness of Hindutvavadis, more and more Muslim women have started to use Burkha. Muslims have started training their children to wear Burkhas. The more you compel them, the more they will stick on to unnecessary practices, widening the gulf between the societies. Stop provoking people unnecessarily.

Statement - P. 496

Q; How did cow slaughter begin in our country?

A: It began with the coming of the foreign invaders.

Comment

This reveals the ignorance of the writer about the ancient Aryan culture. Aryans who were shepherds were rearing cattle also. Not only the milk, but also the meat was relished by them. Go to the old books and you will see that it was a rule that Brahmins should eat flesh of the cow.

Statement P. 496

Q: Some say that we have to tolerate cow-killing to respect the feelings of Muslims.

Comment

The writer wants to ban cow-slaughter for two reasons.

1) The Muslims are organised and if they begin to loot and plunder in order to safeguard their sentiments, the Government never wants to take any action.

2) The Politicians want the votes of Muslims en masse.

Are the above reasonable reasons to ask for banning the cow-slaughter? If Muslims are organised, well and good. Every social group must be well-organised. But if they begin to loot and plunder, can the

Government keep quiet? But the present Government takes no action against the atrocities that are being committed by the Hindutvavadis.

Regarding votes, why should the Hindus fear! Are they not out-numbering the Muslims?

Statement P. 496-497

Q: There is much anti-propaganda about the anti-cow slaughter movement. How to counteract it?

A: At all points.....cow slaughter began in this country with foreign domination..... It is a stigma on us. ....all such stigmas ought to be removed. Otherwise we will still be labouring under mental slavery. How can we compromise on our points of honour for the sake of mere foreign exchange?

Comment

Here it becomes, clear that anti-cow-slaughter propoganda is a question of honour of a few people. We have already seen that there is no religious stigma about cow-slaughter. It is only the obstinacy of a handful of Hindutvavadi leaders that creates the problem. Better they should cool down and think over and again, standing in the shoes of the people who depend upon cattle as a food. And it is nothing new to India and to the world at large.

Statement: P. 498

Q: Some say there are references to beef eating in Vedas also. Is it true ?

A: The supposed references to beef eating are in fact mis-interpretations of the word 'gou' in Vedas, which also means the indriyas, the senses. It is in this sense that Yajnavalkya used it when he said he would eat gou, i.e. conquer the senses, and make himself invincible. The misconstruing or ignorance has been conveniently

used by pleaders for cow-slaughter to justify their case.

Comment

The above interpretation is a glaring example of how the Hindu scholars misinterpret things. They do so to evade, avoid and slip away from the truth. Ordinary people believe in Kurukshetra war as a war of injustice, that Dharmaputra and Duryodhana were human beings who fought each other. But the learned Hindu scholars will say that Kurukshetra war is only a tale to impress upon the ignorant genral mass about the existence of opposing psychical forces in man. The war field Kurukshetra is the conscience of man. There are opposing thoughts, good and evil, fighting each other. The good and evil are represented by Pandavas and Kauravas. With mental capacity and tapasya an individual should win over the evils in him and should strike a victory for virtues. The evil thoughts are the product of the senses' reaction with sense-objects. Take away the mind from sense-objects and that is the only way to strike victory of virtues.

Srikrishna is loved for his amorous attitude in life, having sixteen thousand and eight wives and all the women of Yadukula as his concubines. Even as a young man, he stole the clothes of the girls bathing in the river and compelled them to show him their nakedness. There are many such stories about him by hearing which the women folk fall in love with him throughout these centuries. When questions are put, the scholars would say that Krishna, Gokula, Gopikas etc. are all imaginary figures to explain eternal truths to ordinary people. The sixteen thousand and eight wives denote the hundreds of Kama Vasanas, sensual attractions, present in the human life.

The writer is giving a scholarly interpretation of cow slaughter. He says 'gou' in vedas means indriyas. He says that when Yajnavalkya said he will eat 'gou', he meant only that he will defeat his indriyas. Such interpretations are mere evasive trick of the so-called scholars.

Playing chess and drunkenness was the norm of Arsh Bharata. Aryans were drunkards drinking somarasa. Gambling, playing dice, was the greatest entertainment of those days. For days together players would sit on playing. King Nala lost his kingdom by playing. Yudhishtira lost not only his kingdom but also his family members including his wife, Panchali. The Vrishnis and Yadus were heavy drunkards. As a result of their drunkenness, they destroyed themselves by fighting each other.

Drunkards relish meat. Hunting and meat eating was common in those days. Before eating they used to offer a small portion of the cooked food to devas in fire.

There were laws as to how to kill a cow for sacrifice- what type of a cow, which portions of the cow shall be given to whom and so on. Taitariya Brahmana of Yajur veda prescribes what type of cows should be given to Indra, Vishnu, Mushav, Mitra and Varuna.

The Manu Smriti prescribes what types of animals and birds are edible. Sri Sankaracharya in his 'Laghu Dharma Prakasika' has stated that cows must be slaughterd for yagas. Killing and eating cows was the norm of early Aryans. Beef-eating cannot be just interpreted as indriya nigraha. All these facts must be very well-known to the Hindutvavadi leaders. They must be suppressing these truths to gain political victory over other, communities. If they do not know these facts, let them allow the universities to conduct researches on the subject. Let the money alloted for the star-gazing, astrology, be diverted to conduct useful research.

Statement: P. 500

"The only basis of true equality can be the realisation that all living beings are parts and parcels of one and the same reality, call it God or Eternal Truth."

Comment

Do the Hindutvavadis believe that Muslims, Christians and Dalits

are part and parcel of that one Reality? Are not the communists a part of the same Reality? If they believed in this, their attitude to these groups would have been very different and the plight of India would have been different.

Statement P. 514

Q: They (Christian missionaries) also justify their proselytising activity saying Christianity alone will satisfy the spiritual hunger of modern man.

A: But Christianity has failed to stop the tide of materialism in their own countries ! Though a great number of Christian missionaries are working here to convert our men the Christian countries themselves are going further and further away from Christ. Nowadays, very few people attend the Churches there. Churches present a haunted look. In fact, some of them are being kept for sale! It only means that some other philosophy, more comprehensive and capable of satisfying the modern mind, of meeting the many complex challenges of present times and imparting spiritual content to their life, has become necessary. The present trend in those countries points to Hinduism as their future refuge.

Comment

Just as the present day India is still passing through the darkness of ignorance, the European countries were engulfed in darkness. With the advent of protestantism, the Dark Age gave way to modernism. There was a spiritual awakening in Europe and people became religious minded. The god-fearing people began to prosper. With the invention of modern science and technology a period of plenitude dawned in Europe. The materialistic progress led the people away from God. Even the faith in God became a subject of many theories and thesis. The fathers who set

sail to America from England were forced to do so for sticking on to their faith. They were godly people. But when America became rich they threw away their faith. Europe and America have become worldly.

Hinduism cannot bring them back to truth. It can give the people false presumption that they are in the right path. Thousands of Europeans and Americans are falling into the devilish snare of transendental meditation, yoga etc. These do not lead them nearer to God, but draw them away from God to some guru who is an agent of Satan. The guru is the one who prevents the follower from drawing near to God. Europe and America have fallen morally lower than Sodom and Gomorrah, the two cities which were burnt by fire and brimstone and turned into Dead Sea for the wrath of God upon them for their evil, sinful wicked life. To quote one world evangelist, "God will have to ask forgiveness to Sodom and Gomorrah if He will not punish America". The hand of God is revealed these days.

Statement - P. 514

Q: *Often, various ills of society like untouchability, poverty, sense of high and low are attributed to the tenets of Hindu philosophy.*

A: *On the contrary the basic tenet of Hindu philosophy commands us to visualise the entire society as one living embodiment, Virat Purusha. Every individual, wherever he is placed, is a living limb of that great corporate body. Just as the body looks after the needs of every little organ, so also the society should view the interests and needs and aspirations of every individual. In a living body can there be any part which can be 'untouchable', 'neglected' or 'downtrodden'? Can there be any sense of 'high' and 'low' between one and the other? It is because this unifying social consciousness has been lost that these various evils have cropped up."*

Comment

The visualisation of a Virat Purusha is all right. But when it is told that the head of the Virat Purusha is Brahmins, breast and arms are Kshatriya, belly and hips are Vaisayas and feet are shudras, problem arises. It also must be understood that in reality, there is no Virat Purusha. The fictitious Virat Purusha is none other than the Aryan Hindus. In the visualised Virat Purusha, where is the place for Dalits, outcastes, and non-Hindus'? Where do they stand? Why the Virat purusha is divided into castes, one over the other? Why the difference of rich and poor? Why the landholders and landless. Why millions are without a shade to protect them from sun and snow? Why millions of Virat purusha are beggars groping for left-overs in the dust bins on waysides?

When people hear the word 'Virat Purusha', they are wonder-struck about the greatness of this marvellous, awe inspiring being. In fact, in reality there exists no Virat Purusha. **The high-sounding Virat purusha is a trick played upon innocents to frighten them to the advantage of the upper castes.**

Statement P. 515

Q: What do you think is the main reason for the Hindu phobea among the leaders?

A: Politics .....Aurobindo could not consider Bharat as anything less than Maha-maya, Maha-Durga. Rabindranath Tagore sang the same glory of Hindu nations in his celebrated poem "Devi Bhuwana mana mohini". The immortal song of Bankimchandra, Vande Mataram, portrays the very essence of our true Hindu Nationhood..... This is how the Government with its various pulls, frowns and favours tries to subvert the word 'Hindu'

Comment

It is the Hindutvavadis who subvert the word 'Hindu'. Hindu is not

the name of any religion. Hindu means one who is citizen of Hindustan. Note the word Hindustan. It means the nation or place where the Hindus reside. In Hindustan there are Aryans, Mlechas, Muslims, Christians, Buddhists, Jains, Sikhs, Parsis, Atheists, communists and son on. To claim that this nation belongs to a small percentage of the Hindus is unbecoming of the Aryans. The nation belongs to its people, not to any particular group. Lokmanya Tilak, Aurobindo, Tagor and many others might have told many things. If Aurobindo says of Maha mata and Maha-Durga it must have been his phobea. Average man of understanding cannot equate a nation to Maha-mata or Maha-Durga. Nation is the landscape and the people who are residents there. Are the Maha-mata and Maha Durga the landscape of Indian Sub-continent and the people composing of various races, religions, tongues, and so on? Maha-mata and Maha Durga are not real beings, but only sankalpas. Imagination is not the monopoly of anybody. Everyone can have his own sankalpa. So it is absurd to found a nation on somebody's sankalpa. The name Hindu Rashtra would have been acceptable, but for the claim of Aryans that they alone are Hindus.

Statement P. 515-516

Q: How is it that so many sects, sub-sects and ways of worship often mutually conflicting could come up in our society?

A: When during the days of foreign tyranny over our country there appeared to be no rays of hope to come out of the dark night of slavery and misery, it was natural that the people took to the only available refuge- the fear of God.....oneness of them all.

Comment

The writer puts the blame on foreign invaders. If he means Muslims and Christians, it is a false accusation. There are about three thousand castes and sub-castes in Hinduism. At the time of the Muslim conquest

of India, this sub-continent was divided into hundreds of states with conflicting interest, sect, sub-sects and ways of worship. This was the state of affairs even at the time of Kurukshetra war. No wonder if Hindutvavadis will say that the war at Kurukshetra was at the instigation of Muslims or Christians.

Statement - P. 516

Q: The present one, it is said, is the age of science. As such, some say that our Dharma should be modified and recast so as to be in tune with the postulates of science.

A: But Dharma is not like a weather-cock to be tossed about with every whiff of wind, scientific or otherwise..... materalistic form.

Comment

The Dharma should not change at all for it is eternal. Here dharma does not mean religious beliefs, but eternal justice and duties. But there are a number of religious beliefs in Hinduism which should change. If a religious faith is found wrong in the light of science, the truth revealed should be accepted in place of the old. There are hundreds of superstitions in Hinduism which should be removed by giving proper education to people. Astrology is merely a superstition which should be discarded.

Statement P. 517

Q: Do you accept or reject the use of violence in any form for the furtherence of political aims?

A: There should be absolutely no violence between one citizen and another.

Comment

In practice, members of R.S.S. commit violence of the worst nature. The one who gets training in pitched fights, and brain-washing how

can he resist committing violence? All the wings of Sangh Parivar are terrorists. The terrorism of Sangh Parivar, they say is to bring national unity. But it has the danger of boomeranging and split the nation into hundreds of tiny states.

Statement P. 517

Q: Do you think violence can be justifiable to effect a change in Government?

A: When, say, an extreme situation obtains in which the limits of oppression have been reached, the people are undergoing accute suffering, the rulers have become autocrats, veritable monsters, and all peaceful avenues of changing them have been clogged - violence in such circumstances would be justified.

Comment:

Violence is not the last solution. Violence can create violence. Violence has its vicious circle, ever repeating nature. Commission of violence is not open to all groups and therefore it is not going to establish peace.

Statement: P. 518

Q. Is it true that Sangh teaches hatred for non-Hindus?

A: This is one of the most irresponsible and despicable charges against the Sangh. The whole world is aware of the utter catholicity and tolerance of Hindu culture. The Hindu, even in his dreams, cannot hate a person merely because he happens to belong to another faith. How can Sangh, which is dedicated to the rejuvenation of such a sublime culture, be ever conceived of as teaching hatred?

Comment:

A tree is known by its fruits. What are the fruits of Sangh Parivar? To state a few:

Exploitation of religious sentiment of the people for political gains.

After the formation of the N.D.A. Government under Shri Vajpayeeji who holds himself to be a Swayamsevak, more than 400 attacks on churches have taken place in India. Hundreds of churches have been burnt or demolished. About thirty missionaries have been murdered.Hundreds of houses belonging to tribal Christians have been demolished or burnt. Hundreds of Christian institutions like schools and hospitals have been looted. Thousands of Holy Bibles have been torn or burnt. The Christian priests and evangelists are threatened, beat and wounded. Nuns are forcibly made to drink urine. Victims are forced to consume human dung. Many are paraded through the streets naked with shaven heads.

Rani Maria was killed because she posed a threat to the financial mafia, the money lenders. Some priests were killed in Bihar because they were working among the tribals in remote areas, protecting them from the exploitation of the zamindars. Fr. Aruldass, a Tamil Catholic priest was murdered in Naveda, near Mathura, U.P. Fr. George Kuzhikkandam was murdered. The only eye-witness of this murder, Vijaya Ekka, was killed while in police custody to destroy evidence. Ashish Prakash a Campus Crusade worker was stabbed to death in Jallandhar. In Imphal (Manipur), Fr. George Chittinappally was abducted and fired. Pastor Thankaswamy was killed and his body was thrown into a dry well.

Dr. Graham Staines and his two sons were burnt alive in Orissa.

Bombs are blasted in church buildings. Use of explosives and time bombs are common.

Brahmeshwar Singh, the head of Ranbir Sena, caused to kill more than 200 Dalits in Bihar. In Orissa over 140 houses of Christians were burnt. The fanatics incite the tribals to attack the Christians who get converted.

The Christians are treated as untouchables and are boycotted from society. Houses are not rented, plots not sold, drinking water not supplied to the Christians.

Christian cemeteries are desecrated and buried bodies are unearthed and thrown in the open air. One cemetary belonging to the Christians and Dalits was destroyed, levelled and converted as playground.

The Bajrangdal gives military training to fanatics to use rifles, firearms and destructive weapons. They train markmanship using air guns. They prepare suicide squads for comunal attacks. One violent Karsevak Brigade is alleged to have been raised from Jharkhand tribals.

Dharmendra Sharma of Bajrangdal says that they commit violence because without violence people do not take notice.

Karsevakpuram in Ayodhya is the Headquarters of the V.H.P. Karsevaks are given military training here. The training here is on the lines of Israel's militant organisation, Mossad. The trainees pledge in the name of Lord Hanuman, the monkey-god. Shri Giriraj Kishore, the Vice-Prsident of V.H.P., says that they can't wait for the authorities to do things. Defence committees are formed at village, mohalla, city, district and state levels, to check functioning of missionaries.

The Suddhi programmes for reconverting Christians to Hinduism is carried out forcefully under duress.

Peethambara distributed daggars to the members of Durga vahini, a subsidiary of V.H.P. He says that dagger is a symbol of Shakti (power) in Hinduism. The incarnations of Shakti were all well-armed. In the one hand of a Hindu there will be Gita and in the other a weapon.

Girilal Jain says, "Fearlessness, readiness to heroic deeds, wearing weapons are all inherent qualities of Hindus. Hindus with their courage

should defeat and subjugate all. Even if some atrocity occurs, never mind it. The war at Kurukshetra was according to the will of God.

The head of R.S.S., Shri Sudarsan, states, "Anyone who tries to disrupt the unity of the Hindu should get beatings on his cheek, just as the householder punishes a member in his family.

The words and deeds of the members, especially of the leaders of the Sangh Parivar bear out and confirm that the Sangh is the nucleus and heart of the terrorism in India.

The Prime Minister and the Home Minister picture the atrocities committed by the members of the Sangh Parivar as stray, casual incidents. Their silence is taken as permission to the members of the Sangh Parivar to carry on atrocities against the Muslims, Christians, Dalits and the backward classes. The partiality of the Prime Minister and Home Minister is evident in that they do not take any action against the criminal actions of the different Hindutva parties. Just as SIMI was banned, the militant Hindutvavadi parties also should have been banned.

The Hindutvavadi parties are let loose to fulfil their programmes. Dara Singh , the one who burnt Dr. Staines alive is eulogised as the current incarnation of Sri Rama. He is seeking to stand for election.

In many states, the police is taking initiative to stop construction of churches and church buildings. They remain inactive or take sides with the militants in commiting crimes against non-Hindus. They try to incriminate the victims of cruelty by making false charges. Government officials and political leaders strengthen the hands of the miscreants. Organisations like Bajrangdal seem to have obtained immunity from the law of the land.

History is being re-written and false stories of the valour of Hindus are presented to the students hoping this will promote Hindutva among

the students. The educational system is being changed and Bhagawad Gita is introduced in the curriculum of schools and colleges. Television channels are full of puranic stories praising different gods, and goddesses. The Vedic astrology is introducd in University curriculum. Thus, saffronisation is going on in almost all walks of life.

These are the fruits produced by the Sangh Parivar. Millions of tracts, pamphlets and books are circulated among people fanning hatred for Muslims, Christians and Dalits by the Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh and the Sangh Parivar.

Statement: P. 518

"A strong R.S.S. alone, instead of being the cause of riots, can permanently put a stop to them."

Comment:

That means R.S.S. is super-police and super-army. Why should India have a government, if it cannot control riots? Since R.S.S. is a Hindu organisation, it cannot act impartially. **Such police duties should not be assumed by any organisation in any country which has a government.**

Statement: P. 519

Q: Hitler also started in a manner similar to yours, collecting youths and instilling in them discipline and unity. But later, he suppressed all the other political parties. What is the difference between Nazi organisation and yours?

A: Hitler's movement centered round politics. We try to build life without being wedded to politics. It is many times found that many are gathered for political purpose. But when that purpose fails, unity is lost. We do not want any temporary

achievement but an abiding oneness. And so we have kept ourselves aloof from politics.

Comment:

How easily it is said than done! B.J.P. is only a fake organisation to camouflage the R.S.S. and other members of the Sangh Parivar. R.S.S. is not a non-political party. It is not a mere political party, but much more than any political party. The so-called political parties, including the Congress, have no idea of what R.S.S. is and what R.S.S. aims.

Statement P. 520

Q. The mission of Sangh is described as Sarva Vyapi, all pervasive. But what does this word exactly connote?

A. To give a comparison: light is Sarva vyapi but it does not carry out all the works. It only dispels the darkness and shows the way for all. That is the nature of Sangh work also. If the Sangh were to take the preparing and executing plans of action in every field of life, then there will be confusion all round. It would have to prepare thesis in any and every problem. And that would result in the stoppage of the basic work of social rejuvenation and there would be only theses and theses galore.

Comment

The above statement is true. R.S.S. shines the light. All the execution part is carried on by the B.J.P. Siva Sena, V.H.P., Bajrangdal and associates. Only when it is very essential, the R.S.S. volunteers undertake execution parts.

Statement. P. 525

The writer advices to whom the Vote should be given. "Let them (voters) be alert and discriminate, and resolutely vote for men

and parties dedicated to the Hindu cause, free from narrow minded parochialism, progressive, broad minded and catholic in their outlook, free from unseemly hatred and un-called for antagonism to people of differing views, dominantly and essentially Hindu, possessing sterling character, individual and national, determined to serve the people and the country with or without office in total disregard of pelf, power or position, name or fame, who know the value and methord of homogenous co-ordinated action and possess the faith and devotion to the motherland, the Nation and the people and who can shoulder, the heaviest and most onerous task completely disregarding all personal comfort, and the voters will not have voted in vain."

Comment

It is a pity that the writer is pleading to vote for men and parties dedicated to the Hindu people and the Hindu Cause. He wants people free from narrow-minded parochialism. Is pleading for vote for Hindu-cause, not parochialism and narrow -mindedness? He wants people with devotion to the Motherland, the Nation. Does not Bharat our mother land consist of many people other than Hindus? **To ask vote for Hindus alone is narrow mindedness whch brings dishonour to our dear Motherland, Bharat Mata.**

Statement: P. 530

"Now- a -days many feel that we should adopt the model of England, America or Russia for reconstruction of our national life. Somehow, they have come to feel that we cannot stand upon the basis of our own ancient time tested values and our inspiritig historical traditions. there is an all round denial of our national identity.

Comment

Progress entails changing from the old values and traditions. It is a moving forward, making improvement, on the old faiths, values, customs, culture, traditions and way of life. A dynamic society, enlightened and enterprising, is forward looking and its members are radicals favouring fundamental changes for the better in social, religious and political fields. A stagnant society cannot progress. Only an enlightened, dynamic, enterprising people who welcome reforms can go ahead. The European, American, Asian countries which have been marching ahead are the ones which have boldly welcomed revolutions and reformations. But when we continue to chant the glories of Arsh Bharata, about its time-tested values and historical traditions, we are not aiming progress but retrogression. Once upon a time, almost all nations had their own national gods like Kali, Ganesh, Chamundi and so on. Wars were fought in the name of and under the protection of these gods or goddesses. But when the darkness of ignorance was removed, they threw away these fictitious beings. And they progressed. The European countries and America made great strides towards progress because they were willing to throw away the dark faiths and vainglorious attachment to their past. They did not seek glory in their past, but looked forward to the future. The absurdity of the Hindutvavadis is that they unreasonably boast of their past, but they hesitate to reform themselves. They want to bring back the old, narrow, inhuman, divisive, self-elevating, Aryanism. They have no respect for humanity. They have no humanism, no interest to common human interest, human dignity and human values. When they equate a nation to any Mata, Mother Chamundi, Virat Purusha and so on, it reveals their ignorance about both God and the Nation. To picturise Indian Nation as Bharat Mata, Kali Mata, Mother Chamundi, Virat Purusha and so on are childish and those who are enamoured and baffled by such allurement are naive, gullible, foolish, half-witted simple-

tons. The wise will be careful not to antagonise the citizens by compelling them to sing vandemataram, to bow before idols, to practise the evil and filthy traditions of old such as the worship of cow and many other abhorrent practices.

The nation can stand united in spite of differences of people in religion, language, colour, customs, culture and traditions. The diversities should be admitterd and allowed to continue and national unity has to be achieved in spite of all these diversities. May the Almighty God bring wisdom and good will to the Hindutvavadis to see Bharat Mata as one unit comprising of all the different sections of the people and to embrace all alike.

Conclusion

Contribution of Hindutvavadis to India and to the world

Jehad and Dharmayudh

The last tactic for the Muslim terrorists is to invite the sympathy of the people by calling for a Jehad and of the Hindu terrorists by calling for a Dharmayudh (Religious war). Jehad is Dharmayudh by Muslims and Dharmayudh is Jehad by Hindutvavadis. Both are attack, aggressioin, offence and crime. Is there any difference between the two? Impartial Muslims as well as the Hindus will never approve such obnoxious atrocities.

The Hindutvavadis have been trying their best to exploit religious sentiment of the people for political gains. In the eyes of the common man, those who commit atrocities in the name of religion are hardened goondas only. When the so - called enlightened, pious, spiritual leaders persuade and entice their followers to commit ruthless savagery and horrible monstrosity in the name of religion and God, one wonders whether their spirituality is from the true God or from the other god.

Let us have a look into the teachings of the stalwarts of Hindutva.

1. Arya Samaj - Dayanand Saraswati (1824-1883)

Dayanand Saraswati was the founder of Arya Samaj. The Samaj holds that the Hindu puranas are all false. The Vedic religion is supreme. The Samajists attack the Hindu Puranic religion, Islam and Christianity. They oppose idolatry and Sanatana (Orthodox) Hinduism. They hold that the Vedas are the true word of God and that there is only one God.

To the Arya Samajists, Aryavarta is the land comprising of Himalayas in the North, Vindhyachala in the South, Indus in the West and Brahmaputra in the East. Dayananda praised Aryavarta as the only

cultured land. The rest of the world is mlecha land. The South Indians were considered as Asuras (demons).

Dayananda kindled and fanned enmity for Muslims and Christians in the minds of the Hindus. He vehemently attacked Islam and Christianity. He described Quran as a book full of funny tales and contradictory statements which cannot be appreciated by intelligent people. He attacked Bible as a book written by savages, full of childish stories. To him Christ was an ignorant and hot tempered man who behaved like a savage. The doctrine of forgiveness is nonsense. Christianity is full of idolatry. So, he called upon Muslims and Christians to return to the Vedic religion for salvation. The samaj introduced 'Suddhi' (purification) programme for reconverting Muslims and Christians to Hinduism.

However, as in the case of all Hindutvavadis, Dayananda also had no idea of salvation. Salvation to them means escape or protection from the enemy. Who are the enemies? Muslims, Christians, neighbours and even family members may be counted as enemies. To escape from neighbours man relies on arms and amunition, mantra and tantra. But an enlightened spiritual man ought to know that the real enemy is not any human being, but it is the power of darkness, the Death, which is the common enemy to all human beings. Salvation is to overcome the death. Escape from death and hell-fire is possible only through Jesus Christ. He is the only one who paid the penality for sins and opened a sure way of salvation by offering himself as a sacrifice for the remission of sins by shedding his sinless blood on the cross. Without shedding of blood there is no remission of sin. Hidutvavadis ought to know that salvation is not an earthly affair, that it is not of the body alone, but mainly of the eternal inner man. The whole world ought to know that there is no salvation in any other than Jesus Christ.

The main contribution of Dayananda Saraswathi is the religious animosity among the people of India.

2. The Ramakrishna movement
Bankim Chandra Chatterji and Swami Vivekananda

Sri Ramakrishna Paramahamsa (1836-1886) was a Kali priest in Dakshineswar temple near Calcutta. The movement in his name was a religious movement which influenced the politics of India. Bankim Chandra Chatterji and Vivekananda were the leading figures. Bankim Chandra portrayed India as the incarnation of Mother Goddess - Durga, Lakshmi and Saraswati. He wrote the song 'Vande Mataram'. Swami Vivekananda also portrayed India as the Mother Goddess, Kali. Islam and Christianity were vehemently criticised. He held the Bible as undependable. Christianity was depicted as a religion full of absurdities and immorality.

Sri Ramakrishna Paramahamsa taught that god-realisation (attainment of godhead) is possible by renunciation, particularly of women and wealth. God being God of all could be worshipped in any form and in any way.

They made a cry that Hindu religion is in danger. The Ramakrishna movement could arouse the religious sentiment of Hindus against Muslims and Christians.

In the world Parliament of Religions at Chicago, Vivekananda spoke very high of Indian spirituality. There he spoke Vedanta and won the hearts of the hearers. The Whites could not smell the rat. Vedanta in the last analysis is nothing but atheism. For Vivekananda, India is the Mother Goddess. Anybody who attains divinity is a god. This is atheism.

While Vivekananda was shouting the greatness of India as the custodian of spirituality what was the condition of Indian people? Thousands of Brahmins were swelling their pot-bellies at the expense of the sweat of millions of hungry, skeletal miserables who were to toil and moil in the fields for producing food materials. They without food to eat, cloth to wear, huts to lay their heads, were to feed the spiritual vedantins.

The admirers of Vivekananda never knew of the utter darkness that was rampant in India. Thousands of god-men walked in India enjoying all types of worldly pleasures without engaging in any productive activity, without shedding even a drop of sweat. These spiritual beings did not even feel pity upon the poor folk who died of hunger and thirst. Instead they sqeezed them and forced them down more and more. They attributed the misery of the poor folk to their misdeeds in their previous births. The theory of rebirth in the Hindu philosophy is an utter lie to threaten the common folk. The highly spiritual godmen of India never felt pity for these wretched detestables. Unfortunately there was no intelligent person in the world Parliament of Religions to test the veracity of the statement of Vivekananda by visiting India to see the godmen of India enjoying heaven upon earth amidst the millions of skeletal mléchas suffering hellish life. India was and still is heaven for a small minority and hell for the large majority.

Vivekananda was lying when he was eulogising Aryanism. There was not even a shadow of truth in his portrayal of India. He should have been bold enough to tell the truth that India was a land of mlechas, miserables, in utter poverty, ignorance, illiteracy and ill-health. Even today, the so-called spiritual godmen of India will not even go near a mlecha. Neither will they help a poor nor will they allow others to help them. In Hindu spirituality, there is only selfishness, self-love and self-elation. There is no touch of love or mercy. If a Shudra or low caste learn to read and write, Hindu Vedas say that he should be killed. By birth he is banned from learning letters. A poor man should suffer misery for he is born to suffer the wages of his sins he committed in his pervious life. Therefore, any religion which teaches love or mercy is abhorrent to Hindutva. Christianity holds love as supreme quality and hence Hindutva holds Christianity most detestable.

Christianity is denounced saying that it has no philosophy. But what is philosophy? More often than not, philosophy is the effort of

cunning intellectuals to present their ideas as truths to the world. To present a plain truth, no philosophy is required. The Bible lacks philosophy because the Bible presents to the world "The Truth". Take the statement, "God is love". This is a plain truth and hence no philosophy is required to prove the same. But take the statement, "Man can attain godhead." This is a lie. To make the lie acceptable to at least some people, a long narration of philosophical statements are required. The Bible says, "All have sinned and become short of the glory of God". This statement being the Truth, need no support of any philosophy. But Hindutva states, "All are children of light". This statement being a lie, seeks the support of long philosophical arguments. Christianity is Truth while Hindutva is mere philosophy, a play of words.

3. Lok Manya Bal Gangadhar Tilak

Lok Manya Tilak considered Muslims and all non - Hindus as mlechas. He held that the Sanatana Dharma contained in the Vedas as supreme.

Tilak advanced arguments for swadharma, i.e. duty enjoined to individuals by birth in any particular caste or class. This theory is to keep the low caste and outcastes bound to their menial duties thrust upon them by Aryanism.

Tilak revised the Ganapati (Ganesh) festival. This was to match the Muharram festival of the Muslims. 'Kill the English, kill the Muslims' was the call. He introduced another festival, the Shivaji festival, commemorating the institution of the Maratha kingdom by Shivaji. This festival also was to fan enmity towards Muslims in the hearts of Hindus.

Tilak in his Gita-Rahasya forsake renunciation and stressed on Karma-Yoga, the yoga of action. He emphasised that the duty of warriors is to fight. Killing of enemies is dharma or duty. He wedded politics and religion. He said, "Indians are Hindus and Hindus are Indians." He called non-Aryans mlechas. By this statement he was seeking to estab-

lish the superiority of the ten percent Aryans over the ninety percent non-Aryans. Tilak himself has explained that the original home of Aryans was the Arctic region and that means Aryans are aliens in India. For the Indians, Aryans are as much or even more aliens than the Muslims. The political religion of Hindutvavadis, of Hindu Rashtra, is nothing but a foreign aggression over the indigenous Indians.

4. The Hindu Mahasabha Vinayak Damodar Savarkar

Vinayak Damodar Savarkar was the organiser of Hindu Mahasabha. The Muslim League was founded in 1906 and the Hindu Mahasabha in 1907. The Sabha went waging war against the secular nationalism of Indian National Congress. Its religion was politics. Savarkar told that Hinduism is the various theologies and philosophies and Hindutva means 'Hinduness'. Just like Christendom, he wanted to set up a Hindudom. Savarkar taught that the Hindus alone are the true sons of the soil and non-Hindus are a menace to the Hindu Rashtra. He scorned non-violence as weakness. The contribution of Hindu Mahasabha is self-boasting militant, terrorist groups throughout the nation, ever ready to create communal riots and atrocities against peaceful citizens.

5. Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh

The Rashtriya Swayamsevak Sangh was founded in Nagpur in 1925 by Dr. Keshav Baliram Hedgewar. The purpose of the Sangh was to organise and make Hindu society strong. It stands for Hindu nationhood and Hindu Rashtra. Doctorji was followed by Guru Golwalker. Golwalker also distinguishes Aryans from mlechas. He says Hindusthan is the land of Hindus, the Aryans. The R.S.S. thus denies equal citizenship rights to the ninety percent of Indian population who are condemned as mlechas. The R.S.S. considers Muslims and Christians as traitors. He teaches the members of the Sangh to treat non-Hindus just as Hitler of Nazi Germany treated the Jews. Hitler suffocated to death

six millions Jews in gas chambers and massacred many more by other means.

Golwalker, like Vivekananda, claims that Hindu sanyasins are Vishwa Gurus - world teachers. He declares that Motherland is Mother Goddess. Patriotism means devotion and worship of Motherland. Killing for the sake of dharma is not sinful. So to do away with Muslims, Christians and Communists is not sinful. Since Christianity has failed in the world, R.S.S. wants to take the reins of the world into its hands. By literature, through schools, shakhas (branches,), camps, mediums like T.V. and so on, the R.S.S. has trained militants to eliminate the enemies. Thus the R.S.S. has trained thousands of terrorists in India. Their gratest feat was seen when Nathuram Godse shot down Mahatma Gandhi, the Father of Bharat Nation.

6. Vishwa Hindu Parishad

The Vishwa Hindu Parishad was founded on Sri. Krishna Janmashtami day (birth day of Sri Krishna) on August 29, 1964 at Bombay. Its leader was Swami Chinmayananda. The Parishad teaches that the end of Christianity has come near. Islam and Christianity will come under Hindutva. The whole world was under Hinduism in the beginning. There are many forgotten temples all over the world. The Dome of the Rock and Al Aksa Mosque in Jerusalem were Krishna temples. St. Paul's Church in London was also a Krishna temple. Notre Dame in Paris was a Durga Temple. Kabba in Mecca was a Vishnu temple. In India they claim all the churches and mosques. Their plan is to recapture all these churches and mosques. The V.H.P. is going to bring the whole world under Hindu Rashtra. They succeeded in felling Babari Mazjid. They do not care the Government of India or the Supreme Court of India in the matter of building a Rama Temple at Ayodhya. They are going to redeem the whole world and make all people to worship the cow, the Ganges, Bharat Mata, the Sun God, Tulsi etc. To

the enlightened this is ignorance pure and simple. To the enlightened the cow is nothing more than a domestic animal, the Ganges a highly polluted river, Bharat Mata a fiction, Sun a planet and Tulsi a herb. How can sensible people worship all these?

The V.H.P. has trained thousands of followers to commit atrocities and armed them with arms and amunitions. This is their contribution to the Motherland.

7. Hare Krishna Movement (ISKCON)

The Hare Krishna Movement is also known as 'The International Society for Krishna Consciousness' (ISKCON). The members have shaven-heads and saffron cloths. They chant the mantra, 'Hare Krishna'. They are a common sight in many parts of the world, especially in important American cities. The society was started by Prabhupada in New York in 1966. The many wandering Hippies in those days were easily attracted to this new faith..

Prabhupada wrote and published 'Bhawad Gita As It Is'. Its reading and bathing in river Ganges make the devotees back to the godhead. ISKCON accepts Jesus Christ as the son of God Krishna. According to them, Jesus preached Krishna Consciousness. 'Hare Krishna' is the only mantra to lead the devotees to Krishna. For reaching Krishna, the yoga of meditation has to be received through an authorised Guru.

Anybody who studies the life of Krishna will agree that his mysterious and mythical ways are not at all holy. He was far away from godhead. The life of Vrindavan is not for the right thinking humans. A holy god cannot carry on the ways of life Krishna lived. Life of Vrindavan was permissive, loose and unholy. So ISKCON is leading people away from light to darkness and ultimately to the lake of fire.

ISKCON keeps close contact with V.H.P . and its contribution is additional terrorism in the world.

8. Transcendental Meditation (T.M.)

This is a widely known organisation. T.M. was started by Maharishi Mahesh Yogi. T.M. is a yoga technique. The Beatles were converted to T.M., which helped the movement to spread as a wild fire in America and Europe. In the U.S.A. it is approved by the Education Department. T.M. is the one technique which transcends thought, reason and individual consciousness. T.M. promises a Goldern Era to human kind. The proponents of T.M. claims that it is a secular movement. But the teaching of god -realisation, mantra, yoga, guru and ideology make it clear that it is a Hindu movement. This is a technique by which man is supposed to rise to the level of divine being, to the realisation that he is God. This is God -consciousness, Krishna consciousness. This is the Vedic tradition. T.M. holds on to Vedanta which is atheism. The T.M. claims union of man with the Ultimate. Which Ultimate? The power of Darkness.

By putting the bait of promise to become God, millions are led away from the Truth,the Reality, the only God and they are dumped in the ocean of darkness of ignorance. The people are led away from God to the Devil. This organisation too promotes terrorism.

9. The Divine Light Mission

This Mission was started by Sri Hans Ji Maharaj in 1960. Upon his death, his son a boy of 17 years was appointed as Maharaj Ji by his mother.

The mission promises nectar (Amrut) which is supposed to take away old age and death. It also provides divine knowledge which is going to bring the whole world under one religion and one government. This is the Golden Age of Hindudom in the world. Pranayama (breathing exercise controlling the inward and outward flow of air) together with pressing of eyes, ears and nose produce the feeligns of seeing visions, hearing music and tasting the nectar which is nothing but the nasal

drips. Even without yoga, if anybody presses his closed eyes colourful scenes can be seen and by pressing the ears closed can give the feeling of hearing unusual sounds. The inefficiency of the nectar is evident because none has hitherto escaped old age or death.

By employing the Hindu technique of asanas (seating), offering of flowers, incense, by smearing sandal paste and ashes, by drumming, worshipping a guru, chanting mantra etc. the devotees are duped saying they are led to God. By these simple tricks, many simpletons of America and Europe are enticed into Hindutva.

The Hindutvavadis by their stealthy, secret, underhand methods, pose themselves as prophets of peace, but inwardly they wish to conquer not only India but the whole world. They are a threat to the world peace. Preventiion is better than cure.

Why Hindutva is not desirable?

Hindutva stands for Aryan supremacy. Aryans are closed society considering the rst of the world as mlechas.

'India for Indians' is the right dictum. But the Hindutvavadis say, 'India for Hindus'. They exclude the Dalits, Tribals, Backward classes, Muslims, Christians, Sikhs, Buddhists, Jains and Parsis from the citizenship rights. The establishment of a Hindu Rashtra is subjugation of all these sections by Aryans.

Hindutva wants to subjugate the whole world. But the Bharat Nation has no ambition of extra territorial expansion. The sole ideal before Bharat is the progress and well-being of all sections of her people and peace throughout the world.

If the Aryans are not satisfied with this and are bent upon having a Hidu Rashtra for subjugating the whole world, it is upto them to follow the suit of Pakistan. The Muslims were open minded and bold enough to ask for a separate state for them. If the Hindutvavadis were as much

noble and cultured as the Muslims, they should have demanded a separate Hindu Rashtra for themselves, prior to independence. They should have put a stop to their enmity to Muslims on the day Bharat was constituted as an independent nation. The Hidutvavadis should have joined the main stream of Indians along with the Muslims and the rest of the citizens. They can correct their mistake even today and put an end to their secluded, militant, atrocious stand.

If the Hindutvavadis insist for a Hindu Rashtra, there is no way other than to tear our dear Bharat Mata once more. In veiw of their ambition to recapture Pakistan, Gujarat may be the state most suitable for them. Or they may choose Maharashtra, Bihar or Orissa. Whoever is not happy with the provisions of the Consittution of India, say equality, fraternity , liberty, secularism and so on, can leave India to join the proposed Hindu Rashtra. All the mlechas in the Hindu Rashtra may be sent away to India.

The new Hindu Rashtra is only for Hindutvavadis and not for Hindus. The peace loving Hindus shall continue to enjoy the citizenship of Bharat, a nation of diverse races, languages, religions, traditions and so on living in unity. Mandirs, masjids, churches, gurudwaras, pagodas and other places of worship will be existing side by side in Bharat Nation. The glory of India has to be enhanced by the unity and fraternity of her people in spite of many diversities.

May the Almighty
God open the eyes,
ears and hearts of the religious bigots to see the Truth.
God! Change their hearts and souls.
Reform their philosophy. Convert their character.
Remodel their thinking. Alter their attitude.
Oh Lord!
Lead our people from darkness to light,
ignorance to knowledge,
falsehood to truth and death to eternal life.

Glory be to God. Jai Bharat.

From the same author:

Geetha and Bible

Religious Madness in Secular India

Secular India And Hindutva

Freedom to Preach Gospel
(Fundamental Rights)

An Open Letter to the Prime Minister of the India
(Discussion on Religious Conversion)

Knowledge is bliss, light. Ignorance is curse, darkness. The root cause of chaos and confusion in the present world is the darkness of ignorance. The seed of terrorism is unenlightenment. The common man's ignorance is a blessing to the crooked political and religious leaders. We Indians are shouting aloud about the atrocities of Pakistan. Have we ever thought as to how far we have been breeding terrorism? Are there no terrorists in India?

Here is an analytical study of the philosophy of the Sangh Parivar which has given birth to several militant organisations in India such as R.S.S., V.H.P., Siva Sena and Bajrang Dal, ever ready to commit any type of atrocities. The general public ought to study this philosophy without any prejudice. The leaders and followers of Sangh Parivar should realise that militancy can only devastate our motherland. The President of Pakistan has realised that religious fanaticism is fatal to the nation. He condemns the madrasas as institutions teaching communalism and fanaticism. Afghanistan got ruined by its bigotry, the madness of its religious leaders. Bigotry in India cannot but ruin the nation. The outfit of Sangh Parivar can destroy this nation. All freedom loving people, all patriots, should stand united to preserve our Constitution which proclaims Bharat a Sovereign Socialist Secular Democratic Republic. The author is appealing to our people to be sober, to stand erect and to lead the nation to a glorious future.

Published by

TRUTH AND LIFE PUBLICATION
POST BOX - 55, PERUMBAVOOR - 683 542
KERALA - INDIA